Effects of reheating methods on the product quality of Hongsu chicken dish

Jingyu Wang¹, Min Zhang¹,²*, Kai Fan¹, Chao-hui Yang³, Zhongxiang Fang⁴

¹State Key Laboratory of Food Science and Technology, Jiangnan University, 214122 Wuxi, Jiangsu, China
²Jiangsu Province Key Laboratory of Advanced Food Manufacturing Equipment and Technology, Jiangnan University, China
³Yangzhou Yechun Food Production & Distribution Co., Yangzhou 225200, Jiangsu, China
⁴Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia

*Corresponding author: Dr. Min Zhang, Professor of School of Food Science and Technology, Jiangnan University, 214122 Wuxi, P. R. China.
Tel.: 0086-510-85877225; Fax: 0086-510-85877225;
E-mail: min@jiangnan.edu.cn

Abstract
Hongsu chicken dish is a very popular commercially cooked, ready for home and office consuming meat product in Yangzhou city, China. Effects of different reheating...
methods including hot water boiling, steaming, microwave heating and oven roasting on the product quality attributes of Hongsu chicken dish were investigated. The results showed that the microwave reheated samples had the best sensory scores, least weight loss, the taste and texture were close to that of the freshly cooked meat, with attractive color and aroma. To reach the required center temperature of 72 °C, the sample should be microwave heated at 480 W for 3.2 min. This research suggested that the microwave treatment was a quick and convenient method for reheating Hongsu chicken dish.
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**Practical Applications:** This study compared the effects of different reheating methods including water boiling, steaming, microwave and oven roasting treatment on the quality attributes (including water content, weight loss, color, texture, sensory evaluation, flavor and taste) of Hongsu chicken dish. The results showed that microwave heating at 480 W for 3.21 minutes was able to reach the sample center temperature of 72 °C. Compared with other reheating methods, microwave reheated samples had the best sensory scores, least weight loss, and the taste was close to that of the freshly cooked meat. Therefore, this technique might have met consumers’ requirements of convenient, quick and high quality reheated Hongsu chicken dish.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Hongsu chicken dish is one of the traditional and famous dishes of Yangzhou city in China. It is a commercially prepared dish sold under refrigerated environment to extend shelf-life. The Hongsu chicken dish needs to be reheated before human consumption and the reheating process will further improve the product eating quality such as flavor, taste and appearance etc. The reheating process will also inhibit microbes to ensure food safety (Kerry, Kerry, & Kerry, 2011). The traditional main heating methods for meat products are steaming, smoking, frying and roasting which mainly rely on heat conduction and convection to transfer energy (Sun, 2005). By using these methods, when the meat center temperature reaches the required safe temperature, the surface meat are generally overcooked (Wills, DeWitt, Sigfusson, &
which could result in nutritional and sensory quality losses. To meet consumers’ requirements for high quality meat products, it is very important to explore alternative techniques to alleviate the disadvantages of traditional heating methods (Schellekens, 1996).

Microwave is an electromagnetic wave with a frequency ranging from 300 MHz to 300 GHz. Microwave heating is very quick, because the electromagnetic waves can penetrate into the interior of the samples and convert into heat energy in a short time (Pu, Song, Song, & Wang, 2017), which has the advantages of safe and easy operation, high energy efficiency, time saving and low maintenance (Salazar-Gonzalez, San Martin-Gonzalez, Lopez-Malo, & Sosa-Morales, 2012; Zhang, Tang, Mujumdar, & Wang, 2006). Comparing with the traditional heating methods, microwave heating can maintain the nutritional and sensory quality of food to a higher level (Vadivambal & Jayas, 2010).

