The role of hepatitis B surface antibodies in HBV infection, disease and clearance
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The clinical sequelae associated with chronic HBV infection is generally regarded as a consequence of an inadequate and inappropriate immune response to active viral replication, predominantly at the T-cell level. However, recent studies on hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg)-specific B cells and hepatitis B surface antibody (anti-HB) responses have identified their previously unrecognized role in the pathogenesis of chronic hepatitis B (CHB). These studies have also uncovered novel therapeutic approaches to more effectively target HBsAg loss and seroconversion, an important end point and regarded as a functional cure. Anti-HBs IgG has also been shown to have multiple direct acting antiviral roles with the Fab component directly blocking viral entry, and release while the Fc component has been linked to antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity. Likewise, the HBsAg-specific B-cell dysfunctionality can be reversed providing new therapeutic opportunities to achieve functional cure in CHB.
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Infection with HBV can cause acute, self-limiting or chronic infection in humans [1]. Over 250 million people (approximately 3% of the world’s population) are chronically infected with HBV, representing a very significant public health burden [2]. Unfortunately, approximately 20% of patients chronically infected with HBV will develop life-threatening sequelae due to chronic HBV infection, including liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [3,4]. An effective prophylactic vaccine against HBV is available, and large-scale immunization has greatly reduced the incidence of new infections. In spite of this success, the burden of liver disease in the next few decades will continue to be significant, as there are still vast numbers of unvaccinated individuals that are at risk of infection and the current vaccines only prevents infection in approximately 80% of individuals that respond to the vaccine. Moreover, despite the development of antivirals that can suppress replication there is no cure for HBV.

In this review, the authors will outline recent developments that have improved the understanding of the virology, immunology and pathogenesis of HBV infection with focus on the interactions of the virus with anti-HBs, the antibody specific for the virus surface antigen and propose new opportunities for research that could lead to a cure for this disease.

The HBV & subviral particles

The infectious HBV is comprised of a viral genome packaged inside a core particle, which is then enveloped by the HBV surface proteins, or HBsAg. These surface proteins are responsible for binding and entry into hepatocytes, and also contain the major antigenic epitopes of the virus. Furthermore, a hallmark of human HBV infection is the secretion of very large amounts of noninfectious ‘empty’ subviral particles (SVP) comprised HBsAg, which can vary in size and shape from 22 to 28 nm spheres or as variable length tubular filaments of approximately 20 nm wide [1]. These SVPs are detected in the blood at >2000-fold excess over infectious virions, at concentrations of up to 10^{14} particles/ml which translates to 1–3 μg/ml of total serum protein [1,5], and have been shown not to interfere with or inhibit virion entry [6]. The function of this overproduction of HBsAg is controversial, but current thinking supports the notion that these SVPs act as decoy particles to bind neutralizing anti-HBs antibodies (Abs),
Pathogenesis of HBV

During acute infection in adults, once viremia becomes detectable, intrahepatic replication increases to peak at levels of up to $10^8$ to $10^9$ viral copies/ml in blood, after which these levels gradually decline preceding the onset of clinical hepatitis [10]. At this stage, there is a lack of any detectable lymphoid cellular infiltration, indicating an initial process of noncytolytic clearance of HBV, presumably via cytokine-mediated inhibition of HBV replication by the cytokines IFN-γ and TNF-α. These antiviral cytokines are secreted from CD-8+ T cells in the absence of direct destruction of infected cells [11], with virus-specific CD8+ T-cell-mediated responses becoming detectable with the increase in HBV replication. The goals of clearing infected hepatocytes and preventing further intrahepatic spread usually require a combination and co-ordination of both cytolytic and noncytolytic mechanisms. Acute resolving hepatitis B is considered a robust, co-ordinated adaptive immune response with CD8+ T cells mediating clearance of infected cells, B cells secreting neutralizing anti-HBs and CD4+ T cells supporting effective viral clearance [10,12].

