Developing a national surgical, obstetric, and anesthesia plan is an important first step for countries to strengthen their surgical systems and improve surgical care. Barriers to successful implementation of these plans include data collection, scalability, and financing, yet surgical system strengthening efforts are gaining momentum in achieving universal access to emergency and essential surgical care.

BACKGROUND

In 2018, 40 years after the Declaration of Alma-Ata, the global community renewed its commitment to universal health coverage (UHC) and reemphasized the importance of primary health care in health systems strengthening (HSS) in the Declaration of Astana.1,2 If we are to achieve UHC, several important synergistic health services must be considered beyond only primary health care. In particular, access to surgical, anesthesia, and obstetric services is essential to enhancing physical, mental, and social well-being.

Despite calls for surgery and health for all from World Health Organization (WHO) leaders as far back as 1980,3 surgical, obstetric, and anesthesia (SOA) care has often been deemed too expensive and complex to deliver at scale4 and has, until recently, remained largely neglected in global surgery policy.5 To address this gap, a surge of research, advocacy, policy, and implementation efforts to expand access to these services have been taking root in several low- and middle-income countries.

In 2015, the Lancet Commission on Global Surgery published a report that approximately 70% of the world still lacked access to safe, affordable emergency and essential SOA care when needed—a shortfall disproportionately affecting those living in low- and middle-income countries. The Commission proposed 6 indicators to measure surgical systems (Table 1).4,6–8

In the same year, the World Health Assembly passed a resolution (WHA 68.15) that declared emergency and essential surgical and anesthesia care as essential components of UHC,9 and the World Bank Group described 44 cost-effective surgical interventions in Disease Control Priorities.10 SOA care has become increasingly viewed as integral to achieving the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals,11 and global leaders have begun to call for greater investments in surgical care.12

This article reviews the health policy roadmaps that 5 countries have developed since WHA 68.15 as they strive to include equitable access to SOA care in their health programs.

NATIONAL SURGICAL, OBSTETRICS, AND ANESTHESIA PLAN FRAMEWORK

Since 2015, several countries in Africa and Asia have begun to integrate national surgical, obstetric, and anesthesia plans (NSOAPs) into their country’s national health strategic plans. These innovative, context-specific NSOAPs are meant to fit within the country’s broader HSS initiatives. Their ultimate goal is to guide countries or regions to identify and close gaps as they move toward achieving, by 2030, the core surgical benchmarks necessary to deliver UHC and fulfill their commitments to WHA 68.15.

The NSOAP development process is founded on 6 core domains adapted from the World Health Organization (WHO) HSS Building Blocks.13 The process
replaces access to essential medicines with surgical infrastructure and places the medicines required for surgical care (e.g., anesthetic agents) within care delivery itself (Figure 1). These design parallels have allowed NSOAP development processes to complement other HSS initiatives and fit within broader WHO policy frameworks.

To facilitate adoption, the development process follows a flexible 8-step theoretical framework (Figure 2) to drive health policy reforms that will improve access to surgical care while engaging all key stakeholders. This framework begins from within or by obtaining support from the ministry of health and follows specific steps that allow for baseline assessments, stakeholder engagement, policy formulation, monitoring and evaluation, costing, governance, and implementation. In Tanzania, where NSOAP implementation is underway, the planning process took approximately 17 months (Figure 3). The core output of the NSOAP framework is a context-specific, baselined, costed, consensus plan with clear monitoring, evaluation, and governance for investing in and implementing surgical scale-up in any province, country, or region.

**NOTABLE NSOAP DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES**

Around the world, countries have started developing NSOAPs to sustainably expand access to SOA care. We describe 5 examples with which the authors have been closely involved and that demonstrate country-led processes that have
focused on addressing health system gaps, integrating surgical policies into broader national policies, and including and consulting all relevant stakeholders in the planning process. However, it must be noted that this list is not exhaustive. Important country and regional-level efforts are taking place worldwide, including in Ethiopia, Madagascar, and Vietnam.
Zambia

The Republic of Zambia has led efforts to expand access to surgical care, sponsoring and chairing the diplomatic negotiations that culminated in the adoption of WHA68.15 in 2015 as well the follow-up Resolution WHA70(22) in 2017 that requires WHO member states to report on their progress of WHA68.15 every 2 years.18