Although the application of microwave heating in fresh meat cooking has been extensively investigated (Ji, Xue, Zhang, Li, & Xue, 2017; Kondjoyan et al., 2014; Liu & Lanier, 2016; Lorenzo, Cittadini, Munekata, & Dominguez, 2015), little is known about the comparison between microwave heating and traditional heating techniques on the quality of prepared meats. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of reheating methods (including hot water boiling, steaming, microwave heating, roasting) on the product quality of Hongsu chicken dish. This research may have provided useful information in selection of appropriate reheating method before consumption of Hongsu chicken dish, and might be applicable for reheating of other prepared meat products.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS
2.1 | Meat Materials

Hongsu chicken dish was prepared by using boneless chicken drumsticks and skinless pork belly as main raw materials. The pork belly was firstly processed into ground meat, and mixed uniformity with ginger, scallion and other seasonings in a vacuum roller kneading machine. The boneless chicken drumsticks were steamed and then covered with a layer of the mixed ground meat. Then the dish was steamed, fried, and brined to obtain the final product. The prepared Hongsu chicken dish was provided by Yangzhou Yechun Food Production & Distribution Co. Ltd. (Yangzhou city, Jiangsu province, China) under refrigerated conditions on the same day of
cooking. The meat was aseptically cut into chunks (13 ± 0.5 cm in length, 4 ± 0.5 cm in width and 1.5± 0.5 cm in thickness) with weight about 50 ± 5 g each, vacuum packed, and kept in a refrigerator at about 4 °C for 2 days before reheating.

2.2 | Heating Treatment

The samples were divided into five groups (6 samples in one group) for reheating treatments, namely untreated (control), hot water boiling, steaming, microwave heating, and oven roasting. The initial temperature of the Hongsu chicken dish was 4 ± 2 °C before the treatments.

2.2.1 | Water boiling

The sample was wrapped with a polyethylene bag and then slowly placed into the boiling water of a stainless-steel water bath. A fiber-optic temperature sensor (FISO Technologies Inc., Quebec, Canada) was inserted into the center of the sample and the sample was cooked until the temperature reached at 72 °C.

2.2.2 | Steaming

The sample was put on a plate, and then placed in a stainless-steel household steamer (Zhejiang Supor Co., Ltd., Hangzhou, China), and steamed at 100 °C. The fiber-optic temperature sensor was inserted into the center of the sample and heated to 72 °C.

2.2.3 | Microwave

The sample was placed in the center of a microwave oven (P70F20L-DG (S0), 2450 MHz Galanz microwave oven, Guangdong, China). The output power of the microwave was 480 W. The sample was also heated to the center temperature of 72 °C.

2.2.4 | Oven roasting

The sample was heated in a convection oven pre-heated at 180 °C. The center temperature of the sample was measured at regular intervals and heating was terminated when reached 72 °C.
2.3 | Measurements

2.3.1 | Moisture content analysis

The Hongsu chicken dish was ground into meat batter. The moisture content was measured gravimetrically in triplicate by drying 5 ± 0.5 g samples at 103 ± 2 °C until constant weight (GBT 9695.15-2008, National Standard of China).

2.3.2 | Weight loss

After each heating treatment, the samples were cooled at room temperature for 30 min and wiped with blotting paper to calculate weight losses as follow (Chang et al., 2011).

\[
\text{Weight loss} = \left(\frac{m_b - m_a}{m_b}\right) \times 100\%
\]

Where \(m_a\) and \(m_b\) are the weight (g) of the sample before and after heating respectively.

2.3.3 | Color parameters analysis

The surface color of Hongsu chicken dish was measured by using a colorimeter (Chroma Meter CR-400, Konica Minolta Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The colorimeter was calibrated with a white standard board before testing. \(L^*\) represents lightness (100 is total white, 0 is total black), \(a^*\) represents redness (positive value represents red, negative value represents green), and \(b^*\) represents yellowness (positive value represents yellow, negative value represents blue). Three randomly selected points of the meat surface were measured individually, and the average results were calculated. The total color difference (\(\Delta E\)) was calculated as:

\[
\Delta E = \sqrt{(\Delta L^*)^2 + (\Delta a^*)^2 + (\Delta b^*)^2}
\]

2.3.4 | Texture profile analysis (TPA)

