N-acetylcysteine for major mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials
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ABSTRACT

Objective: This systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) examined the efficacy and safety of adjunctive N-Acetylcysteine (NAC), an antioxidant drug, in treating major depressive disorder (MDD), bipolar disorder, and schizophrenia.

Methods: The PubMed, Cochrane Library, PsycINFO, CNKI, CBM and WanFang databases were independently searched and screened by two researchers. Standardized mean differences (SMDs), risk ratio and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were computed.

Results: Six RCTs (n=701) of NAC for schizophrenia (3 RCTs, n=307), bipolar disorder (2 RCTs, n=125), and MDD (1 RCT, n=269) were identified and analyzed as separate groups. Adjunctive NAC significantly improved total psychopathology (SMD= -0.74; 95% CI: -1.43, -0.06; I²= 84%, P= 0.03) in schizophrenia, but it had no significant effect on depressive and manic symptoms as assessed by the Young Mania Rating Scale in bipolar disorder, and only a small effect on major depressive symptoms. Adverse drug reactions to NAC and discontinuation rates between the NAC and control groups were similar across the three disorders.

Conclusions: Adjunctive NAC appears to be a safe treatment that has efficacy for schizophrenia, but not for bipolar disorder or MDD. Further higher quality RCTs are warranted to determine the role of adjunctive NAC in the treatment of major psychiatric disorders.

Review registration: PROSPERO: CRD42017076524
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Significant outcomes

- Oxidative stress is implicated in the etiopathogenesis of schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder.
- Results of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on the efficacy of adjunctive N-Acetylcysteine (NAC) in these disorders have been mixed.
- Adjunctive NAC appears to be an efficacious treatment for schizophrenia, but not for bipolar disorder or major depressive disorder.

Limitations
- The number of RCTs on each of the three disorders is relatively small.
- Only physically healthy patients were recruited in the RCTs, which limits the generalizability of the findings.

Introduction
Mental and substance-use disorders account for 7.4% of all disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) worldwide (1), surpassing both cancer and cardiovascular diseases (2). Major mental disorders, i.e., major depressive disorder (MDD), bipolar disorder, and schizophrenia, account for 40.5%, 7.4% and 7.0% of DALYs, respectively among all mental and substance-use disorders (1). Apart from the heavy socioeconomic burden, major mental disorders are significantly associated with increased mortality (3-5). The response and remission rates of major mental disorders to pharmacotherapy, however, remain unsatisfactory (6).

Over the past years, the association of oxidative stress with neuropsychiatric disorders, especially major mental disorders, has received increased attention (7, 8). Certain antidepressants (such as escitalopram) (9, 10), antipsychotics (such as olanzapine and clozapine) (11, 12) and mood stabilizers (such as lithium and valproate) (13, 14) show intrinsic antioxidant properties, while antioxidant drugs (such as celecoxib) (15, 16) have shown potential in treating major mental disorders.

Glutathione is an endogenous antioxidant in the brain (17). As a redox scavenger, glutathione carries a free thiol group that could maintain the oxidative status of key cellular enzymes (18). Moreover, the cycle of glutathione and the reduced species glutathione disulphide play an important role in regulating
cellular oxidative balance (18). N-Acetylcysteine (NAC), as the precursor of glutathione, has antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective properties which could modulate dopaminergic and glutamatergic systems (19). In regard to clinical utility, NAC has shown sufficient bioavailability (20).

NAC has the capacity to reverse oxidative stress induced by mitochondrial dysfunction (21, 22), and also contributes to the regulation of oxidative balance by the actions of the cysteine and cystine cycle (18). Similar to glutathione, cysteine and cystine are coupled redox partners that could alleviate oxidative cellular dysfunction and injury (23, 24). Pathological levels of oxidative stress have been found in schizophrenia (25), bipolar disorder (26), and MDD (27). However, results of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) (28-33) on the efficacy of adjunctive NAC in these disorders have been mixed. Previously meta-analyses have narrowly focused on a single disorder (i.e. schizophrenia (34) or certain symptomatology (i.e. depressive symptoms (35)).

