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Abstract

Aim: Many adolescents who meet diagnostic criteria for an eating disorder do not self-identify as having a problem and may consequently be less likely to seek help. Extant research investigating self-identification has been limited to specific populations (i.e. girls meeting criteria for bulimic-type eating disorders). This study investigated how self-identification varied across sex, eating disorder diagnoses, and the presence of extreme eating behaviours, and how self-identification was related to help-seeking in adolescents.

Methods: Participants included 1002 Australian school students (75.5% female, $M_{\text{age}}=15.14$ years, $SD=1.4$) who met DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for an eating disorder. An online survey assessed self-identification of having a body image problem, as well as sex, eating disorder diagnosis, extreme eating behaviours, help-seeking for a body image problem, and other potential correlates of self-identification (demographics, psychological distress, social function, weight and shape concerns).

Results: Approximately 2 in 3 adolescents with an eating disorder self-identified as having a body image problem. Girls who met criteria for a major eating disorder diagnosis, and those engaging in extreme eating behaviours, were more likely to self-identify. When adjusting for covariates, only sex remained significantly associated with self-identification. Adolescents who self-identified were 2.71 times more likely to seek help for a body image problem, adjusting for covariates.
Conclusions: Public health strategies ought to promote awareness regarding the different ways that body image problems might manifest among both girls and boys, as well as the potential gravity of such problems. Awareness amongst parents, teachers, and primary care providers should also be considered.
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The substantial public health burden of eating disorders (Whiteford et al., 2013) is partly due to low rates of help-seeking (Fatt et al., 2019; Hart, Granillo, Jorm, & Paxton, 2011). In particular, help-seeking is uncommon among adolescents, with weight and eating disorder-related treatment received by only 27.5%, 21.5%, 11.4%, and 3.4% of those meeting criteria for anorexia nervosa (AN), bulimia nervosa (BN), binge eating disorder (BED), and sub-threshold BED (sBED), respectively (Swanson, Crow, Le Grange, Swendsen, & Merikangas, 2011). However, adolescence is a critical time for the development of disordered eating behaviours (Fairweather-Schmidt & Wade, 2015; Treasure & Russell, 2011). Body image concerns are a hallmark feature of eating disorders (Fairburn, Cooper, & Shafran, 2003), and thus, help-seeking for body image concerns is an important proxy of help-seeking for eating disorders.

**Help-seeking and Self-identification**

Many factors are likely to influence whether and what type of treatment is received by people with eating disorders. These include, among other things, the nature of the symptoms and their impact on quality of life, beliefs about the likely helpfulness of treatment, perceived stigma associated with disclosure of specific symptoms, and awareness and understanding of how to access treatments deemed to be helpful (Bullivant, Rhydderch, Griffiths, Mitchison, & Mond, in press; Mond, Slewa-Younan, & Johnson, in press). These and other factors influence perceived need for treatment and, in turn, help-seeking behaviour. One other factor that has received attention in recent years, in both the general mental health and eating disorders literatures, is whether sufferers believe that they do in fact have a mental health problem, that is, their “self-
identification” (or, in some studies, “self-recognition”) of such a problem (Regeer, Kupka, Ten Have, Vollebergh, & Nolen, 2015; Schomerus et al., 2019).

It is reasonable to suggest that, among individuals with eating disorders, the failure to identify an eating disorder or related condition is conducive to low perceived need for treatment of an eating disorder and, in turn, low or inappropriate help-seeking. Several studies have identified poor self-identification as a barrier for help-seeking for an eating disorder (Gratwick-Sarll, Mond, & Hay, 2013; Gratwick-Sarll, Bentley, Harrison, & Mond, 2016; Grillot & Keel, 2018; Mond, Hay, Rodgers, & Owen, 2006). In one study, female secondary school students with bulimic symptoms were presented with a vignette of a fictional girl displaying symptoms of BN, and were asked if they believed they had a problem such as the one described (Gratwick-Sarll et al., 2016). Self-identification was low (42.3%), and help-seeking (while still low) was more likely for those who self-identified (24.1% vs 8.9%). Thus, understanding the occurrence and correlates of self-identification may help to inform early intervention programs for adolescents with eating disorders (Mond, 2014, 2016)

