Letter to the Editor

Cardiovascular effects and safety of mannitol in treating raised intraocular pressure
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Mannitol is the most commonly used osmotic diuretic in ophthalmic emergency departments. Its primary use is in reducing intraocular pressure (IOP) (1,2). The precise mechanism by which mannitol decreases IOP is not well understood, and may differ from its systemic vascular effect in inducing an osmotic pressure gradient. Mannitol administration protocols such as dosing and monitoring vary significantly (3,4). There have also been several issues regarding side-effects including cardiovascular instability, metabolic acidosis, electrolyte imbalance and acute renal failure.

We conducted a retrospective chart review of patients presenting to an ophthalmology emergency department for acute, raised IOP to assess the use and adverse effects associated with mannitol infusion. A total of 132 patients were identified based on pharmacy dispensary coding at the Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital (RVEEH). In all cases, mannitol was administered according to the RVEEH protocol: mannitol 20% (100g/500mL) was injected intravenously (IV) over at least 60 minutes at a dose of 1 to 2g/kg body weight (5 to 10mL/kg of 20% solution, up to a maximum of 100g (500mL)). Frail, elderly (>65 years) patients and people with pre-existing renal disease, taking nephrotoxic medications, pre-existing heart failure or pre-existing peripheral oedema were given a dose reduction of 0.5 to 1g/kg body weight (2.5 to 5mL/kg of 20% solution) up to a maximum of 50g (250mL).

Contraindications to treatment included anuria due to severe renal disease, severe pulmonary congestion, frank pulmonary oedema, active intracranial bleeding, severe dehydration, hypersensitivity to mannitol, pre-existing plasma hyperosmolarity and severe heart failure.

Patients had the following parameters recorded before, during and after IV mannitol infusion: blood pressure (BP), pulse rate, temperature, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation. These were performed within 30 minutes prior to mannitol infusion, 15 to 45 minutes after commencement and between 30 to 120 minutes after cessation of
the infusion. Systemic hypertension was defined as a systolic BP greater than 140mmHg.

The mean age of patients was 58 (range 18 to 90) years, mean presenting IOP was 56mmHg and mean IOP following mannitol treatment was 27mmHg. Mannitol caused an increase in systolic, diastolic and mean arterial pressure (MAP) during infusion which returned to baseline after cessation. The mean increase in systolic BP during mannitol infusion was 14.52mmHg (p<0.01, 95% CI 12.35-16.69mmHg) (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Mannitol increased systolic blood pressure by 14.52mmHg (p<0.01, 95% CI 12.35-16.69mmHg). Patients with a history of hypertension had a systolic blood pressure increase of 20.21mmHg (p<0.01, 95% CI 16.13-24.30mmHg), compared to 11.14mmHg (p<0.01, 95% CI 8.99-13.29mmHg) in normotensive patients.

The mean increase in MAP was 6.49mmHg (p<0.01, 95% CI 5.07-7.91mmHg) (Figure 2).
Figure 2: The mean increase in mean arterial pressure during mannitol infusion was 6.49 mmHg (p<0.01, 95% CI 5.07-7.91mmHg). Patients with a history of hypertension had a mean arterial pressure increase of 9.19mmHg (p<0.01, 95% CI 6.74-11.64mmHg) compared to 4.89mmHg (p<0.05, 95% CI 3.21-6.56mmHg) in normotensive patients.

Patients with a history of hypertension had a mean systolic BP increase of 20.21mmHg (p<0.01, 95% CI 16.13-24.30mmHg), compared with 11.14mmHg (p<0.01, 95% CI 8.99-13.29mmHg) in those without hypertension. Patients previously diagnosed with systemic hypertension were also more likely to have a greater increase in BP relative to normotensives with 33.3% of hypertensive patients having a systolic BP increase over 20mmHg compared to 19.8% of normotensive patients (Table 1) ($\chi^2 = 12.451$, p<0.05).
Table 1: Proportion of patients with systolic blood pressure change during mannitol infusion. $\chi^2 = 12.451$ p<0.05

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall n =132 (%)</th>
<th>Hypertensive n = 48 (%)</th>
<th>Normotensive n = 81 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased BP</td>
<td>117 (88.6)</td>
<td>46 (95.8)</td>
<td>69 (85.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10mmHg</td>
<td>30 (22.7)</td>
<td>5 (10.4)</td>
<td>24 (29.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20mmHg</td>
<td>56 (42.4)</td>
<td>25 (52.1)</td>
<td>29 (35.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20mmHg</td>
<td>31 (23.5)</td>
<td>16 (33.3)</td>
<td>16 (19.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Change</td>
<td>2 (1.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased BP</td>
<td>13 (9.8)</td>
<td>2 (4.2)</td>
<td>10 (12.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age (p<0.05), past medical history of systemic hypertension (p<0.01), hypercholesterolaemia (p<0.05), cardiovascular disease (p<0.01) and use of blood pressure medications (p<0.01) were all predictors for increased systolic BP during mannitol infusion on linear regression analysis.

There was a significant increase in pulse rate during mannitol infusion (Figure 3). The mean increase in pulse rate was 4.68 beats per minute (bpm) (p<0.01, 95% CI 3.39-5.97bpm). Systemic BP did not predict a significant change in pulse rate.
The mean increase in pulse rate during mannitol infusion was 4.68 bpm ($p<0.01$, 95% CI 3.39-5.98mmHg).

None of the patients in our study had a medical emergency associated with mannitol infusion and 86% did not record any side-effects. The most common side-effects included nausea and/or vomiting (9%), headache (4%), dry mouth or thirst (1%) and electrolyte imbalance (1%).

Though our results may have recording bias due to incomplete notes or imprecise data entry with timing of observations, the data reflects real-world practice in a busy ophthalmic emergency department. The results from this audit suggests that with appropriate administration and monitoring, mannitol is a safe option in the management of acute, raised intraocular pressure. Patients with a history of cardiovascular disease (e.g. hypertension) should be monitored closely, as they are likely to experience an acute increase in blood pressure and pulse rate, which return to baseline at the conclusion of infusion.
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