The acute metabolic and cardiovascular effects of mirabegron in healthy individuals

Rebecca K.C. Loh, Melissa F. Formosa, Andre La Gerche, Anne T. Reutens, Bronwyn A. Kingwell and Andrew L. Carey1,2

1. Metabolic and Vascular Physiology Laboratory, Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, P.O. Box 6492, Melbourne, VIC 3004, Australia
2. Department of Physiology, Monash University, Clayton, Melbourne, VIC, Australia.
3. Sports Cardiology Laboratory, Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
4. Clinical Diabetes and Epidemiology, Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

Short running title: Dose-dependent effects of 3-adrenoceptor agonism

Word count:
- Abstract: 246
- Main manuscript: 3,526

References: 40
Tables: 1
Figures: 4

Corresponding authors
Andrew L Carey* and Bronwyn A Kingwell
Metabolic and Vascular Physiology
Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute
P.O. Box 6492, Melbourne, VIC 3004, Australia
E-mail: andrew.carey@baker.edu.au; bronwyn.kingwell@baker.edu.au
*correspondence and reprint requests

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/dom.13516

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.
Abstract

Aims: £3-adrenoceptor activation represents a potential target for increased energy expenditure through brown adipose tissue (BAT) thermogenesis. The purpose of this study was to quantify acute energy expenditure, supraclavicular skin temperature and cardiovascular responses to 4 doses of the £3-adrenoceptor agonist, mirabegron.

Materials and methods: Seventeen individuals (11 male, 6 female) participated in this ascending dose study, receiving single 50, 100, 150 and 200 mg of mirabegron on 4 separate days with 3-14 days wash-out between each dose. All variables were measured each visit from baseline to 180 min post mirabegron treatment. To determine BAT thermogenic efficacy at each dose, baseline energy expenditure and supraclavicular skin temperature were compared to 180 min post mirabegron treatment. To examine safety, changes in cardiovascular variables at 100, 150 and 200mg were compared to the standard clinical dose of 50 mg.

Results: Energy expenditure significantly increased after 100 (35.6±5.4 kj/hr) and 200 mg (35.6±13.1 kj/hr) doses (pd0.05), and trended towards an increase after 150 mg (24.1±13.6 kj/hr). Supraclavicular skin temperature increased after 50 (0.22±0.1°C), 100 (0.30±0.1°C) and 150 mg (0.29±0.1°C) mirabegron (pd0.05). The change in systolic blood pressure was greater after 150 (7.1±1.3 mmHg) and 200 mg (9.3±1.9 mmHg) doses compared to 50 mg (2.2±1.3 mmHg, pd0.05). The change in heart rate was greater after 200 mg (9.0±2.2 bpm) compared to 50 mg (2.9±1.4 bpm, pd0.05).

Conclusions: A 100mg dose of mirabegron increases energy expenditure and supraclavicular skin temperature in a £3-adrenoceptor-specific manner without off-target elevations in blood pressure or heart rate observed at higher doses.

Trial registration: (anzctr.org.au): ACTRN12617000542392
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**Introduction**

Brown adipose tissue (BAT) has been proposed as a potential target for anti-obesity therapy due to its primary function of heat production during cold exposure and associated elevation in energy expenditure.\(^1\) BAT recruitment and thermogenesis\(^2\) is mediated by the \(^2\)3-adrenoceptor, which is highly expressed in brown adipocytes and the detrusor muscle and urothelium of the bladder.\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^5\) Soon after discovery of the \(^2\)3-adrenoceptor, it became the focus of pharmacological targeting for potential weight reduction in humans. Though early agents were successful at eliciting weight loss and lowering glycaemic index in rodent models,\(^6\)-\(^8\) they failed in human trials due to poor selectivity, bioavailability and pharmacokinetic properties for the human \(^2\)3-adrenoceptor.\(^6\),\(^9\) Moreover, variations in BAT \(^2\)3-adrenoceptor expression between species may have contributed to lack of efficacy.\(^10\),\(^11\)