**Aims of the study**
In order to investigate the broader evidence, a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials was conducted to examine the efficacy and safety of adjunctive NAC in major mental disorders, namely in schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and MDD separately.

**Methods**
This meta-analysis was conducted according to both the Cochrane Collaboration (36) and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (37).

**Literature search strategy**
In order to identify the relevant RCTs, a thorough literature search was independently conducted by two authors (WZ and D-BC) scrutinizing the PubMed, Cochrane Library, and PsycINFO, CNKI, CBM, and WanFang databases from their respective inception date to September 10, 2017. Following the methodology of another meta-analysis (35), the following search terms were used: ["depression" OR "depressive" OR "depressed") OR ("bipolar disorder" OR...
"bipolar depression" OR "manic depressive" OR "bipolar affective psychosis" OR "manic depression" OR "bipolar affective" OR "manic state" OR "mania" OR "manic" OR "manic disorder" OR "bipolar psychosis") OR ("schizophrenic disorder" OR "disorder, schizophrenic" OR "schizophrenia" or "dementia praecox" OR "psychosis" OR "mental disorder" OR "mental illness" OR "psychiatric disorder") AND (NAC OR "N-acetylcysteine" OR "acetylcysteine") AND ("trial*" OR "control" OR "random*""). Additionally, references of included RCTs (28-33) as well as previous reviews and meta-analyses (34, 35) were searched manually for RCTs that may have been missed in the electronic search.

**Inclusion criteria**
The inclusion criteria were presented in accordance with the **PICOS** strategy: **Participants:** adult patients with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, or MDD according to any diagnostic criteria and in acute phase of the illness. **Intervention vs. Comparison:** adjunctive NAC vs. control groups. **Outcomes:** the primary outcome measure was clinical efficacy as defined by the respective studies. Key secondary outcomes included any cause discontinuation rate and adverse drug reactions (ADRs). **Study design:** all published RCTs, with meta-analyzable data (i.e., mean and its standard deviation (SD) or 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for continuous outcome measures).

**Data extraction**
Three investigators (X-HY, YQ and D-BC) independently extracted data from the included RCTs. Data from trials with intention-to-treat (ITT) or modified ITT analysis were preferred over data on observed cases (OC) in instances where both were available. Discrepancies in data extraction were resolved by a discussion leading to a consensus between the three investigators (X-HY, YQ and D-BC). When the relevant information was not available from the publications, the corresponding authors of included RCTs were contacted. For example, the relevant data were not available in three studies (28-30). Upon request, the authors provided the relevant data. Whenever multiple reports with overlapping data were identified, only the study with complete dataset was included.
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Data synthesis and statistical analyses
The RevMan software (version 5.3) (http://www.cochrane.org) was used for all meta-analyzable data using the random effects model (38). Standardized mean differences (SMDs) were used to assess continuous outcomes. For dichotomous outcomes, the absolute number of events were extracted and the risk ratio (RR) were estimated. The 95% CIs of SMD and RR were also calculated.

The heterogeneity between RCTs was examined using the Q test or $I^2$ statistics, with P value<0.1 in Q test and $I^2 \geq 50\%$ considered as significant heterogeneity (39). In case of $I^2 \geq 50\%$ for the primary outcome, sensitivity, subgroup, or meta-regression analyses were conducted to detect the source of the heterogeneity. The level of significance for all outcomes was set at 0.05 (two-sided).

Quality Assessment
The methodological quality of RCTs was assessed independently by three investigators (X-HY, YQ and D-BC) using the Cochrane risk of bias (36) and the Jadad scale (40); the Jadad total score of less than 3 was rated as low quality, otherwise it was considered high quality (40). The overall evidence level was assessed using the grading of recommendations assessment, development, and evaluation (GRADE) system (41, 42).