Texture properties of Hongsu Chicken dishes were measured as described by Bourne (1978) using Texture Profile Analysis (TPA) model of a texture analyzer.
(TA-XT2i, Stable Micro Systems Ltd., Surrey, England). The samples were balanced at room temperature for 3 h, and then cut into cubes (1×1×1 cm) along the muscle fiber direction. The conditions of TPA were as follows: P/36R flat cylindrical probe, pre-test speed 2.0 mm/s, test speed 1.0 mm/s, post-test speed 2.0 mm/s, trigger force 5 g, and two consecutive cycles at 30% compressions separated by a 5 s interval. The TPA parameters including hardness, springiness, cohesiveness, gumminess, and chewiness were computed. Six measurements were done for each sample and averages were reported.

2.3.5 Sensory analysis

The Hongsu chicken dishes were evaluated by 10 trained and experienced sensory evaluation panelists (five males and five females) with the age of 23–27 (Liu, Zhang, Xu, Fang, & Zheng, 2015). The appearance, odor, taste and texture of the chicken meat were evaluated using nine-point hedonic rating scales from 1 (dislike extremely) to 9 (like extremely), where 7-9 = good, 4-6 = moderate and 1-3 = poor. The criteria for sensory evaluation of Hongsu chicken are present in Table 1.

2.3.6 Flavor parameters analysis

The flavor parameters of the heated and unheated Hongsu chicken samples were measured by using an electronic nose (Isenso Intelligent Technology Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China), each sample was fully chopped and mixed in advance. The electronic nose system consists of a gas sampling system, a chemical sensor array, a signal preprocessing circuit, a pattern-recognition software and odor expression. The sensor array had 14 different metal oxide gas sensors which respond to different flavor compounds (Table 2). About 5 g of samples was taken into a glass tube fitted
with plastic cap and equilibrated at 25 ± 2 °C for 60 min. The detection time of each sample was 120 s, and the sensors were cleaned for 120 s before another measurement.

### 2.3.7 Taste parameters analysis

An electronic tongue (TS-SA402B, Intelligent Sensor Technology Inc., Kanagawa, Japan) consisting of an automatic sampler, a selective sensor array of 8 sensors (sourness, bitterness astringency, umami, richness saltiness, richness, aftertaste-A, aftertaste-B), a signal acquisition instrument and a pattern recognition software was used to analyze the sample taste. The Hongsu chicken dish was mixed with distilled water (1: 4) g/mL and ground for 1 min by using a meat grinder. The mixture was moved into 50 ml centrifuge tubes and centrifuged (Sigma 3-18 K, Sigma, German) at 4,000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was filtered through three layers of gauze and the clear liquid was poured into the special beaker of the electronic tongue, and then analyzed at room temperature. The taste signals were collected for 120 s for each sample, and the sensors were cleaned using distilled water before another measurement.

### 2.3.8 Data analysis

Except of specifically stated, all experiments and measurements were repeated three times. The experimental data were averaged and expressed as mean ± standard deviation. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using SPSS 20.0 software (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA), and mean values were identified as significantly different when P<0.05.

### 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Moisture contents and reheating time

To reach the center temperature of Hongsu chicken dish of 72 °C, only 3.21 min was needed for microwave heating, while this for water boiling, steaming and
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roasting was 21.12 min, 12.71 min, and 12.27 min respectively (Table 3), indicating the reheating time by conventional methods was much longer than that of microwave heating.

Water plays an important role in food, and is closely related to meat tenderness and juiciness (Van Oeckel, Warnants, & Boucque, 1999). After reheating, the moisture contents in all samples were decreased significantly, especially in the oven roasting ones (Table 3), which could be attributed to its higher temperature and longer treatment time. The moisture content in the microwave heated sample was higher than the oven roasted sample, which was mainly because of the shorter time of microwave heating. However, the water boiling and steaming treated chicken dishes had relatively higher moisture contents than the oven roasting and microwave heating samples. This is understandable since these two methods were using water as heating media.