As discussed above, one of the virus-specific factors affecting viral persistence is the continuous production of HBsAg as SVPs in concentrations over 2000-fold higher than that of whole virions [13]. These particles have been shown to promote a state of T-cell anergy and deletion as well as acting as a decoy for HBV-specific humoral immunity [9]. These particles can also directly modulate innate immune signaling pathways including nuclear factor kappa-light chain enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), both of which suppress inflammatory cytokines and interferon stimulated genes transcription which is normally upregulated by Toll-like receptor (TLR) signaling [13–16]. Other virus-specific proteins such as the HBx protein can inhibit proteasomal degradation of viral proteins, thereby reducing HBV antigen presentation [17] while the viral precore/HBeAg can generate tolerance [18] via downregulating TLR-2 expression on hepatocytes, Kupffer cells and peripheral monocytes during the HBeAg-positive phase of CHB [19]. The HBeAg/precore protein significantly downregulates expression of co-stimulatory molecules including CD28 on HBV specific CD-8+ T cells [20] and CD86 on peripheral blood monocytes and Kupffer cells in the liver [20]. The expression of the HBV polymerase protein has been shown to suppress the production of the myeloid differentiation primary response 88 (MYD88) adaptor protein, central to TLR function [21].

In CHB, the effector cells of both the innate and adaptive pathways are dysfunctional as a consequence of chronic immune stimulation which leads to an ‘exhaustion’ or ‘fatigued’ phenotype. Compared with those persons with resolved infection, HBV-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell response in CHB patients are significantly diminished. Molecules responsible for suppressing CTL function, such as the programmed death-1 receptor (PD-1), are typically overexpressed on HBV-specific CTLs in patients with CHB [22,23]. The inhibitory co-stimulatory molecule cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA4) is also upregulated on CD8+ T cells [24] and the positive co-stimulatory ligand CD40 is downregulated on CD4+ T cells [25]. Collectively, these changes result in suppressed proliferation of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells [26] but increased IL-10 production by CD4+ T cells [25].

The role of the humoral immune response in chronic hepatitis B (CHB) patients has recently been shown to be more important than was previously recognized. Treatment of patients with resolved hepatitis B infection with specific anti-B-cell therapies such as rituximab or ofatumumab can precipitate viral reactivation which can be life threatening [27]. This risk is high (30–80%), and much higher compared with similarly matched patients treated with traditional immunosuppressive agents [27,28], and persists even after seroconversion to anti-HBs.

In summary, CHB is a disease characterized by failure to mount a sufficient and co-ordinated adaptive immune response. Traditionally, it has been assumed that the antibody-based immune response to the HBV proteins is aimed at clearance of circulatory HBV particles while the host T-cell response contributes to eliminating the infected hepatocytes [29]. However, the role of anti-HBs in the natural history and pathogenesis of CHB is undergoing re-examination. For example, several reports have described the persistence of HBsAg associated with concomitant anti-HBs in up to 25% of patients with CHB [30,31]. The mechanism(s) underlying the co-existence of both HBsAg and anti-HBs either separately or in the form of immune complexes (IC) has not been resolved.

Role & antiviral function of anti-HBs

Anti-HBs has been well established as a reliable diagnostic tool in a number of situations. For example, its presence can indicate protective immunity after acute resolved HBV infection, successful vaccination as well as being accepted as a marker of ‘functional cure’ of chronic HBV infection (HBsAg loss with anti-HBs seroconversion) [32]. The
anti-HBs contained in hepatitis B immunoglobulin (HBIG) has been shown to be effective in preventing perinatal transmission from infected mothers to their newborns, post exposure prophylaxis following needle-stick injuries, preventing reinfection of orthotropic liver transplants in previously infected recipients, as well as by the success of the universal immunization program using recombinant HBsAg [33]. As noted above, B-cell depleting drugs used in oncology and rheumatology such as the anti-CD20 antibody rituximab, can result in HBV reactivation in patients with ‘resolved’ HBV where nuclear HBV cccDNA has persisted as a viral reservoir for decades [34].

However, the mechanisms of anti-HBs protection are not completely understood, although traditional understanding is based on the model of binding HBV particles in the circulation, thus preventing the infection (or re-infection) of hepatocytes. From a ‘direct acting antiviral’ perspective, the Fab component of the anti-HBs can block entry of HBV into hepatocytes by preventing the interaction between the binding site of Pre-S1 and NTCP. The Fab part of the anti-HBs IgG can also block the interaction between the ‘a’ determinant of the HBsAg with the low affinity cell receptor HSPG in a pre-NTCP-binding step. These Ab-binding events may interfere with binding via steric hindrance, or by inducing conformational change within the surface proteins.