Self-identification

Certain disordered eating behaviours have been investigated as correlates of self-identification. Purging for weight control purposes is positively associated with self-identification in both adults and adolescents with bulimic-type syndromes (Gratwick-Sarll et al., 2016; Mond et al., 2006). However, other behavioural symptoms (e.g. binge eating, non-purging)
have not yet been investigated. Further, research has been limited to bulimic-type syndromes rather than the full spectrum of eating disorder diagnoses. Unexamined diagnoses include the “other specified” and “unspecified” feeding and eating disorders (OSFED; UFED), which are significantly impairing and more prevalent than full syndrome eating disorders (Hammerle, Huss, Ernst, & Bürger, 2016), but associated with lower help-seeking (Fatt et al., 2019; Turner, Bryant-Waugh, & Peveler, 2010).

Sex may also be a relevant correlate of self-identification. To date, gender differences for self-identification have not been examined in adolescents, despite growing awareness of the prevalence and impact of eating disorders in males (Hay et al., 2017; Mitchison et al., 2019; Striegel-Moore et al., 2009). Self-identification is poorer in young males compared to young females for mental health problems generally (Cotton, Wright, Harris, Jorm, & McGorry, 2006), and help-seeking for general health problems is lower among men with eating disorders (Thapliyal, Mitchison, Miller, et al., 2018). Therefore, it is likely that eating disorders are under-recognised by boys compared to girls.

In summary, self-identification has been linked with help-seeking in females who meet criteria for a bulimic-type eating disorder; however, this has not been investigated in males or for other eating disorder diagnoses and behaviours. This study investigated how self-identification of having an eating disorder differed as a function of sex, eating disorder diagnosis, and disordered eating behaviours (bingeeating, purging, other extreme non-purging behaviours) in a population-based sample of adolescents with full-syndrome and residual (OSFED/UFED) eating disorders.
Other additional correlates, for which there is evidence of a relationship with help-seeking for an eating disorder, were also examined as covariates: psychological distress and impairment in quality of life (Mond et al., 2009), body mass index (BMI; Grillot & Keel, 2018; Thapliyal, Mitchison, Mond, & Hay, 2018), weight and shape concerns (Thapliyal, Mitchison, Miller, et al., 2018), and school grade (which is likely correlated with mental health literacy). It was hypothesised that self-identification would be higher for girls, those with a major eating disorder (AN, BN, BED), and those who engage in binge eating, purging, and non-purging weight control behaviours; and that these relationships would remain significant adjusting for psychological distress, quality of life, BMI, age, and weight and shape concerns. Further, it was hypothesised that adolescents with eating disorders who self-identified would be more likely to seek help, adjusting for the above covariates.

**Method**

**Participants and Procedure**

Data included the first wave of the EveryBODY study, a longitudinal investigation of Australian adolescents’ body image concerns and disordered eating behaviours, which has been described previously (Trompeter et al., 2018). In brief, data were collected from students at 13 secondary schools, representative of the Sydney/Newcastle and Hunter regions of New South Wales for socio-educational advantage.
Ethics approval for the study was received from the University ethics committee, the Catholic Education Office, and the New South Wales Department of Education. Consent ing students were given an online survey to complete during class, including measures of eating disorder pathology, sociodemographic information (school grade, sex, height and weight), self-identification, help-seeking, weight and shape concerns, psychological distress, and quality of life impairment.