Recently, a new generation of \(^2\)3-adrenoceptor agonists with good bioavailability and high *in vitro* binding specificity was developed for the treatment of overactive bladder syndrome (OAB).\(^12\) Mirabegron was approved by the USA Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2012 for treatment of OAB. In the first attempt to translate novel \(^2\)3-adrenoceptor agonists to the study of human BAT, Cypess *et al.*\(^13\) demonstrated an increase in whole body energy expenditure and BAT glucose uptake after a single 200 mg mirabegron dose, to a degree that matched responses to cold exposure.\(^13\) However, this dose is higher than the current therapeutic dose approved for OAB therapy (50 mg). As expected, based on randomised clinical trials (RCT) during development for OAB,\(^14\)-\(^17\) the 200 mg dose significantly increased systolic blood pressure by \(~\)10 mmHg. This is due to loss of selectivity for the \(^2\)3-
adrenoceptor at this dose, such that mirabegron indirectly activates \( \alpha \)-adrenoceptors that are widely expressed throughout various organs, particularly the cardiovascular system.\(^{18}\) Though higher doses (~200 mg) are effective at activating BAT, prolonged adrenergic stimulation of the cardiovascular system would potentially elevate risk for conditions such as hypertension, making chronic use at such doses unviable.\(^{19}\) Additionally, our lab previously demonstrated that chronic pan-\( \alpha \)-AR agonism may reduce BAT function.\(^{20}\)

Although 50 mg is the highest approved therapeutic dose for OAB, RCTs with mirabegron doses up to 100 mg/day for at least 12 months had a good safety profile.\(^{21}\) Nevertheless, it remains unknown whether doses higher than 50 mg but lower than 200 mg can increase energy expenditure and BAT activity. Hence, the aim of the present study was to determine the effects of multiple doses of mirabegron (50, 100, 150, and 200 mg) on whole body energy expenditure and BAT heat production, and to compare cardiovascular responses between the approved maximum therapeutic dose of 50 mg to higher mirabegron doses (100, 150 and 200 mg) in young healthy adults.
Materials and Methods

This study was approved by the Alfred Health Human Ethics Committee and performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, Seventh Revision, 2013. All participants provided written, informed consent. Study data were collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute.22

Participants

Twenty-one participants (aged 18–35 years, body mass index (BMI) d30 kg/m², free from cardiovascular disease and diabetes, unmedicated, non-smokers) consented to participate in this study, conducted between April and November 2017. Four participants withdrew from the study prior to participation in the first drug treatment trial. Therefore, 17 participants were included in the data analyses (CONSORT recruitment diagram shown in Figure 1).

Study design

This study was a non-randomised, multiple ascending dose pilot study. Participants visited the research facility on a maximum of five occasions. On the first laboratory visit, a general medical screen was conducted and baseline physical (height, weight, waist:hip ratio, blood pressure, body composition via dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry) and biochemical (glucose and insulin) characteristics were measured. The following four visits each involved taking a single dose of 50, 100, 150 or 200 mg of mirabegron in an ascending dose order. The wash-out period between each mirabegron dose was 3-14 days. Baseline and post mirabegron
administration physiological variables were measured as described below.
Medical screening

After obtaining informed consent, a physical assessment was conducted, including height, weight, waist:hip ratio, blood pressure via automated oscillometry (Omron Healthcare, Melbourne, VIC, Australia) and body composition via dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (GE Lunar iDXA, Getz Healthcare, Lane Cove, NSW, Australia). Fasting blood glucose and insulin (Alfred Health, Department of Pathology, Melbourne, Australia) were measured and a general medical health assessment, including electrocardiography (ECG), were conducted by a physician.

Drugs administration trials

Participants were requested to fast from 2200 hours the night before and refrain from alcohol, caffeine (tea, coffee, caffineated soft drinks) and vigorous exercise 48 hours prior to each visit. They arrived at the laboratory at approximately 0800 hours, voided and were dressed in hospital scrubs and socks. Skin temperature sensors (iButtons Maxim, San Jose, CA, USA) were placed on the skin as described below with Micropore tape (3M Health Care, St Paul, MN, USA) for continuous recording of skin temperature (reported data-points include the mean of the 15 min-by-min recordings preceding each time-point). Participants then rested for 90 min in a supine position covered by a blanket. For all trials, the laboratory was maintained at 24-25°C and monitored throughout (Davis Vantage Weather Station, Davis Instruments, Hayward CA, USA).
After resting for 90 min, energy expenditure (indirect calorimetry) was measured. Baseline blood pressure and heart rate were recorded as the mean of four separate measurements between 5 and 30 min preceding drug administration. Immediately following this, the appropriate dose of mirabegron was administered with water. Over the following 180 min, blood pressure, heart rate and skin temperature were measured every 15 min and energy expenditure was measured at 60, 120 and 180 min after drug ingestion.