Results
Literature search
The electronic search yielded altogether 534 hits (Figure 1). After removing duplicate articles (104 studies), and reviewing the titles or abstracts (411 studies) and full texts (13 studies), 6 RCTs (28-33) met the inclusion criteria and were analyzed.

The characteristics of studies in the meta-analysis
The 6 RCTs with a total of 701 subjects (schizophrenia: 3 RCTs, n=307; bipolar disorder: 2 RCTs, n=125; major depression: 1 RCT, n=269) compared the NAC groups (1.2-6 g/day) with control groups. Only physically healthy patients were recruited in included RCTs.
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Schizophrenia: all the 3 RCTs were double-blinded and 2 (66.7%) used ITT analyses. The weighted mean age was 34.9 years, mean illness duration was 6.8 years, and 59.6% of the subjects were males (Table 1). The fixed dosage of NAC was 2000 mg/day in 2 RCTs and 6000 mg/day in 1 RCT (Table 1).

Bipolar disorder: 1 RCT (50%) were double-blinded and all the 2 studies used ITT analyses. The weighted mean age was 39.2 years, mean illness duration was 6.5 years, and 36.0% of the subjects were males (Table 1). The fixed dosage of NAC was 1200 mg/day in 1 RCT and 2000 mg/day in another RCT (Table 1).

Major depressive disorder: Only one double-blinded RCT with ITT analyses was found. The mean age was 50.2 years, mean illness duration was 25 years, and 36.9% of the subjects were males (Table 1). The fixed dosage of NAC was 2000 mg/day (Table 1).

Assessment of quality
Five of the 6 (83.3%) RCTs (28-31, 33) were double blinded and only one (32) was open label. Further, 4 (66.7%) RCTs (28-31) described the random allocation sequence generation and allocation concealment methods (Supplemental Figure 1). Incomplete outcome data were rated as low risk (e.g. applied to ITT or modified ITT analysis) in all RCTs, while selective reporting was rated as low risk in 4 (66.7%) RCTs (28-31). Overall, the mean Jadad score was 4.2 (range=2-5) (Table 1); 5 RCTs (83.3%) was rated as high quality (Jadad score \( \geq 3\)). The overall evidence quality of 23 meta-analytic outcomes using the GRADE approach ranged from “low” (21.7%), to “moderate” (73.9%) and “high” (4.4%) (Table 2).

Primary and secondary outcomes
Schizophrenia: three RCTs (28, 31, 33) examined the efficacy and safety of adjunctive NAC in subjects who were assessed with the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) (43). NAC showed significant superiority over placebo in improving total psychopathology (SMD= -0.74, 95% CI: -1.43, -0.06; \( I^2 = 84\% \), P= 0.03, Table 3), but not in positive, negative, or general psychopathology.
scales of the PANSS (SMD= -0.59 to -0.16, 95% CI: -2.00, 0.29; I²= 59% to 93%, P= 0.08 to 0.48, Table 3).

Discontinuation rates were similar between the NAC and placebo groups (RR= 0.96, 95% CI: 0.65, 1.43; P= 0.84, Table 3). Meta-analyses of ADRs including drowsiness, headache, constipation, diarrhea, and nausea (RR= 0.83 to 1.79, 95% CI: 0.48, 4.79; I²= 0% to 29%, P= 0.14 to 0.83, Table 3) did not show group differences. One study (33) found that NAC was associated with improvement in lipid metabolic dysregulation, but not in body weight.

**Bipolar disorder:** two RCTs (29, 32) examined the efficacy and safety of adjunctive NAC for bipolar disorder. There were no significant group differences with respect to depressive symptoms (2 RCTs, n= 124; SMD= -0.59, 95% CI: -1.48, 0.30; I²= 83%, P= 0.19) as rated by the Montgomery and Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) (1 RCT) and the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (1 RCT), and manic symptoms as rated by the Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) (Table 3). Discontinuation rate was similar between the NAC and placebo groups (RR=1.22, 95% CI: 0.66, 2.24; P= 0.53, Table 2). No group differences in treatment emergent adverse events were found.

