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Abstract—This paper addresses a classical problem in random matrix theory—finding the distribution of the maximum eigenvalue of the correlated Wishart unitary ensemble. In particular, we derive a new exact expression for the cumulative distribution function (c.d.f.) of the maximum eigenvalue of a $2 \times 2$ correlated non-central Wishart matrix with rank-1 mean. By using this new result, we derive the exact outage probability of $2 \times 2$ multiple-input multiple-output maximum-ratio-combining (MIMO-MRC) in Rician fading with transmit correlation and a strong line-of-sight (LoS) component (rank-1 channel mean). We also show that the outage performance is affected by the relative alignment of the eigen-spaces of the mean and correlation matrices. In general, when the LoS path aligns with the least eigenvector of the correlation matrix, in the high transmit signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) regime, the outage gradually improves with the increasing correlation. Moreover, we show that as $K$ (Rician factor) grows large, the outage event can be approximately characterized by the c.d.f. of a certain Gaussian random variable.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems are critical for 3G (third generation) and current 4G (fourth generation) wireless networks [2]. Traditional MIMO [3], [4] and virtual MIMO (e.g., cooperative relay networks [5]) are also a key enabler for 5G (fifth generation) and beyond wireless networks to accommodate the ever-increasing data demand. For example, in cell-free massive MIMO, where a large number of access points are distributed over a wide area, users and access point may have only one or two antennas [6]. Moreover, the simplest $2 \times 2$ MIMO channel becomes the most common channel and hence is of paramount importance for small-cell wireless networks [7]. For such small-size MIMO channels, accurate channel state information (CSI) estimation is fully viable, which enables the use of MIMO maximum-ratio-combining (MRC) receivers.

The performance of MIMO-MRC has been extensively analyzed for an arbitrary number of transmit and receive antennas for different channel models ([8]–[12] and references therein). However, exact analytical characterization of the MIMO-MRC over the correlated Rician channel remains elusive. This challenge arises because the $2 \times 2$ correlated non-central Wishart matrix does not admit analytically tractable joint eigenvalue density [13]. While general MIMO systems are considered in the literature, only few studies have focused on small-scale practical MIMO architectures [14]–[17]. For instance, [14] derives the channel capacity of $2 \times 2$ or $2 \times 3$ MIMO channel for Nakagami-$m$ fading. In [15], the statistical properties of the Gram matrix $W = HH^H$, where $H$ is a $2 \times 2$ complex central Gaussian matrix whose elements have arbitrary variances, have been investigated, resulting in exact distributions of $W$ and its eigenvalues. In [16], the exact and asymptotic largest eigenvalue distributions of $W$ are derived when $H$ is a complex Gaussian matrix with unequal variances in the real and imaginary parts of its entries, or equivalently $H$ belongs to the non-circularly-symmetric Gaussian subclass. These results have been then leveraged to analyze the outage performance of multi-antenna systems with MRC over Nakagami-$q$ (Hoyt) fading.

To the best of our knowledge, no exact performance analysis is available for the important case of $2 \times 2$ MIMO correlated Rician fading channel with rank-1 channel mean, i.e., a strong line-of-sight (LoS) path exists between transmitter and receiver [18], [19]. To develop exact analytical results, we must first characterize the distribution of the maximum eigenvalue of the correlated non-central Wishart matrix. However, this distribution has remained an open problem in both wireless and even wider statistics literature. The main technical challenge is that the joint eigenvalue density of the correlated non-central Wishart matrix has no tractable representation. For instance, the invariant polynomial representation given in [13] cannot be integrated to obtain the marginal densities of the problem at hand. To circumvent this difficulty, here we follow an alternative approach [20], where the matrix variate density is directly integrated instead of the joint eigenvalue density, in order to find the maximum-eigenvalue distribution.