Recently, in vitro experiments have shown that the Fc effector functions of anti-HBs can be important as well. Anti-HBs were shown to be internalized into hepatocyte-derived cell lines via the Fc neonatal receptor which caused inhibition of the assembly and release of SVPs as well as viroins from these cells [35]. The study also revealed that the internalization of anti-HBs, as well as the intracellular neutralization of HBV [35], were mediated via the FcRn receptor. The group further demonstrated specificity by showing that anti-HBs failed to inhibit virus release when cells were transfected with HBV genomes expressing antibody escape mutants, implying the HBsAg-anti-HBs interaction is epitope specific [35]. These data indicate an alternative antiviral function of anti-HBs by blocking viral particle release from cells, in other words, a secretion inhibition effect, in addition to the classical models of clearance of HBV/HBsAg from the circulation [36] and blocking of virus entry.

Further support for the role of anti-HBs in HBV clearance comes from a study of individuals who cleared HBV infection during adefovir/tenofovir therapy. Using a 19-plex epitope mapping approach across the HBsAg antigenic ‘a’ determinant, occupancy of epitopes in loop 1 and loop 2 of the ‘a’ determinant was detected in those patients who went on to achieve a functional cure, suggesting that anti-HB responses across these regions are required for clearance [37].

HBsAg-specific B-cell dysfunction
Anti-HBs are usually only detectable in serum after the clearance of serum HBsAg. As introduced above, it has been suggested that anti-HBs could be depleted by the large number of circulating SVPs that greatly outnumber viroins. In support of this suggestion is the finding that complexes of anti-HBs with circulating HBsAg are not recognized by current diagnostic assays, but can be detected in chronically infected patients using more highly sensitive immunoassays [37,38]. However, alternative explanations have been provided to understand this apparent lack of anti-HBs as an HBsAg-specific B-cell dysfunction. When examining the B-cell phenotype (CD27, CD69, CD71, CD86 and CXCR3 expression) and differentiation into immunoglobulin-producing cells in patients with CHB, researchers observed B-cell activation, but not exhaustion in their cohort, and the rate of memory B-cell proliferation and differentiation to plasma cells was low [39]. In another study, B cells from patients with CHB were isolated and demonstrated hyperactivation during the immune activation phase while the expression of the co-stimulatory molecule CD80 and serum anti-HBs were decreased in the immune tolerant, immune activation and immune clearance phases. These defects were reversed in patients who lost HBsAg and seroconverted [40].

HBsAg is a T-cell-dependent antigen and the production of anti-HBs requires CD4+ T-helper cells (Th) [41]. A unique CD4+ helper subset within the lymphoid follicle, termed the T follicular helper (Tfh) cells, has been identified and shown to support the development of B cells into antibody producing cells in germinal centers (GC) [42]. Furthermore this Th cell subset is often dysregulated in chronic infections [43] which can often lead to not only aberrant B-cell responses but also disease progression. In a chronic HBV infection tolerant mouse, it was shown that an effective Tfh cell response to HBsAg was required for clearance of HBV [44]. This response was suppressed by Tregs, but could be reversed by Treg depletion, as well as CTLA4 blocking. Importantly, these investigators confirmed an impaired Tfh response to HBsAg in the blood compartment from patients with CHB, indicating a possible new therapeutic approach for treatment of patients with chronic HBV infection.