**Major depressive disorder:** one RCT (30) examined the efficacy and safety of adjunctive NAC for MDD as measured by the MADRS. Although no significant group difference was found in the primary outcome, the results showed a positive trend for adjunctive NAC, particularly for subjects with higher MADRS score. Discontinuation rate was similar between the NAC and placebo groups. No group differences regarding ADRs were observed except that the NAC group had more frequent gastrointestinal (33.9% vs. 18.4%; p= 0.005) and musculoskeletal complaints (3.9% vs. 0%; P= 0.025).

**Publication bias**

Publication bias for the outcomes could not be examined by a funnel plot graph or the Egger’s test (44) as the number of RCTs in the meta-analysis was less than 10.
Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis examined the efficacy and safety of adjunctive NAC across three major psychiatric disorders. The findings appear to support the efficacy of adjunctive NAC for schizophrenia, but not for bipolar disorder or MDD. The reasons for the differential efficacy of NAC in the three disorders are unclear and warrant further studies. The use of other anti-oxidants or anti-inflammatory agents might mix the efficacy of NAC for major psychiatric disorders, but inadequate data were reported in included studies. The findings of the current study are supported by a previous case report (45), but not with the other case report (46) or observational study (47). Specifically, Bulut et al. (45) found NAC augmentation beneficial in a patient with treatment resistant schizophrenia, while Carvalho et al. (48) reported that two patients with treatment-resistant MDD had sustained improvement after adjunctive NAC was added to tranylcypromine. In an 8-week open-label study that included 149 patients with recent bipolar depressive episodes, adjunctive NAC resulted in robust improvement in depressive symptoms, functioning and quality of life (47).

Evidence for dysregulated oxidative signaling in many neuropsychiatric disorders is accumulating. For example, biomarkers of oxidative damage in the central nervous system have been found in subjects with bipolar disorder, depression and schizophrenia (49-51). Dysregulation of mitochondria (52) and genetically mediated mitochondrial disorders (53) have also been observed in major psychiatric disorders. Amrouche-Mekkioui and Djerdjouri (54) found that NAC could improve redox status and mitochondrial dysfunction, which may be related to its efficacy in treating major psychiatric disorders.

Deregulated inflammatory pathways and aberrant blood cytokine levels, such as pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines, are also thought to play a role in the pathophysiology of major mental disorders (55). Hence, the potential therapeutic mechanism of NAC in treating major psychiatric disorders could be related to its anti-inflammatory properties (18). NAC inhibits the expression and secretion of interleukin (IL)-10 and inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-1β and IL-6) in LPS-activated macrophagecell lines under mild oxidative conditions (56), which, in turn, could be responsible for its therapeutic effect in major psychiatric disorders. Although NAC appears to be safe, it may induce gastrointestinal and
musculoskeletal ADRs in patients with MDD (30). Idiosyncratic adverse reactions, such as asthma and pulmonary hypertension, have been reported in animal, but not in human studies (57). In addition to the major psychiatric disorders discussed so far, NAC has also shown to have efficacy in other psychiatric disorders, such as substance use disorders (58) and obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) (59). Furthermore, NAC is routinely used for treatment of Paracetamol poisoning (9, 11).

This meta-analysis of adjunctive NAC for major psychiatric disorders is more comprehensive than a previous meta-analysis (35) that included 5 RCTs (n=574) exploring depressive symptoms. The previous study (35) found that NAC ameliorated depressive symptoms in diverse diagnostic groups, which is not consistent with findings of our study which only included people with MDD. The Fernandes study (35) also included tobacco use disorder (60) and trichotillomania (61), hence explored a heterogeneous sample. Our meta-analysis also applied quality assessment by using the Jadad scale, and the overall evidence level using GRADE approach.