Specifically, we first derive an exact c.d.f. of the maximum eigenvalue of a $2 \times 2$ complex correlated non-central Wishart matrix with rank-1 mean, which is the key contribution of this paper. Some recent results on the maximum eigenvalue are symbolic, and not amenable to further processing, e.g., [12], [20]. We thus believe this to be the first tractable exact result on the maximum eigenvalue of such matrices. Subsequently, we also provide an exact expression for the outage probability.

The following notation is used throughout this paper. The superscript $(\cdot)^T$ indicates the Hermitian-transpose, and $(\cdot)^H$
stands for the matrix transpose. We use det(·) to represent the determinant of a square matrix, tr(·) to represent trace, and etr(·) stands for exp(tr(·)). Positive definiteness of a square matrix \( A \) is represented by \( A > 0 \), and \( A > B \) denotes \( A - B > 0 \). The square root of a positive definite matrix \( G \) is denoted by \( G^{\frac{1}{2}} \) and \( \text{diag}\{s_1, s_2\} \) denotes a \( 2 \times 2 \) diagonal matrix with the real diagonal entries \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \).

We use \( \lambda_{\text{max}}(A) \) to denote the maximum eigenvalue of a square matrix \( A \). The real and imaginary parts, modulus and conjugate of a complex number \( z \) are denoted, respectively, by \( \Re(z) \), \( \Im(z) \), \( |z| \) and \( z^{*} \). The Euclidean norm of a vector \( w \) is denoted by \( |w| \). \( \lfloor x \rfloor \) denotes the floor function, defined as \( \lfloor x \rfloor = \max\{m \in \mathbb{Z} | m \leq x \} \).

Finally, the union of two measurable sets \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) is denoted by \( R_1 \cup R_2 \).

II. CDF OF THE MAXIMUM EIGENVALUE

This section derives new expressions for the distribution of the maximum eigenvalue of a \( 2 \times 2 \) correlated complex non-central Wishart matrix with rank-1 mean matrix. Before proceeding with the derivations, we present some fundamental statistical characteristics of the complex correlated non-central Wishart matrix.

**Definition 1.** Let \( X \) be an \( n \times m \) \((n \geq m)\) complex Gaussian random matrix distributed as \( CN_{n,m}(\Psi, I_n \otimes \Theta) \), where \( \Psi \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m} > 0 \) and \( \Theta \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m} \). Then \( W = XX^* \) has a complex non-central Wishart distribution \( W_m(n, \Psi, \Theta) \) with the density function [21]

\[
f(W) = \frac{\text{etr}(-\Theta) \det^{-n-m}(W)}{\Gamma_m(n) \det^{-n}(\Psi)} \text{etr}(-\Psi^{-1}W) \frac{\Gamma_{m}(n) \det^{-n}(\Psi)}{\Gamma_{m}(n) \det^{-n}(\Psi)} 6F_1(n; \Theta \Psi^{-1}W)
\]

where \( \Theta = \Psi^{-1} Y^{*} Y \) is the non-centrality parameter, \( 6F_1(\cdot ; \cdot) \) denotes Bessel type complex hypergeometric function of matrix argument and the complex multivariate gamma function is defined as \( \Gamma_m(n) = \pi^{-\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma(n-j+1) \) with \( \Gamma(\cdot) \) being the gamma function.

Next we define the joint eigenvalue distribution of \( W \) which consists of invariant polynomials due to Davis [22], [23].

**Corollary 1.** The joint density of ordered eigenvalues \( \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_m > 0 \), of the complex non-central Wishart matrix \( W \) is given by [13, Eq. 5.4]

\[
g(A) = \frac{\pi^{m(m-1)} \text{etr}(-\Theta) \prod_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k^{n-k} \prod_{k<l}^{m} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l)^2}{\Gamma_m(n) \det^{-n}(\Psi)} \times \sum_{k,t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\gamma} C_{\phi,\tau}^{n,m} (-\Psi^{-1} \Theta \Psi^{-1}) \frac{C_{\phi,\tau}^{n,m}(A, A)}{\gamma! t! n!} \frac{1}{\Gamma_{m}(n)}
\]

where \( A \) is the diagonal matrix having eigenvalues of \( W \) along the main diagonal, \( C_{\phi,\tau}^{n,m}(\cdot, \cdot) \) denotes an invariant polynomial with two matrix arguments [22], [23] and the complex hypergeometric coefficient \( [n]_{\tau} \) is defined as \( [n]_{\tau} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} (n-j+1) \tau_j \) in which \( \tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_m) \) is a partition of the integer \( t \) into \( m \) parts such that \( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j = t \) and \( \tau_1 \geq \tau_2 \geq \ldots \geq \tau_m \geq 0 \).