Two more recent studies have attempted to address the issue of the lack of anti-HBs in acute and chronic HBV infection [45,46]. These investigators were able to establish that HBsAg specific B cells are indeed present in acute, chronic and resolved HBV infection in similar frequencies, but only the cells from resolved HBV infection were
capable of maturing into anti-HBs-secreting cells \textit{in vitro}. The HBsAg-specific B cells in acute and chronic HBV infection resembled atypical memory B cells that are characterized by low expression of CD21 and CD27, and high expression of inhibitory markers such as PD-1 and T-bet [47], reflecting an ‘exhaustion’ phenotype. Interestingly, this functional impairment affected the global B-cell population in HBV-infected patients. One of the studies also demonstrated that these atypical memory B cells accumulated in the liver [48] and both groups showed that the functionality of these atypical memory B cells could be partially restored \textit{in vitro} by specific culture conditions including PD-1 blockade or with the addition of IL-2, IL-21 and CD40 ligand-expressing feeder cells [46,48]. Thus, it can be concluded from these studies that B-cell dysfunction, rather than antibody depletion is the main contributor to the lack of anti-HBs in acute and chronic infection [46,48]. However, such a conclusion does not explain the presence of ICs and co-circulating anti-HBs in CHB patients which is discussed next.

**Immune complexes & co-existing anti-HBs**

Immune complexes (ICs) of HBsAg and anti-HBs have been found in the majority of patients with CHB who have high viral loads, irrespective of concomitant detection of free anti-HBs [49,50]. Thus, it could be speculated that most people with HBV have some production of anti-HBs, but these are ‘mopped up’ by the excess amount of HBsAg in the form of SVPs to form immune complexes [51]. As such, expansion of the antibody responses to curative levels has been attempted as a potential therapeutic approach [51]. Furthermore, since anti-HBs-dependent phagocytic HBsAg uptake may modulate presentation of HBsAg-derived epitopes to antigen-specific T cells, understanding the role of various immune cell subsets in the clearance of HBsAg from the circulation is important. Monocytes, B-cells, dendritic cells and neutrophils have been shown to be the major cell populations that internalize HBsAg ICs in patients with CHB [52].

Despite the abundance of HBsAg-containing SVPs in the sera, the co-occurrence of free, unbound anti-HBs has been reported. The prevalence of this co-existing anti-HBs appears to depend on the assay used for detection. Initial attempts to explain this co-existing free antigen and antibody led to the theory that the antigenic subtype of the HBsAg and anti-HBs were mismatched [53–57]. Co-existing HBsAg and anti-HBs was usually found in patients with high viral replicative activity, leading to the theory that anti-HBs in chronically infected persons had no significant protective, clearing or pathogenic properties, and that B-cell clones encoding high affinity anti-HBs to that individual’s own HBsAg would be (somehow) ineffective [50,58].

The advent and widespread use of DNA sequencing led to an alternative explanation; that coexisting free HBsAg and anti-HBs might be driven by the emergence/selection of HBsAg escape variants [59], which are well known to cause reduced or complete loss of anti-HBs binding to HBsAg [59–62]. Lada and colleagues [59] examined mutations in the S genes from CHB patients with and without co-existing anti-HBs, and found a significantly increased number of substitutions (~10% in anti-HBs-positive vs 2% in those without anti-HBs) in the major antigenic region. These authors concluded that concomitant anti-HBs would favor selection of HBsAg mutants. However, this has been challenged by Zhang and colleagues who demonstrated in their cohort that persons with CHB who were also positive for anti-HBs did not have significantly more substitutions than appropriately matched persons without detectable anti-HBs [62]. This more recent study is from Asia while the study by Lada and colleagues [59] was a European investigation and so HBV genotype might have played a role.

The detection of co-existing HBsAg and anti-HBs during chronic HBV infection could provide the basis for the concept that an anti-HBs response to HBsAg during the course of CHB might actually contribute to HBV clearance [51,55]. Antigen-antibody IC may enhance the uptake and processing of HBsAg by phagocytic cells, resulting in more effective cross-presentation of HBsAg, stimulating a revitalized cell-mediated immune response [63]. In support of this, it has been demonstrated that it is possible to generate or reactivate effective T-cell responses via formation of IC [63,64]. Not surprising then, the use of a monoclonal antibody (mAb) known as E6F6 enhanced virus-specific CD8\(^+\) T-cell responses in HBV tolerant mice [65]. These findings were confirmed by another group using a similar mouse model, where these investigators also removed circulating HBsAg by using a neutralizing anti-HBs mAb (Ab-H). Using this antibody based approach, these investigators were able to reduce virus dependent tolerance, re-establish B-cell and CD4\(^+\) T-cell responses to subsequent HBV vaccination with recombinant HBsAg, resulting in anti-HBs seroconversion [66]. This approach has been termed ‘passive-active immunization’ and was successfully used to treat post-exposure HBV infection in newborns [67,68]. This approach could be extended to the chronically infected scenario and could also drive resolution of persistent infection by preventing multiple rounds of infection or re-infection, eventually leading to depletion of the cccDNA pool via the \textit{de-novo} cccDNA pathway [1,45].
HBV neutralization, antibody-dependent cell cytotoxic/complement-dependent cytotoxicity