The findings of this study should be interpreted with caution due to the following methodological limitations. First, the number of RCTs of the three major psychiatric disorders were relatively small, precluding subgroup and sensitivity analyses. For the same reason, the relationship between efficacy and doses and treatment duration could not be adequately examined. Second, it is unclear whether all the included studies had restricted the use of other anti-oxidants or anti-inflammatory agents, although most did. Finally, only physically healthy patients were recruited in included RCTs, which limits the generalizability of the findings.

**Conclusion**

Adjunctive NAC appears to be a safe treatment that has efficacy in schizophrenia but not in bipolar disorder or MDD. The benefits of adjunctive NAC in major psychotic and mood disorders warrants further investigations together with its long-term effects and safety.
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Table 1. Subject and treatment characteristics of the studies included in the meta-analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (country)</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>-Blinding -Analyses</th>
<th>Trial Duration (wks)</th>
<th>Setting (%)</th>
<th>-Diagnostic criteria -Diagnosis (%)</th>
<th>Illness duration (yrs)*</th>
<th>Age*: yrs (range)</th>
<th>Sex*: Male (%)</th>
<th>Control-Group Dose (mg/d): mean (range)</th>
<th>Intervention-Group Dose (mg/d): mean (range)</th>
<th>Jadad score</th>
<th>Main finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schizophrenia (3 RCTs, n=307)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berk 2008 (multicenter)</td>
<td>T: 140 C: 71 I: 69</td>
<td>-DB -ITT</td>
<td>24b</td>
<td>In-(4) and Outpatients (96)</td>
<td>-DSM-IV -SCZ (100)</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>36.6 (18-65)</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>CPZ-equ: Ø=598</td>
<td>CPZ-equ: Ø=716</td>
<td>NAC: Ø=2000 (FD)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farokhnia 2013 (Iran)</td>
<td>T: 46 C: 23 I: 23</td>
<td>-DB -OC</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Inpatients (100)</td>
<td>-DSM-IV-TR -SCZ (100)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>32.8 (18-50)</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>RIS: Ø=4 (2-6)</td>
<td>RIS: Ø=4 (2-6)</td>
<td>NAC: Ø=2000 (1000-2000)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang2015 (China)</td>
<td>T: 121 C: 60 I: 61</td>
<td>-DB -ITT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>In-(NR) and Outpatients (NR)</td>
<td>-ICD-10 -SCZ (100)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>33.9 (18-50)</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>RIS: Ø=NR (1-6)</td>
<td>RIS: Ø=NR (1-6)</td>
<td>NAC: Ø=6000 (FD)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bipolar disorder (2 RCTs, n=125)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berk 2008 (Australia)</td>
<td>T: 75 C: 37 I: 38</td>
<td>-DB -ITT</td>
<td>24b</td>
<td>Outpatients (100)</td>
<td>-DSM-IV -BP-I (81.3); BP-II (18.7)</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>45.6 (NR)</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>Medication*: Ø=NR (NR)</td>
<td>Medication*: Ø=NR (NR)</td>
<td>NAC: Ø=2000 (FD)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hu 2012 (China)</td>
<td>T: 50 C: 22 I: 28</td>
<td>-OL -ITT</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>In-(NR) and Outpatients (NR)</td>
<td>-CCMD-3 -BP (100)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>29.7 (15-61)</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>VPA-Na: Ø=964 (600-1200); PAR: Ø=28 (20-40)</td>
<td>VPA-Na: Ø=986 (600-1200); PAR: Ø=32</td>
<td>NAC: Ø=1200 (FD)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study (country)</td>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>-Blinding -Analyses</td>
<td>Trial Duration (wks)</td>
<td>Setting (%)</td>
<td>-Diagnostic criteria (%</td>
<td>Illness duration (yrs)</td>
<td>Age: yrs (range)</td>
<td>Sex: %</td>
<td>Control-Group: Dose (mg/d): mean (range)</td>
<td>Intervention-Group: Dose (mg/d): mean (range)</td>
<td>Jadad score</td>
<td>Main finding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major depressive disorder (1 RCT, n=269)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(20-40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berk 2014 (Australia)</td>
<td>T: 269</td>
<td>DB</td>
<td>12b</td>
<td>In-(NR) and Outpatients (NR)</td>
<td>-DSM-IV-TR-MDD (100)</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>50.2 (≥18)</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>Medication²: Ø=NR (NR)</td>
<td>Medication²: Ø=NR (NR)</td>
<td>NAC: Ø=2000 (FD)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were extracted based on mean baseline value of each included trials.