A. Cumulative Distribution Function of the Maximum Eigenvalue

Here, to derive the c.d.f. of the maximum eigenvalue, the most straightforward method is to find the probability that the interval \([x, \infty)\) is free from the eigenvalues [24]–[27]. As such, we may write, \( F_{\lambda_{\text{max}}}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \text{etr}(A) \text{d}\lambda_1 \ldots \text{d}\lambda_m \), where \( D = \{0 < \lambda_m < \ldots < \lambda_1 < x\} \). This direct method, however, is cumbersome because of the invariant polynomials in eq (2). Despite their theoretical significance and frequent appearance in multivariate distribution theory, the invariant polynomials do not seem to admit simple forms in terms of the eigenvalues of its argument matrices even for the \( 2 \times 2 \) case [22], [23]. To circumvent this difficulty, we will adopt an alternative approach based on integrating directly over matrix variate distribution, instead of the joint eigenvalue distribution [13], [20], [22], [28]–[30]. More precisely, we write the c.d.f. of the maximum eigenvalue as

\[
F_{\lambda_{\text{max}}}(x) = \Pr(W < xI_m)
\]

which facilitates the use of the probability density function of \( W \) instead of its eigenvalue distribution. Now we apply the change of variable \( W = xZ \), where \( Z \) is Hermitian positive definite with \( dW = x^{m^2}dZ \), in (3) to yield

\[
F_{\lambda_{\text{max}}}(x) = \frac{x^{mn} \text{etr}(-\Theta) \Gamma_m(n) \det^{-n}(\Psi)}{\Gamma_m(n) \det^{-n}(\Psi)} \int_{0<x<\infty} \text{etr}(-x\Psi^{-1}Z) 6F_1(n; x\Theta \Psi^{-1}Z) dZ
\]

As shown in [13] and [20], this integral does not admit simple form for arbitrary values of \( m \) and \( n \) even for rank one mean matrix. However, as we now show, it can be solved in terms of simple functions for the important configuration of \( m = n = 2 \).

In the case of \( m = n = 2 \), (4) simplifies to

\[
F_{\lambda_{\text{max}}}(x) = \frac{x^4 \exp(-\eta) \int_{0<x<\infty} \text{etr}(-x\Psi^{-1}Z)}{\pi \det^{2}(\Psi) \int_{0<x<\infty} \text{etr}(-x\Psi^{-1}Z)} 6F_1(2; x\Theta \Psi^{-1}Z) dZ
\]

where \( \eta = \text{tr}(\Theta) \). Observing the fact that the matrix \( \Psi \) is Hermitian positive definite having the eigen-decomposition \( \Psi = U \Omega U^{*} \), where \( U \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \) is unitary and \( \Omega = \text{diag}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \) with \( \omega_1 \geq \omega_2 > 0 \), we can rewrite (5) with the help of the transformation \( Y = U^{*}ZU \) as

\[
F_{\lambda_{\text{max}}}(x) = \frac{x^4 \text{etr}(-\eta) \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \int_{0<y<\infty} \text{etr}(-x\Sigma Y)}{\pi \int_{0<y<\infty} \text{etr}(-x\Sigma Y)} 6F_1(2; x\Sigma U^{*}Y^{*}U^{*}\Sigma U^{*}Y) dY
\]

where \( \Sigma = \Omega^{-1} = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \) and \( 0 < \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \). Observing the fact that \( \Sigma U^{*}Y^{*}U^{*}\Sigma U^{*}Y \) is Hermitian non-negative definite with rank one, it can be expressed via its eigen-decomposition.