Biochemical analyses
During screening, blood was collected in an appropriate preservative and glucose and insulin measured immediately to clinical diagnostic standard (Alfred Hospital, Department of Pathology).

Energy expenditure
Energy expenditure was measured as previously described using indirect calorimetry for 20-30 min at each time-point, with the mean of the final ~15 min of recording used for data reporting.

Supravclavicular skin temperature
Direct measurement of BAT activity requires use of positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) techniques, which involve radiation exposure and prohibit multiple scans within an individual. To overcome this limitation, we employed iButton temperature sensors and recorders to assess supravclavicular skin temperature (Thermodata, Eight Miles
Plains, QLD, Australia) as a surrogate indicator of BAT activity. These devices have been previously validated as representative of BAT activity in response to cold exposure in humans. Several PET/CT imaging studies including ours along with studies which have employed iButton sensors indicate that a prominent BAT depot lies close to the skin beneath the supraclavicular fossa. The size, shape and specific location of this BAT depot exhibits inter-individual variability, with prominent neck musculature also underlying the skin in this region. Two sensors were therefore positioned in each supraclavicular fossa; they were placed immediately above the clavicle, one between the sternal and clavicular heads of the sternocleidomastoid muscle, and the other, lateral to the clavicular head of this muscle. Supraclavicular skin temperature was reported as the mean of recordings from these sensors.

**Blood pressure and heart rate**

At each time-point, blood pressure and heart rate were measured 3 times at ~30 second intervals using an automated oscillometric device (Omron Healthcare, Melbourne, VIC, Australia) and the average of the three measurements reported at each time-point and used for statistical analyses where indicated. Since the purpose of the trial was to determine dose-specific efficacy and safety for mirabegron, an increase in mean systolic blood pressure of >10 mmHg for all values recorded at 120, 150 and 180 min after mirabegron treatment in any trial was deemed to have induced unacceptable off-target effects. In such instances, participants were excluded from remaining trial/s.

**Statistical analyses**
The peak concentration of mirabegron in the blood over the proposed dose range has been previously reported to occur approximately 180 min after oral dosing, therefore, this time-point was selected for key statistical comparisons. All variables were checked for normality prior to analyses.

**Efficacy.**

Energy expenditure and skin temperature data were normally distributed. To determine whether individual mirabegron doses altered energy expenditure and supraclavicular skin temperature, variables were analysed using a Paired Student’s t-test comparing baseline (0 min) with 180 min post mirabegron ingestion within each dose.

**Safety.**

The change in cardiovascular variables were defined as the change from baseline to 180 min after drug ingestion. Because blood pressure and heart rate data were not normally distributed, non-parametric analyses were employed. Safety of each of 100, 150 and 200 mg doses was assessed based on comparison with the standard clinical dose of 50 mg. Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA followed by Bonferroni-corrected Conover-Iman post-hoc analysis was used to compare groups.

Statistical analyses were performed using Graphpad Prism (v7; GraphPad Software, La Jolle, CA, USA) or Stata/SE 14.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). Data are expressed as
mean±SEM with $p < 0.05$ considered significant. Trends towards significance were accepted as $p < 0.1$. 
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Results

Study population

Baseline characteristics for the cohort are reported in Table 1 (11 males and 6 females, age 24±1 years, BMI 23±1 kg/m²). Seventeen, thirteen, twelve and ten participants completed the 50, 100, 150 and 200 mg trials, respectively. Only one participant was excluded due to systolic blood pressure elevation of >10 mmHg, which occurred during the 150 mg trial (Figure 1).