A post discontinuation follow-up visit was conducted 4 weeks after the trial completion.

Including valproate, lithium, carbamazepine, lamotrigine, antipsychotics, antidepressants, benzodiazepines, and others.

No psychotropic medication, antidepressants, benzodiazepines, antipsychotics and mood stabilizer.

Abbreviation: BP=bipolar disorder; BP-I=bipolar I-disorder; BP-II=bipolar II-disorder; BP-NOS=bipolar NOS-disorder; C=control; CGI-I=the clinical global impression improvement scales; CGI-S=the clinical global impression severity scales; CPZ-eq=chlorpromazine equivalence; CCMD-3=China's mental disorder classification and diagnosis standard 3th edition; DB=double blind; DSM-IV=Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 4th edition; DSM-IV-TR=Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 4th edition, Text Revision; FD=fixed dosage; HAMD=Hamilton Depression Scale; I=intervention; ICD-10=the 10th revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems; ITT=intent to treat; LDL=low density lipoprotein; LIFE-RIFT=The Longitudinal Interval Follow-Up Evaluation-Range of Impaired Functioning Tool; MARD S= Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale; MDD=major depressive disorder; NAC=N-Acetylcysteine; NR=not report; OC=observed cases; OL=open label; PANSS=Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; PAR=paroxetine; Ø=mean; RIS=risperidone; TC=total cholesterol; TESS=Treatment Emergent Symptoms Scale; TG=total triglyceride; SCZ=schizophrenia; T=total; VPA-Na=Sodium valproate; wks=weeks; yrs=years.

Table 2. GRADE Analyses: N-acetylcysteine for major mental disorders
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary and secondary outcome</th>
<th>Studies (N)</th>
<th>Risk of bias</th>
<th>Inconsistency</th>
<th>Indirectness</th>
<th>Imprecision</th>
<th>Publication bias</th>
<th>Large effect</th>
<th>Overall quality of evidencea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schizophrenia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total psychopathology</td>
<td>3 (247)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousb</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/-/-/-; Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive symptom scores</td>
<td>3 (247)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousb</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/-/-/-; Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative symptom scores</td>
<td>3 (247)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousb</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/-/-/-; Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General psychopathology scores of the PANSS</td>
<td>3 (247)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousb</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/-/-/-; Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuation for any reason</td>
<td>3 (307)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/-/-/-; moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drowsiness</td>
<td>2 (167)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/+/-/+; High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>2 (186)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/+/-/-; moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>2 (186)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/+/-/-; moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>2 (186)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/+/-/-; moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>2 (186)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/+/-/-; moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bipolar disorder</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression scores</td>
<td>2 (124)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousb</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/-/-/-; Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDRS</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/+/-/+; moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S bipolar disorder</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/+/-/+; moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S depression</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/+/-/+; moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S mania</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/+/-/+; moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAF</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seriousc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+/-/+/-/+; moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>N (C)</th>
<th>Discontinued</th>
<th>Possible reasons</th>
<th>Serious?</th>
<th>GRADE Working Group grades of evidence</th>
<th>Nausea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIFE-RIFT</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Serious&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-LES-Q</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Serious&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLICE/LIFE</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Serious&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOFAS</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Serious&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMRS</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Serious&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuation for any reason</td>
<td>1 (75)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Serious&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>2 (125)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Serious&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>GRADE Working Group grades of evidence: High quality=further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality=further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality=further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality=we are very uncertain about the estimate.