The average rate of participation across schools was 70%, resulting in 5191 responses. Of these, 39 were excluded due to excessive missing data (more than 90% missing), 79 due to non-serious responses, and one due to withdrawn consent, leaving a total of 5072 students (52.7% female). Final analyses were conducted on the 1002 adolescents (75.5% girls, mean age = 15.14, SD = 1.40) who met the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fifth edition (DSM-5) criteria for a major eating disorder, OSFED, or UFED. This approximation of 1 in 5 participants meeting criteria for any eating disorder is in line with other adolescent prevalence studies that take into account the full spectrum of clinical and subclinical eating disorders (Hammerle et al., 2016; Micali et al., 2015).

Measures

**Eating disorder diagnosis and behaviours.** As described in full in a previous study on the prevalence of eating disorders using the EveryBODY data (Mitchison et al., 2019), a self-report, online questionnaire including the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q; Fairburn & Beglin, 2008) was used to assess weight/shape concerns, and the presence and
frequency of disordered eating behaviours over the past four weeks, including: purging behaviours (self-induced vomiting or laxative misuse to control weight or shape), non-purging weight control behaviours (extreme exercise or fasting), and binge eating (eating large amounts of food in conjunction with a perceived loss of control). This questionnaire was used to determine eating disorder diagnoses according to the DSM-5 criteria. The operationalisation of these diagnoses is available in the supplementary file. The eating disorder diagnoses assessed include the three major eating disorders (AN, BN, BED), the five OSFED syndromes (atypical anorexia nervosa, AAN; subthreshold bulimia nervosa, SBN; subthreshold binge eating disorder, SBED; purging disorder, PD; night eating syndrome, NES), and UFED.

Self-identification with a body image problem. Self-identification of having a body image problem was measured with two questions: “Do you think you have ever had a problem with body image?” and a follow-up question of “Do you still have this problem now?”. Participants responded either “yes” or “no”, and self-identification of having a body image problem was indicated by answering “yes” to both questions. Given that many adolescents may fail to recognise their experience as an eating disorder, a “body image problem” was chosen because it captures a central transdiagnostic tenet of eating disorders (Fairburn et al., 2003), whilst remaining comprehensible for an adolescent sample.

Help-seeking for a body image problem. Help-seeking was indicated by answering “yes” to the question “Have you ever seen a health professional about a body image problem?”. Similar to self-identification, the wording used in this question was intended to provide a proxy
indication of help-seeking for an eating disorder that would be appropriate for an adolescent sample.

**Body image disturbance.** Not all DSM-5 eating disorder diagnoses include a body image criterion; therefore, a composite average of the Weight Concerns and Shape Concerns subscales from the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q; Fairburn & Beglin, 2008) was used to control for body image disturbance in multivariate analyses. The combination of these two subscales has previously produced adequate internal consistency in Australian adolescent boys and girls previously (Mond et al., 2014), and in the present study (Cronbach’s $\alpha = .94$).

**Clinical impact.** Psychological distress and quality of life were measured through the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10; Kessler et al., 2002) and the social functioning (3 items) subscale of the Pediatric Quality of Life Scale SF15 (PedsQL SF15; Varni, Burwinkle, Seid, & Skarr, 2003), respectively. Both of these scales have been used previously in adolescent samples (Gall et al., 2016; Varni et al., 2003). Adequate internal consistency was evident in the present study (Cronbach’s $\alpha = .92, .84$, respectively).

**Data Analyses**

Analyses were conducted using SPSS version 24. Missing data were handled using pairwise deletion. Analyses used data weighted according to the population distribution of gender in the 2016 Australian Census. Each boy was worth 1.08 participants, and each girl worth
0.93. As there were more girls than boys in the current sample, the weighted sample size was 968 (rounded). To examine the bivariate associations between self-identification with eating disorder diagnosis, sex, and disordered eating behaviours (binge eating, purging, other extreme non-purging behaviours), chi-square analyses were used, and effect sizes determined with Cramer’s V. A value of Cramer’s V between 0.07 and 0.21 is considered small, between 0.21 and 0.35 is considered medium, and larger than 0.35 is considered a large effect (Sun, Pan, & Wang, 2010). Binary logistic regression analysis was then used to assess the relationship between self-identification (outcome variable) and sex, eating disorder diagnosis, and disordered eating behaviours (predictor variables) adjusting for grade, BMI percentile, psychological distress, social function, and weight and shape concerns. A second binary logistic regression analysis was used to assess the relationship between self-identification (predictor variable) and help-seeking (outcome variable), adjusting for these other variables.