Energy expenditure

Figure 2a reports the rates of energy expenditure at each time-point throughout each trial. These data validates prior trials in reporting the occurrence of peak responses to mirabegron at approximately 180 min post oral administration. Figure 2b shows energy expenditure at baseline (0 min) and 180 min post mirabegron ingestion for each dose. Mirabegron doses of 100 and 200 mg significantly increased (p<0.05) energy expenditure (by 35.6±5.4 and 35.6±13.1 kJ/hr, respectively), while 150 mg (24.1±13.6 kJ/hr) displayed a similar trend (p<0.1). Energy expenditure 180 min post mirabegron ingestion was not significantly different to baseline in response to 50 mg of mirabegron (12.7±12.0 kJ/hr).

Skin temperature

Figure 3a shows skin temperature at 30 min intervals throughout the trials. Figure 3b reports skin temperature at baseline (0 min) and 180 min after each dose of mirabegron. Supraclavicular skin temperature, representative of regional BAT heat production,
significantly increased ($p < 0.05$) after 50, 100, and 150 mg of mirabegron (0.22±0.1, 0.30±0.1 and 0.29±0.1°C respectively). In contrast, supraclavicular temperature 180 min post mirabegron ingestion was not significantly different to baseline in response to 200 mg (0.03±0.2°C) mirabegron.

**Blood pressure and heart rate**

The time-course and change between baseline (0 min) and 180 min for systolic and diastolic blood pressure and heart rate in response to mirabegron are shown in Figure 4. The change in systolic pressure was not different between the 50 (2.2±1.3 mmHg) and 100 mg (5.2±1.0 mmHg) doses, but was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) after 150 mg (7.1±1.3 mm Hg) and 200 mg (9.3±1.9 mmHg). For the three higher doses, the change in diastolic pressure was not different to 50 mg. The change in heart rate after 100 mg (5.3±1.3 bpm) and 150 mg (7.4±1.4 bpm) were not different to 50 mg (2.9±1.4 bpm), but was significantly greater after 200 mg (9.0±2.2 bpm, $p < 0.05$) compared to 50 mg.
Discussion

This study is the first to investigate the acute effects of ascending doses of mirabegron on both energy expenditure and cardiovascular end-points. The data indicate that mirabegron may be efficacious at increasing energy expenditure without significant risk of off-target cardiovascular effects at a dose of 100 mg in healthy, young individuals. Significant increases in blood pressure at higher doses (150 and 200 mg) demonstrate these to be unsuitable for chronic administration. Although all doses except for 200 mg increased supraclavicular temperature, we cannot definitively conclude that this was due to increased BAT activity since the lack of change after the 200 mg dose contrasts with a previous report showing this dose to increase directly measured BAT activity.13

Assessment of efficacy

Energy expenditure

Cypess et al. demonstrated that a single 200 mg dose of mirabegron increases energy expenditure, which also correlated with BAT activity measured via [18F]-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-PET/CT.13 In this prior study, energy expenditure increased by approximately 13%, which is similar to the 16% increase observed in the present study after the 200 mg dose. However, due to off-target cardiovascular effects induced in this and other clinical trials,15, 28 200 mg would not be a viable chronic therapeutic dose. Notably, however, our study further demonstrates that after both lower mirabegron doses of 100 and 150 mg, energy expenditure increases by 15% and 11%, respectively, but only by 7% after the 50 mg dose.
Supraclavicular skin temperature

We estimated BAT activity in response to several doses of mirabegron by monitoring skin temperature in the supraclavicular fossa. A surrogate measure was necessary, since measurement of BAT activity via $[^{18}\text{F}]-\text{FDG PET/CT}$ would have resulted in unjustifiably high exposure of participants to ionising radiation as a result of the multi-dose study design. Previous studies have reported significant correlations between changes in supraclavicular skin temperature and BAT glucose uptake via $[^{18}\text{F}]-\text{FDG PET/CT}$ after mild-cold exposure\textsuperscript{25, 27} and glucose-stimulated post-prandial thermogenesis.\textsuperscript{26} Together, these studies provide a rational basis for estimation of BAT activity by measuring skin temperature immediately external to a major BAT depot.