\footnote{Although our general approach is valid even for \( n > 2 \), here we focus on \( n = 2 \) in view of obtaining a relatively not so complicated answer.}
Theorem 1. Let \( X \) be a \( 2 \times 2 \) complex square matrix distributed as \( X \sim \mathcal{CN}_{2,2}(\Upsilon, I_2 \otimes \Psi) \) with \( \Upsilon \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \) is rank one. Then the c.d.f. of the maximum eigenvalue \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) of the semi-correlated non-central complex Wishart matrix \( W = X'X \) is given by

\[
F_{\lambda_{\text{max}}}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x \mu)^k}{k!} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(k+1)} \right] \times 1 \mathbb{1}(x + 1; c_{k,p,j} + i + 3; x(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2))
\]

as \( \Sigma^\dagger \Psi \Upsilon \Psi = \mu \alpha \alpha' \) where \( \alpha = (\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12})^T \) with \( \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12} \in \mathbb{C}, \mu > 0 \) and \( \alpha' \alpha = 1 \). This in turn gives the relation \( \mu = \text{tr} (\Theta \Psi^{-1}) \). Therefore, we can rewrite (6) as

\[
F_{\lambda_{\text{max}}}(x) = \frac{x^4}{\pi} \text{etr} (-\eta) (\sigma_1 \eta_2)^2 \int_{0<\text{Y}<I_2} \text{etr} \left( -x \Sigma \text{Y} \right) g \tilde{F}_1 \left( 2; x \mu \alpha \alpha' \right) \text{dY}.
\]

Further manipulation in this form is not desirable. However, we expand the hypergeometric function with its equivalent zonal series expansion to yield [21]

\[
F_{\lambda_{\text{max}}}(x) = \frac{x^4}{\pi} \text{etr} (-\eta) (\sigma_1 \eta_2)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{(x \mu)^k}{k!} \text{etr} \left( -x \Sigma \text{Y} \right) C_\kappa \left( \alpha \alpha' \right) \text{dY}
\]

where \( C_\kappa (\cdot) \) is the zonal polynomial in which \( \kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) \) represents a partition of \( k \) into not more than two parts such that \( \kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2 \geq 0 \) [21], [31]. Since the matrix \( \alpha \alpha' \) is rank one, we have \( C_\kappa (\alpha \alpha') = 0 \) for all partitions \( \kappa \) having more than one non-zero parts [31]. Therefore, the zonal polynomial degenerates to \( C_\kappa (\alpha \alpha') = (\alpha \alpha')^k \). Hence we can simplify (7) to obtain

\[
F_{\lambda_{\text{max}}}(x) = \frac{x^4}{\pi} \text{etr} (-\eta) (\sigma_1 \eta_2)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x \mu)^k}{(2!)^k k!} Q_k(x)
\]

where

\[
Q_k(x) = \int_{0<\text{Y}<I_2} \text{etr} \left( -x \Sigma \text{Y} \right) (\alpha \alpha' \text{Y} \alpha)^k \text{dY}.
\]

This integral does not seem to have a simple solution in terms of simple functions according to the literature [13], [21]. Therefore, in the sequel, we demonstrate how to evaluate this integral in terms of hypergeometric functions which in turn yield an exact expression for the c.d.f. of the maximum eigenvalue.