**Results**

Approximately two-thirds (66.1%) of adolescents self-identified as having a current body image problem. The characteristics of adolescents with eating disorders who did and did not self-identify having a body image problem are presented in Table 1. Adolescents reporting purging, binge eating, or non-purging eating behaviours, were significantly more likely to self-identify as having a current body image problem. Further, self-identification was associated with higher BMI, higher psychological distress, lower social function, and greater weight and shape concerns.

| Insert Table 1 here |
Table 2 shows how the proportion of participants who self-identified as having a current problem with body image varied by eating disorder diagnosis and sex. Overall, girls were 3.24 (95% confidence interval \( CI \) = 2.42 – 4.35) times more likely to self-identify than boys, \( \chi^2 (1, N = 968) = 64.19, p < .001 \), Cramer’s \( V \) = .26. Those who met criteria for OSFED were less likely to self-identify than for either UFED or a major eating disorder, and this was true for both boys, \( \chi^2 (2, 263) = 30.24, p < .001 \), Cramer’s \( V \) = .34, and girls, \( \chi^2 (2, N = 702) = 20.19, p < .001 \), Cramer’s \( V \) = .17. Self-identification was not significantly different between adolescents with UFED or a major eating disorder (\( p > .05 \)).

| Insert Table 2 here |

**Multivariate associations with self-identification**

Binary logistic regression analyses were conducted to assess the relationship between sex, eating disorder diagnosis, and disordered eating behaviours (binge eating, purging, non-purging), and self-identification. Without covariates, the overall model was significant, \( \chi^2 (6, N = 928) = 134.37, p < .001 \). When adjusting for grade, BMI percentile, psychological distress, social functioning, and weight and shape concerns (Table 3), the overall model remained significant, \( \chi^2 (12, N = 928) = 293.68, p < .001 \). Girls were 1.57 times more likely to self-identify than boys, but the effects of eating disorder diagnosis, and disordered eating behaviours were no longer significant.

| Insert Table 3 here |
**Self-identification and help-seeking**

Help-seeking for a body image problem was reported by 101 (10.1%) of the adolescents with an eating disorder. Binary logistic regression analyses were conducted to assess the relationship between self-identification and help seeking. Without covariates, the overall model was significant, $\chi^2 (1, N = 1002) = 29.71, p < .001$. Those who self-identified as having a current body image problem were 4.53 times (13.4% versus 3.0%) more likely to seek help than those who did not self-identify, Wald $\chi^2 (1, N = 1002) = 21.35, p < .001$. When adjusting for the other variables (Table 4), the overall model remained significant, $\chi^2 (13, N = 928) = 44.62, p < .001$. Those who self-identified with a current body image problem were 2.71 times more likely to seek help.

| Insert Table 4 here |

**Post-hoc analyses**

Since the item assessing self-identification referred to a "body image problem", and since not all ED diagnoses included a specific criterion for elevated weight/shape concerns, we investigated self-identification in those who did not meet criteria for an eating disorder, finding that 15.1% self-identified as having a body image problem. Pearson chi-square analyses indicated that this differed significantly from the 66.1% of adolescents who did meet criteria for an eating disorder, $\chi^2 (1, 4127) = 980.772, p < .001$, Cramer’s $V = .49$, indicating a large effect size. Further, the analyses were repeated including only those participants who met criteria for an
eating disorder which does specify a body image disturbance component within the diagnostic
criteria (i.e., those with diagnoses of AN, AAN, BN, SBN, or PD). There were no differences in
the pattern of results of the primary outcomes of the study, with differences only in covariates
for the multivariable analysis of variables associated with self-identification: grade was no longer
statistically significant ($p = .27$) while BMI percentile was of borderline significance ($p = 0.06$)
(full details available from the first author upon request).