The change in supraclavicular skin temperature in the present study across all doses of mirabegron was approximately 0.2–0.3°C, with the notable exception of the 200 mg dose. The 0.2-0.3°C increase parallels prior studies of mild cold exposure\textsuperscript{25} or a glucose load.\textsuperscript{26} However, the present study and these two prior studies\textsuperscript{25, 26} differ from a further study\textsuperscript{27} of mild cold exposure, where cold exposure decreased supraclavicular skin temperature, but that supraclavicular skin temperature remained significantly positively associated with higher BAT activity measured via $[^{18}\text{F}]-\text{FDG PET/CT}$. The two studies of mild cold exposure employed different methods of cooling: water-perfused mattresses in a ~24°C room\textsuperscript{25} versus an air-cooled (~15°C) environment.\textsuperscript{27} This clearly demonstrates that prevailing environmental conditions can influence regional skin temperature and consequently the pattern, and potentially likelihood, of detecting a change in supraclavicular skin temperature.
While supraclavicular skin temperature was not increased after the 200 mg dose, it is highly likely that BAT activity did increase in response to this dose. Nevertheless, in absence of a placebo/no drug treatment trial, we cannot definitively determine whether BAT activity increased as a result of mirabegron treatment. Conversely, because a 200 mg dose is known to increase BAT activity measured via $[^{18}\text{F}]-\text{FDG PET/CT}$, all doses within the range of 50 mg to 200 mg are likely to have efficacy in this regard. This has been supported by a recent study examining single 50 and 200 mg doses of mirabegron administered to 12 healthy individuals. A dose-dependent response with BAT activity was evident with half the participants showing either no change or a negligible increase after the 50 mg dose, and only one non-responder to the 200 mg dose. These new data combined with the present study suggest further studies are required to determine BAT activity responses for mirabegron doses between 50 and 200 mg.

Increased off-target effects with 200 mg mirabegron are well-described. The complex regulation of skin and organ blood flow resulting from non-specific adrenergic activation induced by such doses would be expected to alter skin blood flow in a manner which would invalidate it as a measure of BAT activity. Thus, supraclavicular temperature may not be a sensitive index of BAT activity in response to agents that affect skin blood flow, including high mirabegron doses and/or other sympathomimetic drugs, particularly at doses in which receptor specificity is reduced.
Cardiovascular safety

Clinical trials involving chronic treatment (3-12 month) with mirabegron in doses ranging from 25-100 mg have consistently demonstrated that these doses do not result in increased incidence of tachycardia, blood pressure, ECG changes or any cardiovascular events.\textsuperscript{14, 15, 17, 28, 30, 31} Our observation of statistically significant elevations in blood pressure for the two higher (150 and 200 mg) but not lower (50 and 100 mg) mirabegron doses are congruent with the literature with regard to mirabegron-related increases in cardiovascular responses from large RCTs,\textsuperscript{14, 15, 17, 28, 30, 31} and the study by Cypess et al.\textsuperscript{13} This could be indicative of progressive non-selectivity for $\beta_3$-adrenoceptors, to include activation of other adrenoceptors as doses increase. Recently, mirabegron’s effect on cardiac contractile force was reported to arise from indirect targeting of $\beta_1$-adrenoceptors.\textsuperscript{32} This mechanism involves noradrenaline transporter uptake of mirabegron into cardiac sympathetic nerve terminals, subsequently causing a release of noradrenaline which activates $\beta_1$-adrenoceptors. This mechanism explains the increase in heart rate after the 200 mg dose.\textsuperscript{33} This ionotropic action of mirabegron is similar to the sympathomimetic ephedrine; both compounds share a phenylethanolamine structure, which is sensitive to sympathetic nerve terminal uptake and induction of noradrenaline release.\textsuperscript{32, 34, 35} The subsequent result is an increase in the rate and/or force of contraction of the heart, thereby increasing blood pressure.\textsuperscript{36, 37}

In addition to mirabegron’s indirect effects on the $\beta_1$-adrenoceptor, it is also suggested to have a high binding affinity with muscarinic receptors and to antagonise $\alpha_1$-adrenoceptors in
human and isolated rat tissue binding/functional experiments.\textsuperscript{38-40} This dual mechanism is thought to be responsible for smooth muscle relaxation in the urethra, explaining efficacy in treating OAB. Mirabegron’s preferential selectivity for the \( \alpha_3 \)-adrenoceptor relative to \( \alpha_1/\alpha_2 \)-adrenoceptors accounts for the relatively small increase in blood pressure compared with pan-\( \alpha_2 \) agonists. In addition to this, at higher mirabegron doses, partial \( \alpha_2 \)-adrenoceptor agonist- and \( \alpha_1 \)-adrenoceptor antagonist-mediated dilatation of small blood vessels may counteract increases in cardiac output. This could further contribute to mirabegron-induced increases in blood pressure being considerably lower than previously reported responses to high doses of non-selective sympathomimetics.\textsuperscript{13, 20, 23, 41}