Theorem 1. Let \( X \) be a \( 2 \times 2 \) complex square matrix distributed as \( X \sim \mathcal{CN}_{2,2}(\Upsilon, I_2 \otimes \Psi) \) with \( \Upsilon \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \) is rank one. Then the c.d.f. of the maximum eigenvalue \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) of the semi-correlated non-central complex Wishart matrix \( W = X'X \) is given by

\[
F_{\lambda_{\text{max}}}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x \mu)^k}{k!} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(k+1)} \right) \times 1 \mathbb{1}(x + 1; c_{k,p,j} + i + 3; x(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2))
\]

and \( c_{k,p,j} = k - p - j \). Also, \( F_1 (\cdot; \cdot; \cdot) \) denotes the confluent hypergeometric function of the first kind, \( \Theta = \Psi^{-1} \Psi \), \( \Psi = U \Sigma^\dagger \Psi \Upsilon \Psi = U \Sigma^\dagger \Psi \), \( \mu = \text{tr} (\Theta \Psi^{-1}) \), \( \eta = \text{tr} (\Theta) \), \( \Sigma = \Omega^{-1} = \text{diag} (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \), \( \Sigma^\dagger \Psi \Upsilon \Psi = \mu \alpha \alpha' \) and \( \alpha = (\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12})^T \).

Proof. See Appendix A.

\[\square\]

It is remarkable that the above c.d.f. expression depends on, among other parameters, the components of the eigenvector of the rank one matrix \( \Sigma^\dagger \Psi \Upsilon \Psi \), in contrast to corresponding expressions for correlated Rayleigh and uncorrelated Rician matrices which do not depend on the eigenvectors [26]. This represents the joint effect of correlation and mean. As a simple sanity check of Theorem 1, Fig. 1 shows the comparison of theoretical c.d.f. expression (10) and simulation results for the following parameters:

\[
\Psi = \begin{pmatrix}
1.0000 + 0.0000i & 0.3624 - 0.9320i \\
0.8878 - 0.4603i & -0.1073 + 0.9942i
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\Upsilon = \begin{pmatrix}
0.0000 + 0.0000i & -0.7371 - 0.4902i \\
-0.3731 + 0.4902i & 1.0000 + 0.0000i
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(11)

It is also noteworthy that the infinite series has been truncated to a maximum of 15 terms; thereby demonstrating a fast convergence rate for each case.
Fig. 1: Outage probability vs normalized SNR threshold $\gamma_{th}/\bar{\gamma}$ for correlated Rayleigh, uncorrelated/correlated Rician without $K$ normalization.

III. PERFORMANCE OF $2 \times 2$ MIMO BEAMFORMING

To emphasize the utility of Theorem 1, here we focus on the performance of $2 \times 2$ MIMO-MRC over a correlated Rician fading channel. In particular, we analyze an important performance metric - outage probability.

Consider the following $2 \times 2$ MIMO Rician channel model [11], [12]

$$H = \sqrt{\frac{K}{K+1}} \tilde{H} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{K+1}} H_{sc} T^\frac{1}{2}$$

where $\tilde{H} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is the deterministic component, $H_{sc} \sim \mathcal{CN}_{2,2}(0_{2 \times 2}, I_2 \otimes I_2)$ represents the Rayleigh random component, and $T \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is the transmit correlation matrix with $K$ being the Rician factor. Moreover, we adapt the common normalization used in the literature to suit our requirement as $\text{tr}(\tilde{H}^* \tilde{H}) = 4$ and $\text{tr}(T) = 2$. It is noteworthy that in the presence of a strong LoS path between the transmitter and receiver (i.e., Rician fading), the rank of the matrix $\tilde{H}$ degenerates to one [11], [12]. Therefore, here we focus on the rank-1 $\tilde{H}$ case only. Since $H$ is a complex Gaussian random matrix, following Definition 1, $W = H^* H$ is correlated complex non-central Wishart distributed. Therefore, the corresponding covariance and the non-centrality parameter matrices can be written, respectively, as $\Psi = \left(\frac{1}{K+1}\right) T \text{and } \Theta = \Psi^{-1} \Upsilon \Upsilon^* \text{ is the transmitted symbol with } \mathbb{E}\{ |s|^2 \} = 1, \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 1} \text{ is the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) vector with zero mean and } N_0 I_2 \text{ covariance matrix. We assume that both transmitter and the receiver have perfect instantaneous CSI.}

A MIMO-MRC receiver determines $w$ such that the received SNR, $\gamma = \bar{\gamma} w^H H w$ is maximized. Here $\bar{\gamma} = P/N_0$ denotes the transmit SNR. It is well documented that the vector $w$ which maximizes $\gamma$ is the leading eigenvector of $H^H H$ [8], [32]. Therefore, the maximum received SNR is given by

$$\gamma = \bar{\gamma} \lambda_{\text{max}}$$

where $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ is the leading eigenvalue of $H^H H$. This clearly demonstrates that the performance of MIMO-MRC is tightly coupled with the statistics of $\lambda_{\text{max}}$. We next focus on evaluating the outage probability of this system.