Weight loss is a negative attribute of prepared meat products from an aesthetic and economic perspective (Selby et al., 2006). The oven roasting sample had the highest (P<0.05) weight loss (13.73 %), followed by water boiling sample (10.46 %) (Table 3), while there were no significant differences between the steaming and microwave heating samples. Weight loss was caused by the loss of water and muscle fragments, the volatilization of fat and partial free fatty acids during the heating process (Liu et al., 2015). Barge et al. proposed that the loss of water was the main cause of weight loss (Barge, Destefanis, Toscano, & Brugiapaglia, 1991).

3.2 Color attributes

A survey of consumer behavior reported that consumers generally believe that food color significantly correlate with its quality (Eagerman 1978). In the present study, both microwave heating and oven roasting significantly (P<0.05) decreased L* value but increases a* and b* values (Table 4), showing that the oven and microwave heating resulted in a bright and golden color, therefore the samples might be more attractive in terms of the appearance. When comparing total color difference (ΔE), no significant differences were detected between the reheating methods of water boiling and steaming. However, ΔE was significantly higher (P<0.05) for oven roasted sample than that of water boiling, steaming and microwave heating ones (Table 4). This was because the surface of the roasted sample was accumulated with a lot of oils, which contributed to the bright and golden color. The ΔE had no significant
difference in samples reheated with water boiling, steaming and microwave methods (Table 4), suggesting the sample color differences might be minimal.

3.3 | Texture profile

Texture is another important attribute to assess the quality and acceptability of a food product (Sikora, Juszczak, Sady, & Krawontka, 2003). The shear force is negatively correlated with the meat tenderness (Boleman et al., 1997; Koohmaraie, Kent, Shackelford, Veiseth, & Wheeler, 2002). Table 5 demonstrates that the hardness, chewiness and shear force of water boiling, steaming and microwave heating samples were decreased, suggesting these techniques improved the texture property and possibly eating quality. In addition, microwave heated samples had the highest springiness value than other samples. During the heating process, rupture of muscle fibers may cause damage to the integrity of space structures, and the collagen in the meat connective tissue was hydrolyzed into gelatin. These reactions will soften the meat samples and increase the tenderness (Yang & Dong, 2009; Zu et al., 2016). However, there was no significant difference in hardness, tenderness and shear force between the oven roasting sample and the control sample, probably because the temperature in the oven was high and the water loss was severe from the product, resulting tougher texture.

3.4 | Sensory evaluation

Sensory evaluation is an important method for evaluating the comprehensive quality of food (Kang et al., 2014). As can be seen from Table 6, the oven roasting sample had significantly (p<0.05) lower taste score than the other samples, while the other reheating methods did not significantly affect the taste of Hongsu chicken dishes. The roasting sample had a unique aroma of Hongsu chicken dish. The samples reheated with water boiling and steaming methods had a little unpleasant aroma. The aroma of microwave heated sample was better than that of water boiling and steaming samples, but was not as good as the oven heated one. Liu et al. (2017) also observed that the lamb aroma reheated with oven was better than that of microwave heating. In terms of color, the roasted sample had the highest color score with an attractive golden color, which may increase the consumers’ appetite (Table 6). The color score of the microwave heated sample was lower, but it was higher than those of water boiling, steaming and control samples. The microwave heated samples had no
significant difference with the control in the appearance score, while the other three samples had lower appearance values, especially the steaming sample (Table 6). The overall score of control (untreated samples), microwave, and oven roasting samples were not significantly different, but the microwave heated sample was significantly higher than the water boiling and steaming samples, indicating the microwave heated dish might be more acceptable by the panelists and potentially consumers.

3.5 | Electronic nose analysis

The principal component analysis (PCA) is mainly used for data conversion and dimension reduction of the eigenvector matrix of the sensor response values (Li, Deng, Wang, Cui, & He, 2017). The linear classification of the eigenvector after reducing the dimension is carried out, and the classification results are presented in the form of scatter diagram. When the cumulative variance contribution rate is above 85%, it can be considered that the selected principal components reflect the information of the original index (Moreno-Rojas, Sanchez-Segarra, Camara-Martos, & Amaro-Lopez, 2010).