We have proposed, based on the present study and prior phase II and III clinical trial data,\textsuperscript{30} that 50 and 100 mg mirabegron doses do not elevate cardiovascular risk. It is evident based on individual responses presented in Figure 4 that significant inter-individual variability exists regarding cardiovascular responses to each dose. For example, our data demonstrate that the two lower doses both increase systolic blood pressure and heart rate as much as the mean for the two higher doses. Conversely, some individuals experience virtually no increase in blood pressure and/or heart rate in response to the two higher doses. Importantly, during rest periods of similar duration at the same time of day (\(~3\) hours during the morning), systolic blood pressure increases without any intervention, as we have previously reported,\textsuperscript{42} by approximately the same or greater amounts (\(~5\) mmHg) than those reported in the present trial after 50 mg and 100 mg doses. Therefore, since the 100 mg dose increased energy expenditure without increasing blood pressure to a greater level than the 50 mg dose, future
long-term trials investigating the effects of mirabegron on metabolism should consider dosing at 100mg.

**Clinical implications**

The development of selective $^2$3-adrenoceptor agonists for treatment of OAB has reinvigorated interest in examining this mechanism for BAT-directed obesity therapy. New agonists have overcome both poor bioavailability and binding with the human $^2$3-adrenoceptor, though comprehensive examination of the concurrent effects of these agents on metabolic and cardiovascular function have not been conducted. Our study highlights the importance of conducting further investigations that are dedicated to understanding the impact of $^2$3-adrenoceptor agonists on human BAT and whole body energy metabolism, and extend beyond doses approved for OAB therapy. Trials dedicated to examining the effect of mirabegron on OAB patients have included overweight and/or obese individuals, however no evidence has been presented to indicate whether there are concerns regarding either efficacy or safety in these specific populations. Follow up studies of new $^2$3-adrenoceptor agonists on BAT physiology should prioritise trials focused on obese individuals. The present study does not offer insight regarding this population, a group with a high prevalence of cardiovascular comorbidities and dysregulated sympathetic nervous system responsiveness.

**Limitations**

This study was a pilot trial directed to determine the physiological responses to several doses of mirabegron against the current maximum on-label therapeutic dose of 50 mg. While this allowed comparison to higher doses which may prove efficacious, we are unable to define the
absolute magnitude of these dose effects due to the absence of a placebo/no treatment control. Additionally, we were not able to employ PET/CT imaging to measure BAT activity due to the high level of radiation exposure which would have been associated with the 4 treatment conditions studied. Future studies designed with fewer doses may permit BAT assessment using the current gold-standard of either PET/CT imaging or PET combined with magnetic resonance imaging, ideally incorporating glucose and lipid tracers that could enable assessment of whole tissue oxidative metabolism.

Conclusion

In the ongoing, but thus far unfruitful search for safe and effective drugs to increase BAT energy expenditure in humans, $\beta_3$-adrenoceptor agonists remain of interest and are arguably the most promising drug class for this purpose. While questions remain regarding the $\beta_3$-adrenoceptor specificity of mirabegron, based on the present study and several large clinical trials, a 100 mg dose of mirabegron should be considered safe to proceed to longer trials with small cohorts to examine the potential for safety and efficacy in promoting energy expenditure in future studies. Further in vivo human trials examining the pharmacology and physiology of mirabegron and other $\beta_3$-adrenoceptor agonists are warranted.
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Table legend

Table 1. M:F, male:female; BMI, body mass index; eVAT, estimated visceral adipose tissue; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance. Data are mean±SEM.
Figure legends

Figure 1 CONSORT flow diagram illustrating the recruitment process through the phases of this multiple ascending dose study. SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Figure 2 Time-course of energy expenditure (EE) (kj/hr) (a) immediately before (0 min) and after (60 – 180 min) treatment with single doses of mirabegron (50, 100, 150, and 200 mg). EE (kj/hr) from (b) baseline (0 min, immediately prior to mirabegron ingestion (white bars)) to 180 min after ingestion (grey bars) of each mirabegron dose (50, 100, 150, and 200 mg), including individual data for each participant. Changes in EE from baseline vs 180 min post mirabegron ingestion within each dose in panel (b) were compared using Paired Student’s t-tests. Data are presented as mean±SEM. *pd0.05 for difference between baseline vs 180 min post mirabegron ingestion within each dose. 50 mg n=16, 100 mg n=12, 150 mg n=11, 200 mg n=9.

