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Longitudinal changes in global cataract surgery rate inequality and associations with socioeconomic indices
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ABSTRACT

Importance: Cataract is the leading cause of blindness and the second leading cause of vision impairment. The majority of people with vision impairment reside in low-resource settings with limited access to cataract surgery and services.

Background: Cataract surgery rate (CSR) is a proxy measure for eye care service delivery and estimating the burden of cataract disease. This research aims to evaluate the longitudinal changes of CSR inequality globally and by income groups.

Design: Systematic Review

Participants: Studies published from January 2000 to December 2015 were considered for inclusion into the review.

Methods: CSR data were retrieved from a systematic review of published literature (OVID Medline, Embase, Pubmed, ISI, Web of Science), unpublished reports and data repositories.

Main Outcome Measures: Inequality of CSR was measured on a global scale and between countries grouped by income levels using the Gini coefficient and Concentration index, with respect to the Human Development Index (HDI).

Results: Overall, correlations between HDI and GDP per capita versus CSRs were observed with R^2 values of 49.2% (β=5.01, P < 0.001) and 38.9% (β=0.56, P < 0.001) respectively. Analysis of longitudinal CSR data using Generalised Estimation Equation (GEE) models revealed strong associations between CSR and HDI (P < 0.001), GDP (P < 0.001) and the proportion of people aged ≥ 50 (P = 0.001). Overall, the trend of inequality in worldwide CSR remained relatively stable.

Conclusions and Relevance: Inequalities in cataract service provision were found between countries grouped by income and associated with socioeconomic indicators.

Keywords: cataract surgery rate; socioeconomics; health inequality;
1. INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organisation (WHO) estimates that over 90% of the world’s vision impaired reside in low-income settings, and that over 80% of global blindness is preventable with early detection or treatment.[1] Cataract is identified as the leading cause of global blindness, accounting for approximately 51% of cases, and is the second-leading cause of moderate to severe vision impairment (MSVI) following uncorrected refractive error.[2] In 2013, the World Health Assembly endorsed the Global Action Plan (Universal Eye Health: A global action plan 2014-2019) which aimed to reduce the worldwide prevalence of preventable blindness by 25% before the year 2019.[3] As the ongoing costs of VI are substantial to both individuals and at a societal level, blindness prevention programs may effectively break the cycle of poverty associated with vision impairment, particularly within the socio-economic constraints of low-income regions. The Global Action Plan (GAP) defines cataract as a condition of priority given the restorative nature of treatment and generally good visual outcomes of surgery.[4] Although cataract surgery is considered one of the most cost-effective medical interventions by cost-utility when valued using quality-adjusted life years (QALYs), unoperated cataract remains a leading cause of MSVI and blindness in low- and middle-income countries.[5-10]

Country and regional CSR data documentation is necessary to monitor the provision of cataract services as it is considered a proxy measure of cataract disease burden and access to cataract surgery services. Previous research has demonstrated that CSR is closely associated with levels of socioeconomic development [11, 12], which is commonly expressed in economic value as a country’s gross domestic product (GDP), gross national income (GNI) or in social value as the human development index (HDI). The CSR variation between countries and within countries and may reflect differences in underlying regional health service delivery and efficiency. Traditional measures of statistical dispersion such as the Gini coefficient and the
Concentration Index (CI) have been used to study income and wealth distributions within countries, but these can also be used to assess distributions of healthcare access. The Gini index is a univariate measure of concentration while the concentration index allows one to measure the concentration of a single variable such as CSR with respect to another, such as socioeconomic development (i.e. HDI). The Gini coefficient can be calculated from statistical representations of income and wealth distributions and ranges from 0 (absolute equality) to 1 (absolute inequality). The CI is calculated from the distribution of a variable of interest assessed against an indicator of living standards, such as the Human Development Index (HDI), reflecting the socioeconomic dimension of health inequalities.

Although the association between CSR and socioeconomic factors has been demonstrated previously, this is, to the best of our knowledge, the first study of the relative longitudinal changes of CSR inequality and its association with socioeconomic development. Understanding the absolute changes in CSR equality and change relative to GDP progression may highlight contexts where investment in blindness prevention programs is inadequate relative to global norms. The present research aims to evaluate longitudinal changes of CSR inequality globally and in high-, low- and middle-income groups, its association with socioeconomic development.