**Discussion**

The present study investigated the prevalence of self-identification of having a body
image problem in adolescents with an eating disorder, and whether this was associated with
sex, eating disorder diagnosis, disordered eating behaviours, and help-seeking. Overall,
approximately two out of three adolescents self-identified. Those with an OSFED syndrome, those
not engaging in binge eating, purging, or other extreme non-purging behaviours, and boys were
less likely to self-identify. However, when adjusting for each of the other variables, only sex
remained significant. Those who self-identified were 2.71 times more likely to seek help for a
body image problem, adjusting for the other covariates.

**Findings and implications**

This provides the first prevalence rates of self-identification in adolescents with an eating
disorder, including males and the DSM-5 diagnoses. Overall, the prevalence of self-identification
of having a body image problem in this study (66.0%) was much higher than the 18.2% of adults
who self-identified as having an eating disorder problem (Grillot & Keel, 2018). Looking at girls who met criteria for BN or sBN, self-identification (210 out of 288; 72.9%) was higher in the current study than previous findings (42%; Gratwick - Sarll et al., 2016). This might indicate that adolescents recognise having a “body image problem” more readily than recognition of having an eating disorder (Gratwick - Sarll et al., 2016; Grillot & Keel, 2018; Mond et al., 2006).

Alternatively, body image problems are highly endorsed amongst Australian adolescents (Mission Australia, 2018), and adolescents in this study may have agreed to having a body image problem without fully appreciating the seriousness of their disorder.

Self-identification was less likely for boys (45.8%) than girls (73.6%), even when adjusting for the other variables. This is inconsistent with Grillot and Keel (2018)’s finding that self-identification did not differ between adult males and females. However, self-identification of mental health problems is generally poorer in adolescent boys compared with girls (Cotton et al., 2006).

Those who met criteria for OSFED (55.5%) were less likely to identify than UFED (82.8%) or a major eating disorder (78.1%), potentially reflecting the poorer knowledge about “other specified” eating disorders in the community (Mond & Arrighi, 2011). Similarly, self-identification was positively associated with the presence of binge-eating, purging, and other extreme non-purging behaviours, consistent with previous research (Gratwick - Sarll et al., 2016; Mond et al., 2006). However, none of these differences remained significant when adjusting for the other variables. Thus, while lower self-identification is evident among those...
with subthreshold eating disorders and those who do not engage in ‘typical’ disordered eating behaviours, this is overshadowed by other factors (sex, age, social functioning, BMI percentile, and weight and shape concerns).

Although a substantial proportion of adolescents did self-identify with having a body image problem, it is important to understand what moves people from self-identification to help-seeking. In the present study, those who self-identified for a body image problem were 2.71 times more likely to seek help. Thus, early intervention programs sought to focus on improving awareness and understanding of the susceptibility to eating disorders amongst boys, including education for the severity of various disordered eating behaviours (Mitchison, Basten, Griffiths, & Murray, 2017; Mond et al., 2014; Mond, 2016; Murray et al., 2017). Although self-identification has been found to relate to help-seeking in the current study, as in previous research, the vast majority of participants (87%) who did self-identify still reported having never sought help for their body image problem, despite high levels of distress and social function impairment. Evidently, there are many factors that influence help-seeking in adolescents with an eating disorder beyond self-identification, including access to care, and fears of disclosing their problems to a parent or caregiver to facilitate treatment. Early intervention and health promotion programs designed to improve “eating disorders mental health literacy” likely need to extend to parents, teachers, and primary care providers: an important focus for future research (Mond, 2016).