The Zambian Ministry of Health brought together many different surgical system stakeholders to adopt the NSOAP. Two prior nationwide assessments, the emergency obstetrics and newborn care survey, and the emergency and essential surgical care capacity survey provided the baseline from which the Zambian NSOAP was structured. The fully costed Zambian NSOAP (2017–2021)19 that was launched at the World Health Assembly in Geneva in 2016 marked the first NSOAP modeled on the Lancet Commission’s theoretical framework and the first country to affirm its political commitment to WHA 68.15.18 The NSOAP has since been fully integrated into the Zambian National Health Strategic Plan 2017–2021,20 which is a key part of Zambia’s broader National Development Plan.21 Through this integrated process, the Republic of Zambia served as a model for incorporating NSOAP implementation within a country’s broader sustainable development agenda. Furthermore, Zambia has also sponsored and chaired the adoption of regional resolutions aimed at closing the gaps in SOA care at the East Central and Southern African Health Community in 2017 and the Southern African Development Community (SADC) Health Ministers Conferences in Windhoek in 201822 and Dar es Salaam in 2019.23

Tanzania

The Tanzanian NSOAP development process, completed in 2018, sought to include a wide range of stakeholders at every step of policy dialogue and creation.24 Stakeholders were engaged in 4 key phases, and over 200 diverse stakeholders were interviewed through a situational analysis to better understand local challenges to providing quality surgical care. To accomplish this, the NSOAP development team visited health facilities at each level of care delivery and interviewed frontline providers, training institutions, blood banks, health insurers, government officials and private practitioners. This allowed them to obtain a comprehensive picture of the gaps in surgical care that could inform their priority setting.25 During the priority-setting phase, more than 70 stakeholders were engaged in policy dialogue to establish priority areas of the plan based on the situation analysis and their on-the-ground experiences. The plan was then drafted, costed, and ultimately adopted and signed by the Tanzanian Ministry of Health in 2018.

As a result of this bottom-up approach, the Tanzanian NSOAP reflects the challenges facing all actors in the surgical ecosystem, including frontline providers who will ultimately implement the plan, ensuring their buy-in and ownership from the beginning.

Pakistan

The NSOAP theoretical framework provides a flexibility that can also be applied in a decentralized, context-specific manner. In Pakistan, due to its large population, the federal government regulates and coordinates the overall strategic approach to health care provision. However, priority setting, policy, and service implementation is devolved to provincial governments. The forthcoming Pakistani National Vision for Surgical Care 2025, a context-specific NSOAP development process begun in November 2018, will establish a guiding
vision for surgery that aligns with Pakistan’s federal-level National Health Vision 2016–2025 (Figure 4). Pakistan’s NSOAP aims specifically to include children’s surgery to more effectively serve the one-third of its population under the age of 15. It also provides a roadmap for each individual provincial government to identify local barriers to surgical care, develop individually tailored provincial SOAPs, and implement context-specific changes within their provincial health networks. This unique approach specifically tailored to the Pakistani context provides a model for other countries with regional health authorities to adapt the NSOAP framework to their particular governance structures.

Rwanda

In 2017, the Rwandan Ministry of Health, together with Rwandan professional societies and international academic collaborators, embarked on a systematic baseline assessment of surgical care across the country. In a simultaneous effort to bolster surgical research capacity, this assessment was led by active Rwandan surgical residents in all of Rwanda’s 42 district hospitals using modified WHO assessment tools to measure facility and health system preparedness for emergency and essential surgery services. Using the Lancet Commission’s framework, data were organized and analyzed around the 6 surgical indicators and consequently 5 intervention domains were established. Surgical workforce (e.g., surgeons, anesthetists, obstetricians, nurses, etc.) was identified as the largest barrier to providing essential surgical care in Rwanda and became the main focus of the NSOAP development process.

Consensus on targets, strategies, activities, and financing was reached through 3 intensive workshops that brought together public and private stakeholders from every level. The Rwanda NSOAP was launched in December 2018, and then integrated into the Health Sector Strategic Plan 2018–2019 in January 2019. Since this launch, NSOAP monitoring tools have been embedded into Rwanda’s health management information systems, and a steering committee has been designated to meet on a quarterly basis to monitor progress of surgical care across Rwanda.