2. METHODS

2.1 Data sources
Country CSR data were obtained by searching electronic databases of published literature (OVID Medline, Pubmed, Embase, ISI, Web of Science, and Cochrane Reviews) using standardized search terms (Appendix 1), as well as through unpublished reports in the grey literature. Eligibility of CSR data inclusion was
restricted to reports of age-related cataract among adults using any cataract surgery method, including extracapsular cataract extraction (ECCE), small incision cataract surgery (SICS), and phacoemulsification with implantation of an intraocular lens. Reference lists of reviews and retrieved studies were also screened for suitable studies. Studies published from January 2000 to December 2015 were considered for inclusion into the review. Inclusion criteria for published studies were as follows: 1) cross-sectional studies, cohort studies, or surveys; 2) studies with a clearly described sampling methodology (random, specified or stratified national or population-based sampling); and 3) response rate of greater than 50%.[16] All studies meeting the inclusion criteria were included for meta-analysis, and no studies were excluded on basis of language. Case series studies of hospital and clinic data were excluded from analysis. Data from unpublished grey literature were sourced from the OpenGrey database (http://www.opengrey.eu/) and non-government organisation (NGOs) websites including the RAAB repositories (http://www.raabdata.info/), Rapid Assessment of Cataract Surgical Services (RACSS) data, Global Burden of Disease (GBD) surveys (http://www.healthdata.org/gbd), Global Health Data Exchange repositories (http://ghdx.healthdata.org/), WHO database (http://www.who.int/), WHO Library Information System (WHOLIS), IAPB database (http://www.iapb.org/home), as well as national or district CSR reporting registries (Sweden, Malaysia, European Union) and National Ministry of Health websites available in the public domain. The Google search engine (http://www.google.com) was used to supplement the national CSRs of countries when information from CSR reports were incomplete from the aforementioned sources. Relevant GDP data per capita (GDP/P, purchasing power parity method) were obtained from the World Bank (http://data.worldbank.org/) and standardized using 2011 International Dollars. HDI data were collected from the United Nations Development Program (UNDP) Human Development Reports (http://hdr.undp.org/en/data). Institutional review board (IRB) approval was not
required for this study as all data were extracted from databases and publications available in the public domain and no individual patient data were collected. A total of 110 peer-reviewed publications were reviewed in full after titles and abstracts were screened. Of the publications reviewed in full, 36 were included in the final analysis. Main reasons for exclusion were study type (prevalence, incidence, risk factors, reviews) or if they were studies from previous publications with the same population cohort. Additional supplementary data were available from 20 NGO government reports and the aforementioned online registries.

2.2 Data extraction

Data extraction was performed using a standardized data extraction form including fields of region and country in which the study was conducted, year of publication, year of data collection, age, number of people examined and CSRs with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Countries were assigned to high, and low- to middle-income groups based on GNI/P data, where a high-income country was classified as GNI/P greater than $12,736 USD, while low and moderate-income countries were classified with a GNI/P equal or as less than $12,736 USD.[17]

National CSRs were calculated with a numerator of total surgeries performed in a particular year by each country. The CSR data for each country was cross-verified where multiple sources were available including NGOs reports, peer-reviewed papers and government reports. CSR values were averaged between sources where more than one source was available for a country in a given year. Where only the regional and provincial CSRs of a country were reported, the national CSR for the country was calculated by the sum of cataract surgeries performed across regions, and dividing it by the total population contained within the regions and provinces.

2.3 variables
GDP expressed per capita is the most frequently reported national indicator of economic development, which is calculated by the total value of domestic goods and services produced divided by the total population. Cataract surgery rate (CSR) is a proxy measure for eye care service delivery and disease burden, and is expressed as numbers of cataract surgeries performed per million population. The HDI is a commonly used indicator of socioeconomic development calculated using metrics across three domains: health (life expectancy at birth), education (mean years of schooling, expected years of schooling) and income (gross national income per capita), expressed as a value between 0.00 and 1.00 reflecting the highest level of development. In the present study HDI values were separated into predetermined quartiles to analyse effect on inequality of distribution: low (0.326-0.529); medium (0.544-0.681); high (0.696-0.786); and very high (0.792-0.940). The Gini coefficient is a commonly used measure of inequality in frequency distributions of variables such as income expressed as a value between 0.00 and 1.00, with 1.00 representing the maximum possible inequality in a significantly skewed distribution. The Concentration index (CI) is a calculation of the inequality of a health variable attributable to socioeconomic factors, and has previously been used in health economic analyses to evaluate whether the socioeconomic contributions to health inequalities vary over time or by geography.\[18, 19\] Inequality was also analysed in groups according to income using the World Bank Atlas method, after adjusting for the difference between the rate of inflation in the country and that in a number of developed countries using a weighted average of GDP deflators.