Limitations, and future directions
Some limitations should be considered when interpreting these results. First, both self-identification and help-seeking were assessed using the wording “body image problem”. This non-technical term was chosen to improve comprehensibility in an adolescent sample. Body image disturbance is a core transdiagnostic etiological feature across eating disorder diagnoses (Fairburn et al., 2003) and is a familiar concept amongst adolescents (Mission Australia, 2018), but is not specified for all eating disorder diagnostic criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). However, as a conservative approach, body image concerns were adjusted for in analyses by including weight and shape concerns as a covariate. Still, future studies could potentially use more comprehensive measures of self-identification.

Additionally, the present study was limited by its cross-sectional study design. Although it is likely that self-identification precedes help-seeking, the opposite could also be true: adolescents may see a health professional without personally recognising their problem (e.g. a caregiver may instigate help-seeking), and subsequently become more likely to self-identify. Longitudinal research is necessary to disentangle the direction of these relationships.

**Conclusion**

Many adolescents with an eating disorder self-identified having a body image problem. Adolescents with a major eating disorder, and those not engaging in binge eating, purging, or other extreme non-purging behaviours were more likely to self-identify, however these effects were overshadowed by other variables. Girls self-identified more often than boys, even when adjusting for the other variables. Further, adolescents who self-identified were more likely
to seek help for a body image problem, adjusting for other factors. There are potential opportunities for early interventions aimed to improve self-identification, and potentially help-seeking. However, low rates of help-seeking amongst those who did self-identify highlights the relevance of an adolescent’s broader context, including primary caregivers and health-care providers.
Data sharing: The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to ethical constraints, but interested readers are encouraged to consult the corresponding author for such requests.
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Table 1.
Psychological and demographic correlates of self-identification of having a body image problem in adolescents with an eating disorder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Did self-identify M (SD)</th>
<th>Did not self-identify M (SD)</th>
<th>t (df)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>d (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI percentile</strong></td>
<td>66.18 (28.55)</td>
<td>55.38 (30.24)</td>
<td>-5.46 (966)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.37 (0.24-0.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Psychological distress</strong></td>
<td>32.24 (10.08)</td>
<td>26.29 (9.88)</td>
<td>-8.63 (944)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.60 (0.46-0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social function</strong></td>
<td>62.22 (29.01)</td>
<td>71.89 (27.39)</td>
<td>4.80 (894)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.34 (0.20-0.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight and shape concerns</strong></td>
<td>4.62 (1.12)</td>
<td>2.76 (1.77)</td>
<td>-19.85 (966)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.35 (1.20-1.49)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Did self-identify, n (%)</th>
<th>Did not self-identify, n (%)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$ (df)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Cramer’s V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7/8</td>
<td>201 (62.8)</td>
<td>119 (37.2)</td>
<td>3.37 (5, N = 968)</td>
<td>.186</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 9/10</td>
<td>318 (66.4)</td>
<td>161 (33.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 11/12</td>
<td>120 (71.0)</td>
<td>49 (29.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any binge eating</td>
<td>365 (70.2)</td>
<td>155 (29.8)</td>
<td>8.49 (1, N = 967)</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No binge eating</td>
<td>274 (61.3)</td>
<td>173 (52.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any purging</td>
<td>197 (74.1)</td>
<td>69 (25.9)</td>
<td>10.33 (1, N = 968)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No purging</td>
<td>443 (63.1)</td>
<td>259 (36.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any non-purging behaviours</td>
<td>515 (71.3)</td>
<td>207 (28.7)</td>
<td>35.24 (1, N = 969)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No non-purging behaviours</td>
<td>125 (50.6)</td>
<td>122 (49.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Emboldened text indicate significance at the .05 level. Analyses were conducted, weighted based on the gender population distribution in the 2016 Australian Census. BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval.
Table 2.  
Self-identification of having a body image problem in adolescents with an eating disorder by sex and eating disorder diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N (Weighted N)</th>
<th>Self-identified n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>Girls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any ED</td>
<td>245 (263)</td>
<td>757 (702)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>31 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN</td>
<td>40 (43)</td>
<td>175 (163)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BED</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>43 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAN</td>
<td>26 (28)</td>
<td>111 (104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBN</td>
<td>36 (39)</td>