Viral proteins are recognized as foreign and antibodies are raised against viral components following infection [69,70]. Surprisingly, only a minor fraction of these antibodies have been shown to have direct antiviral activity in vitro, and as such these antibodies are described as (broadly) neutralizing antibodies while the major fraction is referred to as non-neutralizing antibodies [71]. Neutralizing activity requires the antibody to be of relatively high affinity and/or specific for exposed structures on the virus surface [72,73]. Such neutralizing antibodies frequently render virions noninfectious by steric hindrance of the interactions of the viral surface protein and its receptor [74,75]. Furthermore, the generalized process of viral entry with enveloped viruses typically requires extensive conformational changes of the viral surface proteins [76,77], the binding of neutralizing antibodies may also prevent viral entry by directly interfering with these conformational changes [78,79].

Two groups of HBV neutralizing antibodies have been identified. The first type comprises that group of antibodies which target specific sites in the ‘a’ determinant and are capable of neutralizing viral entry and blocking the interaction with the ‘pre-receptor’ HSPG [80]. As discussed above, during chronic HBV infection these anti-HB antibodies are often compromised by the large excess of circulating SVPs (both spherical and filamentous forms). Furthermore, these MHR-specific anti-HB antibodies have been shown to block HBV/SVP release from the intracellular compartment following their endocytosis into the hepatocyte via the FcRn receptor [35] (Figure 1). Antibodies to this region are generated by the prophylactic S-antigen containing vaccines. The second type of neutralizing anti-HB antibodies target the high affinity receptor binding site of the HBV Pre-S1 domain. These antibodies block the binding of virions to the NTCP receptor on the hepatocyte [81,82] thereby preventing infection of hepatocytes (Figure 1).

It is reasonable to conclude that the vast majority of virus-specific antibodies generated in response to viral infection have no neutralizing activity. This may be because they are elicited from virion fragments, or by viral proteins that are released from dying, infected cells [83,84]. Non-neutralizing antibodies can also be enlisted against native surface antigens, but to epitopes for which antibody binding does not interfere with viral attachment and entry [85]. These non-neutralizing antibodies bind to surface-accessible epitopes and control viral infection by utilizing the Fc component of the IgG molecule. This then results in activation of the complement system, augmentation of phagocytosis and promotion of antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC). Thus, anti-HB antibodies can also act through a variety of additional Fc-dependent mechanisms, including the killing and/or phagocytosis of infected cells, clearance of viral and subviral particles and blocking viral entry via Fab recognition of virions. Experiments using the human hepatoma cell line PLC/PRF/5 cells which express HBsAg on its cell surface have shown that targeted cell lysis can occur through complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) as well as an ADCC process in the presence of monoclonal anti-HB antibodies [86]. The degree of complement-mediated lysis of these cells by monoclonal anti-HBs IgM and IgG2a isotypes, was similar and ranged between 12 and 28% (mean, 20%). The IgG1 anti-HBs monoclonal had no effect. More recent studies in mouse models of HBV infection using mAbs targeting the ‘a’ determinant of the antigenic loop of HBsAg (mAb E6F6) [65] and the NTCP-binding site of Pre-S1 (2H5-A14) [87] have shown the ability of these antibodies to control and lower HBV DNA and HBsAg levels. These antiviral effects were abolished when these mAbs were re-engineered with an Fc component (D265A/N297A or DANA) that prevented binding to the Fc Rs. Interestingly, the Pre-S1 mAb 2H5-A14 but not its DANA variant, significantly reduced the levels of cccDNA in the HBV-infected mice probably by blocking multiple rounds of HBV reinfection (the de novo pathway of cccDNA generation). Thus, an antiviral effect of ADCC mediated by natural killer (NK) cells in vitro has been demonstrated [87].