### 2.4 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed between October 2017 and January 2018. Scatterplots representing the associations between socioeconomic indicators and the GDP were generated using GraphPad Prism software, version 5.0 (GraphPad...
A mixed-effects linear model was used to examine the longitudinal changes in CSR and GDP over the study period. Several socioeconomic indices were used to assess the degree of inequality in the provision of cataract surgery, including the Gini coefficient, CI, Thiel Index and Atkinson Index. Although these indices are traditionally used to assess income inequity, their use in assessing the distribution of healthcare resources has also been described elsewhere.[20, 21] In brief, both the Gini index and Atkinson index value ranges from 0 to 1, with 0 representing a wholly even distribution and 1 representing a wholly uneven distribution. The Thiel Index ranges from 0 to infinity with 0 implying equal distribution and higher values implying greater inequality magnitudes. For this analysis, the sensitivity parameter (ε) was set at 0.5. [22] The corresponding GDP/P data for each year in the period of analysis for each country were used for statistical analysis using the Stata 15 Software Package (StataCorp, College Station Texas, USA). Data from the countries with representative CSR data were used to develop a regression analysis and scatter plots. Regression curve estimation procedures were used to assess the association between CSR and GDP/P (linear, power, quadratic, exponential selected) with R² statistic used to determine the statistical fit of the model. The effect of HDI on CSR rates was investigated by linear regression analysis. The Gini coefficient was calculated using the Stata 15 INEQERR module and the concentration index by the Stata 15 CONCINDC module. [23, 24] A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

The distribution of global CSR using the latest global estimate data was mapped in Figure 1. Overall, a strong correlation between HDI and GDP per capita levels and CSRs were observed with R² values of 49.2% (β=5.01, P < 0.001) and 38.9% (β=0.56, P < 0.001) respectively. Table 1 summarises the longitudinal CSR date...
using General Estimation Equation (GEE) modelling to provide population-averaged estimates of CSR data.[25] Over the study period, most countries experienced an increase in CSR, with the greatest increases observed in Argentina and Iran. Analysis of longitudinal CSR data using GEE models found strong associations between CSR trends and HDI (P < 0.001), GDP per capita (P < 0.001) and the proportion of people aged 50 and older (P = 0.001). The trend in CSR in the longitudinal analysis was not associated with age-standardized prevalence of cataract (P= 0.059, P = 0.008), density of population (P = 0.403, P = 0.346), or urbanization rate (P = 0.319, P = 0.197).[26]

Overall, the indices for inequality in worldwide CSR distribution remained relatively stable for the study period between 2005 to 2014, with a small increase noted (Table 2). With respect to worldwide CSR distribution, the Gini coefficient remained relatively unchanged between 2006 and 2014 (range 0.484 to 0.487). For the high-income and low- and middle-income country groups, the measure of inequality increased from 0.369 to 0.413, and 0.395 to 0.429 between 2006 to 2014. When the CI was analysed with respect to HDI using worldwide CSR distribution, inequality was observed to decrease from 0.431 to 0.390 for the time period. In country groups by high-income, and low and middle-income, inequality by CI increased (range 0.222 to 0.266, and 0.265 to 0.286 respectively). The CI with respect to GDP using a worldwide CSR distribution showed an overall decrease in inequality from 0.399 to 0.364, whilst the low and middle-income country group showed an increase in inequality from 0.253 to 0.282. Concentration index by GDP for high-income countries could not be calculated for the time period due to the lack of available data. Similar trends in inequality were seen for other indices (Figure 2). Both Thiel and Atkinson indices demonstrated a decrease in inequality when analysed by worldwide distribution, but again an increase in inequality was seen when analysed by high-income, and low and middle-income countries, (0.195 to 0.273, and 0.288 to 0.292 respectively).
Figure 3 demonstrates the change in the logarithm of countries’ CSR relative to Australia between 2006 and 2014. Notably, the majority of countries with both low and high proportional CSRs relative to Australia remained so in comparison between the time period. Exceptions to this included Surinante, Argentina and Sri Lanka, which demonstrated an overall increase in CSR relative to Australia, and Kenya, Togo and Sierra Leone, which demonstrated an overall decrease in CSR relative to Australia.