The PCA results of electronic nose analysis of Hongsu chicken dishes are presented in Fig. 1. The ellipse represents the overall characteristic of a single sample, and the distance of the graphic represents the odor difference between the samples. The principal components PC1 and PC2 accounted for 70.88% and 18.98% of the total variance respectively, and the cumulative contribution rate of PC1 and PC2 accounted for 89.86%, indicating they could reflect most of the information of the original data. This also suggested that the samples with different reheating methods can be distinguished well from the control group in terms of their flavor. The data of water boiling sample and steaming sample were mixed up, which reflected that their flavors were similar. The flavor characteristics of oven and microwave treated samples were far away from those of the control, water boiling group and steaming group, and the distance between each other was also far, which indicated that the electronic nose could clearly distinguish the difference between oven, microwave heated sample and other samples.

3.6 | Electronic tongue analysis

The taste data obtained by electronic tongue analysis were also analyzed by principal component analysis (PCA), and the results are shown in Fig. 2. The smaller
the distance between samples, the closer their quality characteristics (Cong, Yi, & Zheng, 2015). The contribution rates of PC1, PC2 and PC3 were 55.02%, 21.06% and 17.43% respectively, and the cumulative contribution rate was up to 93.51%, so the three principal components can reflect the major information of the whole samples. Fig. 2 also indicates that the distance between the microwave heating sample and the unheated sample was relatively close, indicating the taste difference between them was relatively small, which suggested that the microwave heating could maintain most of the original taste of the Hongsu chicken dish.

4 | CONCLUSION

To investigate the effects of different reheat ing methods on the quality of Hongsu chicken dishes, water boiling, steaming, microwave heating and oven roasting techniques were applied. Compared with other methods, microwave heating was quicker, resulted in meat sample with better color and taste, and lower weight loss. Therefore, this technique could be used in reheating of Hongsu chicken dishes and potentially for other prepared meats.
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**Figure captions**

Fig. 1 Principal component analysis plot of electronic nose sensor response signals for Hongsu chicken dishes using different reheating methods (λ: control; ▲: water boiling; ◇: steaming; △: microwave heating; +: oven roasting)

Fig. 2 Principal component analysis plot of electronic tongue sensor response signals for Hongsu chicken dishes using different reheating methods (×: control; △: water boiling; □: steaming; ○: microwave heating; ¶: oven roasting).

**Table 1 Criteria for sensory evaluation of Hongsu chicken dishes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>Complete shape, better to keep the original form of the meat</td>
<td>7-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A slight change in shape</td>
<td>4-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The shape dispersed, and damaged seriously.</td>
<td>1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste</td>
<td>Good viscoelasticity and juiciness, proper hardness, not greasy</td>
<td>7-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low or high hardness, a little dryness and greasy</td>
<td>4-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavor</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad viscoelasticity and juiciness, very low or high hardness, greasy</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A strong smell of Hongsu chicken with no peculiar smell</td>
<td>7-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of a strong aroma or slight peculiar smell</td>
<td>4-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no meat aroma, peculiar smell</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attractive color and lustre</td>
<td>7-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal color and lustre</td>
<td>4-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor color and lustre</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Sensors used and their main applications in the electronic nose