<sup>b</sup>Meta-analytic results presented a serious inconsistency when I<sup>2</sup> values were greater than 50% or P<0.1 in the Q statistics.

<sup>c</sup>For continuous outcomes, N<400. For dichotomous outcomes, N<300.

Abbreviations: BDRS=Bipolar Depression Rating Scale; CGI=Clinical Global Impression; CGI-S bipolar disorder=CGI-Severity scale for bipolar disorder; CGI-S depression=CGI-Severity scale for depression; CGI-S mania=CGI-Severity scale for mania; GAF=Global Assessment of Functioning Scale; GRADE=grading of recommendations assessment, development, and evaluation; MMSE=the Mini-Mental State Examination; HAMD=Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; LIFE-RIFT=Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation-Range of Impaired Functioning Tool; MADRS=Montgomery Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; N/A=not applicable; PANSS=Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; Q-LES-Q=Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire; SLICE/LIFE=Streamlined Longitudinal Interview Clinical Evaluation from the Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation; SOFAS=Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale; YMRS=Young Mania Rating Scale;
Table 3. Primary and secondary outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Study (subjects)</th>
<th>SMDs/RRs (95%CI)</th>
<th>I² (%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schizophrenia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical efficacy:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total psychopathology</td>
<td>3 (247)</td>
<td>-0.74 (-1.43, -0.06)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive symptom scores</td>
<td>3 (247)</td>
<td>-0.16 (-0.62, 0.29)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative symptom scores</td>
<td>3 (247)</td>
<td>-0.59 (-2.00, 0.10)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General psychopathology scores (PANSS)</td>
<td>3 (247)</td>
<td>-0.20 (-0.62, 0.21)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuation rate:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuation due to any reason</td>
<td>3 (307)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.65, 1.43)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADRs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drowsiness</td>
<td>2 (167)</td>
<td>1.79 (0.67, 4.79)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>2 (186)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.49, 1.72)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>2 (186)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.48, 1.45)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>2 (186)</td>
<td>1.56 (0.87, 2.80)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>2 (186)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.52, 2.24)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bipolar disorder</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical efficacy:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression scores</td>
<td>2 (124)</td>
<td>-0.59 (-1.48, 0.30)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDRS</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>-0.90 (-1.38, -0.42)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S bipolar disorder</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>-0.70 (-1.17, -0.23)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>1 (Sample)</td>
<td>Estimate (95% CI)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S depression</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>-0.59 (-1.05, -0.12)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S mania</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.46, 0.46)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAF</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.26, 1.20)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFE-RIFT</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>-1.13 (-1.63, -0.64)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-LES-Q</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.34, 1.29)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLICE/LIFE</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>-1.07 (-1.56, -0.58)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOFAS</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.27, 1.22)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMRS</td>
<td>1 (74)</td>
<td>-0.38 (-0.84, 0.08)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuation rate:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuation for any reason</td>
<td>1 (75)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.66, 2.24)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADRs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>2 (125)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.53, 2.28)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Assessed by MADRS and HAMD.

Only one study was identified with limitation of the data synthesis.

Abbreviations: ADRs=adverse drug reactions; BDRS=Bipolar Depression Rating Scale; CI=confidence intervals; CGI=Clinical Global Impression; CGI-S bipolar disorder =CGI-Severity scale for bipolar disorder; CGI-S depression=CGI-Severity scale for depression; CGI-S mania=CGI-Severity scale for mania; GAF=Global Assessment of Functioning Scale; HAMD=Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; LIFE-RIFT=Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation-Range of Impaired Functioning Tool; MADRS=Montgomery Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; N/A=not applicable; PANSS=Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; Q-LES-Q=Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire; SLICE/LIFE=Streamlined Longitudinal Interview Clinical Evaluation from the Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation; SOFAS=Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale; YMRS=Young Mania Rating Scale; RRs=risk ratio; SMDs=Standard mean differences.
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