A key consideration for the relative significance of ADCC/CDC mechanisms operating in CHB is the pattern of HBsAg and HBeAg distribution in the hepatocytes. Initial studies using immunofluorescence (IF) staining of liver biopsies demonstrated HBsAg was localized in the cytoplasm and the membranes of the hepatocytes while HBeAg was found exclusively in the cytoplasm and nucleus [88]. Importantly, HBsAg expression on liver cell membranes was most prominent in the active stage of CHB including active cirrhosis. These important observations have been extended by other investigators [89] using immunohistochemistry (IHC) approaches. These studies demonstrated a strong correlation between membranous staining of HBsAg on the hepatocyte and the level of HBV DNA in serum [90], but were unable to demonstrate any correlation between the severity of hepatic inflammation and HBsAg membranous expression. This could be due to the nature of the IHC techniques used compared with IF in differences such as tissue fixation and processing.
Figure 1. HBV life cycle and the function of anti-HBs. (A) HBV virions circulating in blood stream enter the liver through the sinusoidal system and have contact with hepatocytes possibly by passing through the space of Disse between sinusoidal endothelial cells. (B) HBV binds to the heparan sulphate proteoglycan via its ‘a’ determinant of the S protein; this binding is reversible and low affinity and leads to conformational changes on the surface protein and release the Pre-S1-binding site. (C) The virus establishes stable irreversible binding to NTCP through Pre-S1 on L-protein. (D) The HBV virion enters hepatocytes through endocytosis and releases rcDNA containing nucleocapsids into the cytoplasm. (E) Nucleocapsid is transported into nucleus through the nuclear pore, the core protein dissociates and the virus genome rcDNA is then released. (F) The virus rcDNA is repaired to form the minichromosomal cccDNA, which functions as the major transcriptional template of the virus. (G) The cccDNA is transcribed into various length HBV RNAs including the pgRNA, which is the template for reverse transcription and also functions as a template for core and polymerase proteins. pgRNA is then encapsidated with polymerase and assembled core protein, to form nucleocapsid. (H) The immature capsid is further coated at ER with oligomerised surface proteins, then the matured virion is released through the ESCRT II pathway via the multivesicular body. Alternatively, nucleocapsid can also be directed back to the nucleus to form cccDNA. (I) HBV SVP without nucleocapsids are assembled in the ER but are processed through an ER intermediate compartment, then glycosylated and secreted via Golgi.

Functions of anti-HBs:
1. Can form IC with SVP and virions.
2. The ‘a’ specific anti-HBs can prevent HBV binding to HSPG.
3. The pre-S1-specific anti-HBs can inhibit HBV binding to NTCP.
4. Anti-HBs can bind to HBsAg presented on the surface of hepatocytes, leading to ADCC, either through activation of complement, or NK cells.
5. Anti-HBs can also be transported into hepatocytes through the FcRn receptor, neutralizing and blocking release of intracellular SVPs and virions.

Finally, it is demonstrated that hepatocytes isolated from patients with CHB are often found to be covered by immunoglobulin. These investigators demonstrated that in HBsAg-positive chronic liver disease, IgG could be found on the plasma cell membrane and could be linked to increased susceptibility to in vitro NK cell cytotoxicity. This lytic activity for hepatocytes was also shown to be associated with increased severity of histological liver
damage [91], suggesting a role for ADCC/CDC in the evolution and progression of the liver injury. A later study extended these findings using liver biopsies of CHB patients and showed that not only HBcAg and HBsAg but also the asialoglycoprotein receptor were heavily expressed on the hepatocyte surface and that hepatocyte cytolysis was mediated via complement [92], strongly implying that CDC can also contribute to the injury of HBV-infected hepatocytes.

Collectively, the above observations highlight alternative pathogenic pathways which operate in CHB, generating the potential and opportunity to expand approaches for developing a functional cure of CHB by exploring the role of envelope-specific neutralizing as well as non-neutralizing anti-HB antibodies.