Figure 3 Time-course of supraclavicular skin temperature (temp) (°C) (a) immediately before (0 min) and after (60 – 180 min) treatment with a single dose of mirabegron (50, 100, 150, and 200 mg). Supraclavicular skin temperature (°C) from (b) baseline (0 min, immediately prior to mirabegron ingestion (white bars)) to 180 min after ingestion (grey bars) of a mirabegron dose (50, 100, 150, and 200 mg) including individual data for each participant. Changes in temp from baseline vs 180 min post mirabegron ingestion within each dose in panel (b) were compared using a Paired Student’s t-test. Data are presented as
mean±SEM. *p<0.05 for difference between baseline vs 180 min post mirabegron ingestion within each dose. 50 mg n=17, 100 mg n=13, 150 mg n=12, 200 mg n=10.

**Figure 4** Time-course of systolic blood pressure (SBP) (a), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) (c) and heart rate (HR) (e) immediately before (0 min) and after (30 – 180 min) treatment with a single dose of mirabegron (50, 100, 150, and 200 mg). Changes (’’) in SBP (b) DBP (d) and HR (f) from immediately prior mirabegron ingestion (0 min) to 180 min after ingestion of the indicated mirabegron doses (50, 100, 150, and 200 mg), including data points for each individual. Changes in cardiovascular variables from baseline to 180 min post mirabegron ingestion for 100, 150 and 200 mg were compared to 50 mg using a Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA with Bonferroni-corrected Conover-Iman post-hoc analyses. Data are presented as mean±SEM. 50 mg n=17, 100 mg n=13, 150 mg n=12, 200 mg n=10. *p<0.05 for difference between indicated mirabegron doses and the 50 mg dose.
Table 1 – Participant baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M:F ratio</td>
<td>11:6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>24±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>171±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>68±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist:hip</td>
<td>0.83±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mass (kg)</td>
<td>67±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean mass (kg)</td>
<td>47±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (kg)</td>
<td>18±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (% total mass)</td>
<td>28±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eVAT (g)</td>
<td>290±41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>115±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>70±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>66±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (pmol/l)</td>
<td>42±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.6±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>1.2±0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enrolment
Assessed for eligibility (n=147)
- Excluded (n=125)
  - Did not meet inclusion criteria (n=15)
  - Declined to participate (n=2)
  - Lost to follow up (n=96)
  - Eligible, but recruitment paused (n=12)

V1 Screening
(n=22)
- Excluded (n=5)
  - Not meeting inclusion criteria (n=1)
  - Withdrew from study (n=3)
  - Lost to follow up (n=1)

V2 50mg
(n=17)
- Excluded (n=4)
  - Withdrew from study (n=4)

V3 100mg
(n=13)
- Excluded (n=1)
  - Withdrew from study (n=1)

Analysed (varies per visit)
- V2 (n=17) for all variables except for energy expenditure (n=16).
- Energy expenditure excluded (n=1) due to technical error
- V3 (n=13) for all variables except for energy expenditure (n=12).
- Energy expenditure excluded (n=1) due to technical error
- V4 (n=12) for all variables energy expenditure (n=11).
- Energy expenditure excluded (n=1) due to technical error
- V5 (n=10) for all variables energy expenditure (n=9).
- Energy expenditure excluded (n=1) due to technical error

V4 150mg
(n=12)
- Excluded (n=2)
  - Withdrew from study (n=1)
  - Excluded due to >10 mmHg SBP (n=1)

V5 200mg
(n=10)

Analysis
Figure 2

(a)

(b)

EE (kJ/hr)
(a) SuprACLavicular skin temp (°C)

(b)
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