<td>113 (105)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBED</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>12 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>31 (34)</td>
<td>92 (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NES</td>
<td>82 (89)</td>
<td>48 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFED&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22 (23)</td>
<td>132 (122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSFED&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>178 (192)</td>
<td>376 (349)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major eating disorder&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>45 (48)</td>
<td>249 (231)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Dissimilar subscript letters indicate significant differences. AN = anorexia nervosa, BN = bulimia nervosa, BED = binge eating disorder, AAN = atypical anorexia nervosa, SBN = sub-threshold bulimia nervosa, SBED = sub-threshold binge eating disorder, PD = purging disorder, NES = night eating syndrome, UFED = unspecified feeding and eating disorder, OSFED = otherwise specified feeding and eating disorder (includes AAN, SBN, SBED, PD, NES), Major eating disorder includes AN, BN, BED.
Table 3. \textit{Multivariate analysis of variables associated with self-identification of having a body image problem}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlate (reference category)</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio of self-identification (95% CI)</th>
<th>Wald $\chi^2$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (boy)</td>
<td>1.57 (1.04 – 2.38)</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFED (Major eating disorder)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.63 – 2.16)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSFED (Major eating disorder)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.50 – 1.19)</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binge eating</td>
<td>0.96 (0.65 – 1.42)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>.834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purging behaviours</td>
<td>1.42 (0.94 – 2.13)</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-purging behaviours</td>
<td>1.03 (0.68 – 1.55)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 11/12 (grade 7/8)</td>
<td>\textbf{1.22} (1.06 – 3.24)</td>
<td>\textbf{4.71}</td>
<td>.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 9/10 (grade 7/8)</td>
<td>1.85 (0.85 – 1.75)</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI percentile</td>
<td>\textbf{1.01} (1.00 – 1.01)</td>
<td>\textbf{6.01}</td>
<td>.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological distress</td>
<td>1.01 (0.99 – 1.03)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>.587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social functioning</td>
<td>\textbf{0.99} (0.98 – 1.00)</td>
<td>\textbf{6.71}</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight and shape concerns</td>
<td>\textbf{1.94} (1.69 – 2.23)</td>
<td>\textbf{85.80}</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textit{Note.} Emboldened text indicate significance at the .05 level. Analyses were conducted, weighted based on the gender population distribution in the 2016 Australian Census. UFED = unspecified feeding and eating disorder, OSFED = other specified feeding and eating disorder, BMI = body mass index, CI = confidence interval.
Table 4. 
**Multivariate analysis of variables associated with help-seeking for a body image problem**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlate (reference category)</th>
<th>Adjusted Odds ratio of help-seeking (95% CI)</th>
<th>Wald $\chi^2$</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-identification (yes)</td>
<td>2.71 (1.32 – 5.55)</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (boy)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.49 – 1.67)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>.752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFED (Major eating disorder)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.12 – 0.84)</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSFED (Major eating disorder)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.58 – 1.80)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>.952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binge eating</td>
<td>0.87 (0.50 – 1.52)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purging behaviours</td>
<td>1.14 (0.69 – 1.87)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-purging behaviours</td>
<td>1.01 (0.56 – 1.83)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>.974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 11/12 (Grade 7/8)</td>
<td>1.61 (0.82 – 3.18)</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 9/10 (Grade 7/8)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.67 – 1.87)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>.673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI percentile</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99 – 1.01)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>.968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological distress</td>
<td>1.02 (0.99 – 1.05)</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social functioning</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99 – 1.01)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>.719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight and shape concerns</td>
<td>1.21 (0.97 – 1.51)</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>.097</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Emboldened text indicate significance at the .05 level. Analyses were conducted, weighted based on the gender population distribution in the 2016 Australian Census. $n = 928$. UFED = unspecified feeding and eating disorder, OSFED = other specified feeding and eating disorder, BMI = body mass index, CI = confidence interval.
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