4. DISCUSSION

We have evaluated the trend in global cataract service inequality and reported on the trend in CSR associations with socioeconomic indicators such as HDI and GDP across a 10-year period. Cataract surgery rates provide a proxy measure for eye care service delivery and estimating the burden of cataract disease, with cataract blindness accounting for more than 50% of blindness globally.[1] The longitudinal data demonstrates an association between CSRs and socioeconomic indicators such as HDI and GDP over the study period. Although inequality in the CSR distributions on a global scale remained stagnant over the study duration, the inequality increased when countries were grouped into high-income, or low and middle-income groups. As previously discussed, the Gini coefficient measures CSR inequality in absolute terms of variance independent of other socioeconomic development variables, while CI assesses the CSR inequality with respect to socioeconomic development indices such as HDI and GDP. The observed trend of CSR inequality appears to be robust and is consistent between all four of the study indices.

The estimated worldwide cost burden of vision impairment and blindness is $3 trillion and $27 billion USD respectively, and the majority of people with vision impairment and blindness reside in low and middle-income countries.[27, 28]. HDI
has been shown to account for more than 70% of the variance in blindness and MSVI prevalence when analysed at a country level. [29] Consistent with the findings of Ono et al, inequality was greater in the high-income group compared to low-income groups, reflecting potential differences in drivers for surgery between the groups and the lack of standardised visual impairment indications for surgery. The data suggest that global CSR inequality has largely remained over the study period despite worldwide progression in socioeconomic development as well as overall increasing CSRs.

It is recognised that greater economic wealth is associated with increased resource allocation for cataract services in proportion to overall healthcare expenditure, however this has previously been found to not necessarily follow a needs-based rationale, nor demonstrate equivalence between regions of similar levels of development. [21] This may be due to a set of factors including reduced thresholds of vision impairment as indications for cataract surgery, and the proportion of surgery overall performed in the private sector. In several OECD developed countries, there has been a trend towards reducing thresholds of vision impairment as indications for cataract surgery by insurers and surgeons, owing to improved surgical techniques, technology and functional outcomes. This in turn has also driven increased patient demand. [30-34] In a 2005 Australian population study, it was noted that when the vision impairment threshold for cataract surgery was changed from 6/60 to less than 6/12, the resultant CSR was seen to increase three-fold as a consequence. [30] The appropriate selection and triage of a surgical patient may be equally as important as the absolute number of cataract surgeries performed, especially in the context of healthcare resource limitations. In Sweden between 2002 and 2009 the CSR remained stable at 8000 to 9000, in the context of a limit placed on the number of annual cataract surgeries in 22 counties and regions due to the competing resource demands of other ophthalamic diseases such as age-related
macular degeneration. [31]. In spite of this, the overall backlog of unoperated cataract was still observed to decrease, with an overall increase in the proportion of second eye procedures, reflecting an overall increase in cataract service effectiveness.

Comparative analysis of CSR data with other countries across time periods may highlight outliers and prompt studies of the causes of these disparities. Australia was selected as a benchmark in this analysis owing to its consistently high HDI ranking, stable CSRs proportional to overall healthcare expenditures, and the success of cataract surgery programs as evidenced by low overall prevalence of cataract blindness and severe vision impairment.[35-37] Several countries were found to have a significant increase in CSRs, such as Sri Lanka, Suriname. This is reflective of the health policy changes that re-prioritised eye care services, such as investment in phacoemulsification, intensive training, and improvement in the accessibility and affordability of cataract surgery to meet the needs for reducing a substantial backlog of unoperated cataract with VI cases. [38, 39] Conversely, other countries were found to have decreased CSRs such as Kenya, Togo and Sierra Leone. This may be explained by incomplete execution of eye care programs at a national level, poor patient education and outdated practices such as ‘couching’, and restricted resource allocation to eye care due to competing health issues. This has furthermore resulted in reduced access to eye care providers, from patients being informally charged for surgeons’ additional salary compensation. [40, 41]