Nigeria

In 2017, Nigeria embarked on a national surgical, obstetric, anesthesia, and nursing plan (NSOANP) process that was driven first by the national surgical and anesthesia societies and then ultimately taken up by the Federal Ministry of Health. This stepwise engagement ensured provider buy-in

FIGURE 4. Roadmap for Pakistan’s Surgical Care Strengthening, From National Vision to Provincial Plans

Abbreviations: IHN, Indus Health Network; LOU, letter of understanding; MONHSR&C, Ministry of National Health Services, Regulation, and Coordination; NHV, National Health Vision; NSC, National Steering Committee; NVSC, National Vision for Surgical Care; PSOAP, Provincial, Surgical, Obstetric, and Anesthesia Plan; TWG, technical working group.

a Consisting of representatives from international and national public and private stakeholders to oversee and coordinate the process of being the decision maker.

b Consisting of international and national partners to conduct research, provide technical support throughout the process, and draft documents.
Nigeria prioritized children’s surgery and nursing care in its NSOAP.

Ministries of health and finance should be involved from the earliest stages of NSOAP development to best advocate for financing among competing health system budgeting priorities.

CURRENT CHALLENGES TO NSOAP PROGRESS

Developing an NSOAP is an important first step toward surgical system strengthening as it formalizes a country’s intention to improve surgical care and charts a roadmap for addressing real gaps in the health system as ascertained through baseline assessments. Nonetheless, having a plan for surgical system reform does not guarantee that implementation of the plan or meaningful change will occur. Despite the early successes of NSOAP development, implementation and scalability still face several barriers. Overcoming the barriers to implementation in each country will take engagement and collaboration from a diverse group of national and international stakeholders.

Financing for Implementation

The World Bank Group urged that access to essential surgery should be financed early on in any nation’s path to UHC.10 To do this, ministries of health and finance should be involved from the earliest stages of NSOAP development to best advocate for NSOAP financing among competing priorities in health system budgeting. An important step toward guaranteeing a budget line for NSOAP implementation within a ministry of health’s broader budget is by integrating an NSOAP into a country’s national health strategic plan, as several countries have done. NSOAP advocates can combine data on the current state of a country’s surgical system with cost-effectiveness analyses and estimates of the potential macroeconomic benefits of investing in surgical care to gain early political support for including surgical care in HSS. Clear economic incentives exist for those financing HSS to consider including investments in surgical scale-up; the Lancet Commission reported that investments in surgical scale-up across low- and middle-income countries totaling approximately $350 billion could avert gross domestic product losses of US$12 trillion.3

In Tanzania, where the NSOAP development process was completed in 2018 (Figure 3), the total cost of implementation is estimated at US$600 million by 2025, or US$1.7 per capita per year.24 As health budgeting and spending varies in each of the 5 countries described in this article (Table 2),30 no single funding source is expected to back this goal. NSOAP leaders should engage both domestic and international sources of financing early on. Organizations such as the United States Agency for International Development, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, and the World Bank’s Global Financing Facility may have new opportunities to align their existing programmatic priorities around maternal and child health, especially those regarding obstetric care, and around neglected tropical diseases with overlapping NSOAP priorities, such as blood banking and infection control.31-33

In Zambia, where NSOAP implementation has been slow, a new strategy has focused on finding entry points into existing health programs so that financial resources can be synergistically leveraged. Implementation is now poised to start with a small pilot program to generate evidence that demonstrates the impact and cost-effectiveness of surgical services with the intention of applying lessons learned toward broader Zambian surgical system scale-up.

Scalability and Regionalization

Further adoption and implementation of NSOAPs needs to be considered both within countries and in geographic regions to see broad improvements in surgical care globally. Programmatic implementation of NSOAPs as new health policies could be advanced through pilot programs within...
subnational states or provinces to evaluate their impact on HSS and health outcomes before nationwide scale-up of SOA services. Furthermore, despite an increasing number of countries developing and beginning to implement surgical health policies, integration of these policies into their broader national health strategic plans remains a challenge in some cases.