**Therapeutic opportunities for anti-HBs**

Clearance of serum HBsAg is considered a crucial step for restoration of host immunity and functional cure of CHB. To achieve this, both a rapid and potent decrease in the serum HBsAg load with induction of effective (and endogenous) host immunity would be expected to be fundamental and the theoretical ideal approach to achieve functional cure. The ‘ingredients’ of such a therapeutic approach could include preparations of anti-HBs (potent and neutralizing) and/or therapeutic vaccines which promote Th1 cell, B-cell and CD8+ T-cell recovery in a co-ordinated response, NK cell based therapy and/or combinations of these including the use of IC-based approaches.

**Preparations of anti-HBs**

The clinical applications of human HBIG have been introduced and highlighted earlier. The main antiviral mechanisms of HBIG include preventing HBV entry and re-entry, which appears to not only protect uninfected hepatocytes from infection, but hypothetically could also reduce the level of cccDNA by blocking the de novo pathway. Most clinical investigations have demonstrated that existing levels of circulating HBsAg in patients with CHB on nucleos(t)ide analog therapy do not change over time, and are almost invariably associated with normalization of serum ALT [93]. These observations indicate the induction of a state of tolerance that could preclude the host from responding to either endogenous viral control or to an immune-based therapeutic clearance. If this is the case, then clearance of circulating HBsAg might be sufficient to reduce the tolerance associated with CHB in order to enable the host to re-establish protective immune responses, using an immune-based therapeutic. A number of investigators have observed a possible association between the circulating HBsAg level and the state of tolerance in mouse models [66, 94]. One group elegantly demonstrated that by removing circulating HBsAg with a monoclonal antibody (Ab-H) in tolerant mice could indeed reduce tolerance and re-establish both B-cell and CD4+ T-cell responses to subsequent prophylactic vaccination and indeed produce protective circulating IgG [66]. Furthermore, addition of a TLR agonist resulted in induction of HBsAg-specific CD8+ T-cell response capable of clearing HBV from both the serum and liver of these mAb-treated mice. Thus, accumulating evidence is building for the concept that endogenous immune recovery can indeed be achieved by reducing or clearing extracellular HBsAg SVPs with an mAb and subsequent targeted immune-based therapy [66].

Such in vivo studies have been used to (re)-interpret earlier clinical studies of monoclonal anti-HBs therapy in patients with CHB. The first study from Europe in patients not receiving NA therapy and given Tuvirumab, a human mAb recognizing the ‘a’ determinant of HBsAg, demonstrated limited efficacy in reducing the HBsAg level [95]. This study treated four patients with Tuvirumab monotherapy and six patients in combination with IFN-α 2b. In those patients with ‘low levels’ of circulating HBsAg there was a clear decline in HBsAg. In 30% of patients, the serum ALT levels decreased but the treatment led to the formation of insoluble ICs, resulting in proteinuria in 40% of the patients. Fortunately, this was reversible on cessation of mAb therapy. In spite of this, other investigators in Asia have treated patients with monthly HBIG injections but unlike this European study, were on long-term nucleos(t)ide analog therapy, and achieved significant reductions in HBsAg levels of more than 1.0 log IU/ml in half the treated patients, but the study numbers were small. Importantly 75% of these (3 out of 4) became anti-HBs positive and no adverse events occurred during the HBIG therapy such as proteinuria/haematuria [96]. In a follow-up of the Tuvirumab study, it is demonstrated that by 3 months after therapy all HBsAg levels had returned to baseline and the monoclonal antibody could no longer be detected [97].

**Therapeutic vaccines**

A number of therapeutic vaccines, as either adjunct therapy or as an alternative to long-term NA treatment, have been and are still currently being developed for CHB. One of the first studies used 12 doses of high-dose
equivalent (100 g) adjuvant-free Engerix-B (recombinant HBsAg-S prepared from yeast) in combination with a novel adjuvant AS02B plus daily Lamivudine for 52 weeks [98]. Disappointingly, the study had no impact on HBsAg-seroconversion rates in spite of the induction of a vigorous HBsAg-specific lymphoproliferative response, cytokine production as well as anti-HB antibodies in most patients. The latest therapeutic vaccine undergoing clinical evaluation is GS-4774 from Gilead Sciences, which is a heat-activated, yeast-based T-cell vaccine previously shown to elicit HBV-specific T-cell responses [99]. This vaccine was well tolerated but did not produce significant reductions in the level of serum HBsAg in virally (Tenofovir) suppressed patients with CHB [100]. This pattern of nonresponse is a recurring theme for therapeutic vaccines in CHB [101,102].