A. Outage Probability

The outage probability characterizes the quality of service (QoS) provided by the system, and is a more generic performance measure of user experience. It is formally defined as the probability of $\gamma$ falls below a certain threshold value, $\gamma_{th}$, which determines the minimum SNR level for satisfactory reception. Following Theorem 1, the outage probability can be written as

$$P_{\text{out}}\left(\frac{\gamma_{th}}{\bar{\gamma}}\right) = \Pr\{\gamma < \gamma_{th}\} = F_{\lambda_{\text{max}}} \left(\frac{\gamma_{th}}{\bar{\gamma}}\right)$$

where $F_{\lambda_{\text{max}}}(x)$ is given by (10) with the following re-parameterization:

$$\mu = K(K+1)\text{tr}\left(T^{-1} H^H H T^{-1}\right) \text{ and } \alpha = (\alpha_{11} \alpha_{12})^T \text{ denotes the leading eigenvector of the rank-1 matrix } \Lambda^{-1} U \Upsilon^{-1} H^H H \Upsilon^{-1} U^H \Upsilon^{-1} \Lambda^{-1}. \text{ Here } U \text{ and } \Lambda \text{ are related to } T \text{ through the eigen-decomposition } T = U \Lambda U^H \text{ and } \Sigma = \text{diag}\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} = (K+1)\Lambda^{-1}.$$

The accuracy of (14) is verified in Fig. 2, which plots the outage probability versus the normalized SNR threshold $\gamma_{th}/\bar{\gamma}$ for different Rician factors (different $K$ values). For numerical simulation purposes, we have used $T = \Psi$ and $H = \Upsilon$ matrices given in (11). Note that for these analytical curves, the infinite sum (10) has been truncated to a maximum of 15 terms, thereby demonstrating a fast convergence rate for each case. The figure displays a close match between the simulations and analytical results, which verifies the accuracy of the analytical derivations. A counterintuitive trend is also visible - namely the outage does not uniformly decreases with the strength of the LoS component for all $\bar{\gamma}$ values. In particular, in the large $\bar{\gamma}$ regime (i.e., low $\gamma_{th}/\bar{\gamma}$ regime), outage improves with the increasing strength of the LoS component. In contrast, the opposite trend can be observed in the low $\bar{\gamma}$ regime. Therefore, when the transmit SNR is high, the outage is benefited by having a strong LoS component, whereas in the low transmit SNR regime, a rich scattering environment certainly helps improve the outage. Thus, from an outage point of view and counterintuitively, it is not always beneficial to have stronger LoS links.
B. The Effect of K

We now investigate the effect of Rician factor $K$ on the outage probability. In particular, we focus on the large $K$ regime. The following proposition characterizes the outage probability as $K \to \infty$:

**Proposition 1.** Let $H^H H = 4vv^T$, where $||v|| = 1$. Then we have, as $K \to \infty$

$$
\sqrt{\frac{K}{8\gamma^2}} v^T T v (\gamma - 4\gamma) \overset{D}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad (15)
$$

where $\overset{D}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ denotes the convergence in distribution to a standard normal random variable.