4.1 Limitations
This study provides a novel analysis of the change in global health inequality measured by CSR relative to longitudinal data of HDI and GDP, although there are study limitations that should not be ignored. Firstly, the CSR data collection procedures were not standardised between countries, and as a result the national data for a number of countries were omitted at certain years during the study.
period. This may account for partial reporting or estimation errors of CSR inequality associations. For example, CSR data for 2013 and 2014 were omitted from a majority of NGO reports, while data reported all surgeries performed in the total population irrespective of visual acuity or vision impairment indications for surgery. Possible confounders such as differences in status of healthcare financing or insurance coverage were not included in this study and may have resulted in lower adjusted inequality in both higher-income and low and middle-income country groups. As HDI represents an average value of socioeconomic development for an entire country, significant variations in development levels may exist between regions in a country that are not accounted for using a national average. Finally, the effect of additional variables of interest such as gender and education were not included in the multivariate analysis and may provide greater insight to the driving factors of inequality for countries with similar levels of socioeconomic development by aggregate HDI measures. As the present analysis is an assessment of inequalities using aggregate group data, it is not possible to determine if it is the same socioeconomic groups that are affected most by the CSR inequality. Lastly, the concentration index by GDP for high-income countries could not be calculated for the time period. The lack of available CSR data for high-income countries may be related to the CSR data collection process being primarily from blindness surveys and evaluation of cataract surgery access programs, which has a bias towards lower-tier income countries.

Further analysis of changes in the concentration index may account for the health variable heterogeneity that is intrinsic to the data, by deconstructing and re-analysing the composite indices by their individual health variables, as well as the effects of changes in income-related distributions.

4.2 Conclusion
This retrospective analysis of CSR trends characterises the change in CSR distribution over time in relation to income-related health inequalities. Although minor increases in inequality are noted between countries when grouped by income status, the overall global inequality was seen to remain relatively stagnant over the study period reflecting that greater emphasis on targeted health policies are required, despite overall increasing CSRs and socioeconomic development. Statistical evaluation of the longitudinal change in CSR variance may reflect inequalities in health service delivery and highlight regional service provision inadequacies. This study provides scope for follow up research investigating not only the extent of absolute inequality in CSR but also in the potential causes of income-related health inequalities observed by the Gini and CIs. The accuracy and collection of CSR data to facilitate this may be improved by establishing national cataract surgery registries that account for differences in visual acuity or vision impairment indications for surgery.
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### Table 1: General estimation equation (GEE) models for CSR and related factors in longitudinal analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>β (95%CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>β (95%CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human development index</td>
<td>9929.54 (7728.39 to 12130.69)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP per capita (log scale)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1069.79 (762.59 to 1376.98)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age-standardized prevalence of cataract</td>
<td>-39531.83 (-80533.23 to 1469.56)</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>-54674.88 (-95069.08 to -14280.68)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of population</td>
<td>-0.18 (-0.59 to 0.24)</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>-0.19 (-0.60 to 0.21)</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urbanization rate</td>
<td>-7.56 (-22.43 to 7.31)</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>-10.41 (-26.21 to 5.39)</td>
<td>0.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of people aged 50 and older</td>
<td>3052.13 (-766.57 to 6870.82)</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>6350.13 (2715.30 to 9984.97)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Inequality of CSR by economic status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inequality measures</th>
<th>Worldwide 2006</th>
<th>Worldwide 2014</th>
<th>High-income countries 2006</th>
<th>High-income countries 2014</th>
<th>Low and middle-income countries 2006</th>
<th>Low and middle-income countries 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gini coefficient</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration index based on HDI</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration index based on GDP</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theil index</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.292</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURES

Figure 1: Distribution map of global CSR using most recent global estimate data
Figure 2: Cross-sectional analysis of association between CSR and socioeconomic development
Figure 3: CSR Changes relative to Australia's CSR from 2006 to 2014
Figure 4: Time trends of inequality in CSR distribution worldwide
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