Similarly, clinical trials with peptide vaccines containing highly immunogenic HBc 18–27 and HBV surface based protein vaccines have also shown limited efficacy especially if used as monotherapy [103,104]. Later, a vaccine formulation comprising both HBsAg or HBcAg particles on a saponin-based adjuvant was evaluated for its ability to stimulate both T- and B-cell responses in C57BL/6 mice, but any antiviral effects were modest, at best [105]. Other studies demonstrated that immunization with more complex combinations of HBsAg and HBcAg antigens as well as with heat shock proteins such as gp96 or ubiquitin could induce robust antiviral T-cell responses resulting in higher levels of IL-2 and IFN-γ as well as a greater percentage of HBsAg-specific CD8+ T-cells, anti-HBs and anti-HBc. These responses could be correlated with significant decreases of serum HBsAg and HBV DNA in mouse models [106,107]. Thus, it would seem that peptide- and protein-based vaccines combined with adjuvants could effectively activate measurable levels of antiviral immunity [102], but these treatments and effects do not unfortunately translate into an acceptable therapeutic end point such as HBsAg loss.

**HBsAg-HBIG immune complex therapeutic vaccine**

To date, the multiple attempts to develop therapeutic vaccines for CHB have resulted in disappointing outcomes. An alternative strategy led by Wen and colleagues from has focused on using a preformed HBsAg antigen–antibody complex, as a possible therapeutic vaccine candidate for patients with CHB. Their underlying hypothesis is similar to Zhu and colleagues [66] which was based on the premise that patients with CHB are essentially tolerant to complex, as a possible therapeutic vaccine candidate for patients with CHB. Their underlying hypothesis is similar to Zhu and colleagues [66].

An alternative strategy led by Wen and colleagues in China has focused on using a preformed HBsAg antigen–antibody complex, as a possible therapeutic vaccine candidate for patients with CHB. Their underlying hypothesis is similar to Zhu and colleagues [66] which was based on the premise that patients with CHB are essentially tolerant to complex, as a possible therapeutic vaccine candidate for patients with CHB. Their underlying hypothesis is similar to Zhu and colleagues [66].

**Future perspective**

The recent realization that hepatitis B specific B cells and anti-HBs do indeed have an important role in the pathogenesis of CHB should result in an important and significant shift of research efforts to address how to
achieve functional cure. Conventional therapeutic vaccines have, to date been unsuccessful. Understanding the role that anti-HBs can play in achieving clearance of hepatitis B surface antigen from the blood compartment should translate to significant clinical benefit for patients with CHB.

Executive summary

**Chronic HBV**
- The HBV is an enveloped double-stranded DNA virus, which produces a large amount of subviral particles during HBV infection.
- A co-ordinated adaptive immune response of both B cells and T cells are required to achieve virus clearance in acute HBV infection.
- Dysfunction of both T-cell and B-cell responses have been observed during chronic hepatitis B infection.

**Anti-HBs**
- Anti-HBs can block entry of virus, assembly of subviral particles and secretion/release of subviral particles, induce antibody-dependent cell cytotoxicity of infected cells.
- HBsAg-specific B cells in chronic hepatitis B patients are accumulated in exhausted phenotype and are defect of differentiating into antibody producing cells.
- Immune complexes of anti-HBs with HBsAg and co-existing anti-HBs and HBsAg have been observed in chronic hepatitis B infected patients, their relative function remains elusive.

**Therapeutic opportunities**
- Anti-HBs can remove HBsAg from blood and reduce tolerance.
- Therapeutic vaccines expressing HBsAg and HbcAg epitopes are being trialed to treat chronic HBV.
- Combinations of anti-HBs and therapeutic vaccines are being developed to treat HBV.
- HBsAg-anti-HBs ICs are being used to treat chronic HBV.
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