**Proof.** Omitted due to space limitation. Please see [1].

An immediate consequence of Proposition 1 is

$$
\lim_{K \to \infty} \Pr \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{K}{8}} (\gamma - 4\gamma) \leq \gamma_{th} \right\} = \Phi \left( \frac{\gamma_{th}/\gamma - 4}{\sqrt{v^T T v / K}} \right) \quad (16)
$$

where $\Phi(z)$ is the c.d.f of the standard normal random variable. Clearly, once properly centered and scaled, the asymptotic outage depends on the channel mean and correlation through the positive definite quadratic form $v^T T v$. It is noteworthy that this quadratic form depends on $T$ but not its inverse. Therefore, the asymptotic outage expression remains valid even when $T$ is rank deficient. Since we are interested in outage probability, we may use (16) to approximately characterize it, for sufficiently large $K$ values, as

$$
P_{out}^{large} K \left( \frac{\gamma_{th} - 4}{\gamma} \right) \approx \Phi \left( \frac{\gamma_{th}/\gamma - 4}{\sqrt{v^T T v / K}} \right). \quad (17)
$$

It is interesting to observe that the parameter $K$ is completely decoupled from the other parameters in (17).

The effect of large $K$ on the outage probability is depicted in Fig. 3. As we already know, for $K \to \infty$, the maximum eigenvalue tends to concentrate around 4 (i.e., $\gamma$ around $4\gamma$). Therefore, as the figure shows, the outage curves bend more sharply at the critical point and ultimately converges to the vertical barrier at normalized SNR of 4.

**IV. Conclusion**

This paper has focused on characterizing $2 \times 2$ MIMO-MRC system over correlated Rician fading and a strong LoS component (rank-1 mean) with respect to outage probability. We first derive a new expression for the c.d.f. of the maximum eigenvalue of a $2 \times 2$ correlated non-central Wishart matrix with rank-1 non-centrality parameter. This expression, which in turn facilitates the derivation of the outage, contains a fast converging infinite series of functions. Our analysis demonstrates that as the Rician factor grows large, the outage can be approximately characterized by the c.d.f. of a certain Gaussian random variable. Interestingly, our analysis shows that a strong LoS path is not always beneficial from the outage perspective.

**Appendix A**

**Proof of Theorem 1**

Here we provide an outline of the main proof due to space limitations.

For clarity let us recall (9)

$$
Q_k(x) = \int_{0-Y<1_k} \text{etr} \left( -x \Sigma Y \right) (\alpha^\dagger Y \alpha)^k \mathrm{d}Y. \quad (18)
$$

Clearly, this integral is performed over the space of Hermitian positive definite matrices $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ such that $I_2 - Y > 0$. This more complicated integral region in turn makes the above matrix integral intractable with the available tabulated results in the literature. Therefore, we now take a closer look at this
particular integral region. To this end we first parameterize the Hermitian positive definite matrix $Y$ as

$$ Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} \\ y_{12}^* & y_{22} \end{pmatrix} $$

(19)

where $y_{11}, y_{22} > 0$, $y_{12} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $y_{11}y_{22} - |y_{12}|^2 > 0$. Since we require $I_2 - Y > 0$ to be satisfied, the above parameters should also fulfill

$$(1 - y_{11})(1 - y_{22}) - |y_{12}|^2 > 0, \quad y_{11}, y_{22} \in (0,1). \quad (20)$$

Now keeping in mind the representation $y_{12} = R_{y_{12}} + i\Im[y_{12}]$, we can combine the above results to write the integration in (18) to yield the scalar form

$$ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \exp \left( - x_1 y_{11} - x_2 y_{22} \right) \times \left( |\alpha_{11}|^2 y_{11} + |\alpha_{12}|^2 y_{22} + 2R|\alpha_{11}^*\alpha_{12} y_{12}| \right)^k \, dY $$

and we have used the differential relation $dY = d(y_{11})d(y_{22})dR\bar{y}_{12}d\Im[y_{12}]$. To facilitate further analysis, we make use of the polar transformation, $dR\bar{y}_{12} = r \cos \phi \, dr \, d\phi$ and $d\Im[y_{12}] = r \sin \phi \, dr \, d\phi$, and evaluate the resultant four-dimensional integrals (i.e., with respect to $y_{11}, y_{22}, r$ and $\phi$) to arrive at the final answer.
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