To facilitate scale-up among SADC nations, regional-level cooperation has been shown to provide support and guidance for countries newly embarking on the NSOAP development process. Across the SADC nations, health ministers reaffirmed their commitment to WHA 68.15 in 2018;22 in 2019, they agreed to support the acceleration and completion of NSOAPs by formulating a regional SOA strategy together with a regional monitoring and accountability framework.23 Similarly, 14 nations across the South Pacific came together to measure the 6 Lancet Commission surgical key performance indicators (Table 1) in collaboration with Australia and New Zealand.34 Exemplifying the impactful role that professional association of high-income countries can have when aligned with regional priorities, the Royal Australasian College of Surgeons has supported the broader region with data collection, workforce training, and overall surgical scale-up. In 2019, at the Pacific Health Ministers Meeting in French Polynesia, 22 ministers of health or their designates from Pacific Island Countries & Territories committed to developing NSOAPs as a tool for strengthening surgical care in the region.

Such regionalization also creates an opportunity for WHO regional offices to better engage with member states that are committed to strengthening surgical care. For example, at the 72nd World Health Assembly in 2019, Dr. Takeshi Kasai, WHO Regional Director for the Western Pacific, committed to incorporating surgical systems strengthening into its regional health strategy. These regional and country-level offices can provide technical support to policymakers and catalyze financing for NSOAP development within the context of existing national health plans and broader regional priorities. They can also coordinate surgical care improvements within existing programs that are already working on emergency care, maternal and child health, noncommunicable diseases, and other overlapping health priorities. As the global momentum for UHC grows, regional offices can ensure that essential SOA care is included in UHC planning.

### Data Collection

Systematic and sustainable data collection remains an essential component of the NSOAP development process. Adequate baselining and needs assessments must guide health sector prioritization, and ongoing data collection will allow for effective monitoring and evaluation of surgical system strengthening. Despite these advantages, data collection around SOA care remains limited.

To improve data collection efforts, ministries of health must support robust monitoring and evaluation plans to promote accountability around health financing and measure the impact of health reforms. To facilitate data reporting to projects like the World Bank’s World Development Indicators, surgical questions must be integrated into widely used data collection mechanisms (e.g., Demographic and Health Surveys); such efforts are already underway in Zambia.

---

**TABLE 2. Overview of Health Spending, by Country, 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Health Spending per Capita, USD&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Health Spending per GDP, %</th>
<th>Government Health Spending per Total Health Spending, %</th>
<th>Out-of-Pocket Spending per Total Health Spending, %</th>
<th>Development Assistance for Health per Total Health Spending, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>41.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> In 2018 purchasing power parity US dollars.

Abbreviations: GDP, gross domestic product; USD, United States dollar.
Furthermore, academic collaborations and international professional societies have played an essential role in building research capacity, data collection, and analysis. The international nature of medical professional societies has provided them with a unique opportunities to work with several stakeholders to support surgical system strengthening efforts. For example, the World Federation of Societies of Anesthesiology has mapped and tracked the global anesthesia workforce and has led training and advocacy initiatives to increase the skilled anesthesia workforce. They also developed International Standards for Safe Practice of Anesthesia as well as an Anesthesia Facility Assessment tool. The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics has led capacity building, training, and guideline development efforts for fistula surgery, management of postpartum hemorrhage, and cesarean hysterectomy and also collaborates closely with the International Congress of Midwives. As nurses and midwives provide a significant amount of surgical-related care, their inclusion in NSOAP development is paramount.

THE WAY FORWARD

Given that 5 billion people lack access to surgical care, NSOs that promote equitable access to safe surgical, obstetric, nursing and anesthesia services can play a critical step for improving access to essential health services worldwide. NSOAP development will complement national health plans and be an important step toward achieving UHC and the Sustainable Development Goals.

Surgical system strengthening efforts continue to gain momentum with dedicated international forums, broad adoption at WHO’s Emergency and Essential Surgical Care Programme, and stakeholder engagement meetings for surgical care in Africa, Asia, and Latin America all occurring more frequently. Embarking on the NSOAP development process is only a first step toward improving access to surgery. Widespread implementation and financing of surgical care will take time. These important first steps are inching us closer to universal access to emergency and essential surgical care—and UHC—for all.
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