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor No.</th>
<th>General description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>Ammonia, used as sensor for aromatic compounds</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reacts on sulphur compounds, $H_2S \times 10^{-4}$ g/L. Otherwise sensitive to many terpenes and sulphur organic compounds, which are important for smell, limonene, pyrazine</td>
<td>$H_2S$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>Mainly hydrogen, selectively, (breath gases)</td>
<td>$H_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Organic acid esters and terpenes, aromatic compounds, less polar compounds</td>
<td>Propane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>Detects alcohol's, partially aromatic compounds, broad range</td>
<td>$CO$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>Sensitive to methane (environment) ca. $1\times10^{-2}$ g/L. Broad range</td>
<td>Propane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>Aromatics compounds, sulphur organic compounds</td>
<td>$H_2S$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>Very sensitive, broad range sensitivity, react on nitrogen oxides</td>
<td>NO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>Aliphatic hydrocarbons, aromatic compounds</td>
<td>Propane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>Hydrocarbons</td>
<td>$CH_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>Aromatic compounds</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td>Alcohol, organic solvents</td>
<td>$C_2H_5OH$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12</td>
<td>Alkenes, aromatic compounds, less polar compounds</td>
<td>Propane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S13</td>
<td>Reacts on high concentrations &gt;0.1 g/L, sometime very selective (methane)</td>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Heating time (to reach center temperature of 72 °C), weight loss and moisture content of Hongsu chicken dishes using different reheating methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reheating Methods</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Weight loss (%)</th>
<th>Moisture content (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>68.83±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water boiling</td>
<td>21.12±1.07$^a$</td>
<td>10.46±0.28$^b$</td>
<td>66.63±0.35$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steaming</td>
<td>12.72±1.02$^b$</td>
<td>9.24±0.44$^c$</td>
<td>67.09±0.47$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave heating</td>
<td>3.21±0.32$^c$</td>
<td>9.47±0.22$^c$</td>
<td>64.21±0.43$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oven roasting</td>
<td>12.27±0.90$^b$</td>
<td>13.73±0.39$^a$</td>
<td>58.48±0.21$^d$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± standard deviation.

Values with different superscripts in the same column represent significant differences ($P<0.05$).
### Table 4 Color parameters of Hongsu chicken dishes using different reheating methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reheating methods</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
<th>ΔE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>68.14±0.15&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.37±0.35&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.02±0.45&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water boiling</td>
<td>65.01±0.68&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.36±0.52&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.14±0.39&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.96±0.51&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steaming</td>
<td>68.60±0.93&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.41±0.46&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.18±1.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.85±0.76&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave heating</td>
<td>64.56±0.35&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.47±0.11&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.65±0.64&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.99±0.70&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oven roasting</td>
<td>60.24±0.22&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.89±0.87&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26.99±0.64&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14.52±0.45&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± standard deviation. Values with different superscripts in the same column represent significant differences ($P<0.05$).

### Table 5 The texture profile of Hongsu chicken dishes using different reheating methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reheating methods</th>
<th>Hardness</th>
<th>Springiness</th>
<th>Cohesiveness</th>
<th>Chewiness</th>
<th>Shear force (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>835.05±77.09&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.73±0.032&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.56±0.015&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>349.36±26.93&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.43±1.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water boiling</td>
<td>420.82±50.82&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.82±0.016&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.68±0.034&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>232.84±17.18&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.34±0.71&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steaming</td>
<td>455.61±45.67&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.83±0.015&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.68±0.014&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>256.71±26.79&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.43±1.52&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave heating</td>
<td>599.22±36.31&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.87±0.011&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.63±0.019&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>307.96±24.85&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14.02±0.93&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oven roasting</td>
<td>796.96±29.60&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.75±0.018&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.59±0.021&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>352.28±16.67&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15.93±0.45&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± standard deviation. Values with different superscripts in the same column represent significant differences ($P<0.05$).

### Table 6 Sensory evaluation of Hongsu chicken dishes using different reheating methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reheating methods</th>
<th>Taste</th>
<th>Flavor</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6.01±0.87&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.89±1.01&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.09±0.37&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.8±0.82&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.57±0.66&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water boiling</td>
<td>6.84±0.93&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.04±0.67&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.99±0.58&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.29±0.67&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.23±0.73&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steaming</td>
<td>6.78±0.85&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.82±0.58&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.67±0.79&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.33±0.76&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.78±0.74&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave heating</td>
<td>7.49±0.65&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.15±0.61&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.11±0.37&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.49±0.41&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.5±0.32&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oven roasting</td>
<td>5.01±0.44&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.46±0.63&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.14±0.51&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.84±0.58&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.84±0.51&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± standard deviation. Values with different superscripts in the same column represent significant differences ($P<0.05$).
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