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Timing of routine infant vaccinations and risk of food allergy and eczema at one year of age
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Short title: Vaccination timing and risk of food allergy and eczema

Abstract

Background: Epidemiological evidence suggests that routine vaccinations can have non-targeted effects on susceptibility to infections and allergic disease. Such effects may depend on age at vaccination, and a delay in pertussis vaccination has been linked to reduced risk of allergic disease. We aimed to test the hypothesis that delay in vaccines containing diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis (DTaP) is associated with reduced risk of food allergy and other allergic diseases.

Methods: HealthNuts is a population-based cohort in Melbourne, Australia. 12 month-old infants were skin prick tested to common food allergens, and sensitized infants were offered oral food challenges to determine food allergy status. In this data linkage study, vaccination data for children in the HealthNuts cohort were obtained from the Australian Childhood Immunisation Register. Associations were examined between age at the first dose of DTaP and allergic disease.

Results: 109 of 4433 children (2.5%) received the first dose of DTaP one month late (delayed DTaP). Overall, delayed DTaP was not associated with primary outcomes of food allergy (adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 0.77; 95% CI 0.36-1.62, p=0.49) or atopic sensitization (aOR 0.66; 95% CI 0.35-1.24, p=0.19). Among secondary outcomes, delayed DTaP was associated with reduced eczema (aOR 0.57; 95% CI 0.34-0.97, p=0.04) and reduced use of eczema medication (aOR 0.45; 95% CI 0.24-0.83, p=0.01).

Conclusions: There was no overall association between delayed DTaP and food allergy, however children with delayed DTaP had less eczema and less use of eczema medication. Timing of routine infant immunizations may affect susceptibility to allergic disease.

Study registration: This observational study was registered with ANZCTR, trial ID ACTRN12614001193662.
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Introduction
The prevalence of food allergy is rising in industrialized countries and Melbourne, Australia, has the highest reported prevalence of childhood food allergy in the world (1). While some environmental factors have been clearly associated with protection against food allergy, including older siblings, pets ownership, timing of introduction of allergenic food (2), and vitamin D sufficiency (3), the causes for the increasing prevalence of food allergy are largely unknown.

There is evidence that immunizations given early in life have the potential to deviate the immune system toward a more, or less, allergic phenotype. Bacille Calmette-Guérin (BCG), the live-attenuated tuberculosis vaccine, has been associated with protection against allergic disease (4) and randomized trials are ongoing to test this association (5). In contrast, studies of the associations between inactivated pertussis vaccines and allergic disease have shown conflicting results with statistical heterogeneity (6-9). The only randomised trial of pertussis vaccine and allergy compared both whole cell and acellular pertussis vaccines against a diphtheria-tetanus control vaccine and found no large differences in eczema or other allergic diseases at age 2 ½ years (10). However this study did not include an unvaccinated control group. If other components (diphtheria or tetanus toxoids or adjuvants) contribute a biological effect on allergic disease, the ability of the randomised trial to detect differences between vaccine groups may have been limited (11).

Two other important issues might impact on these previous observational studies of pertussis vaccines. First, confounding may occur due to factors associated with receipt or refusal of vaccination. Second, heterogeneity of vaccination timing may lead to heterogeneity in study findings, as the age of exposure to the immune modulating effects of vaccines may be important for the resulting immune phenotype (12). Five studies have investigated age of pertussis vaccination and allergic disease (9, 13-16), with three suggesting that delayed vaccination is protective against asthma (15), hay fever (13), and atopic sensitization (14), and two studies showing no association between timing of pertussis vaccination and asthma.
Four of these studies investigated the whole cell pertussis vaccine no longer used in most industrialized countries (13-16), only one investigated eczema (16), and none investigated food allergy.

The HealthNuts cohort is a population-sample of one year old infants recruited to study prevalence and risk factors for food allergy (17). By linking with vaccination data from the Australian Childhood Immunisation Register (ACIR), the HealthNuts cohort was utilized to test the hypothesis that a delay in the first dose of an acellular-pertussis containing vaccine is associated with reduced prevalence of food allergy, eczema, wheeze or bronchiolitis in the first year of life.

**Methods**

1. **The HealthNuts Cohort**

The HealthNuts cohort is comprised of 5276 infants who were recruited at immunization clinics across Melbourne, Australia between 2007 and 2011 (17, 18). Parents provided written informed consent, completed an extensive survey of demography and history of allergic diseases, and their infants were examined for eczema and underwent a skin prick test (SPT) to common childhood food allergens (whole hen’s egg, peanut, sesame, and shellfish or cow’s milk) (1). Children with a SPT reaction to any allergen (SPT wheal ≥ 1mm after subtracting the negative control) were invited to attend the oral food challenge clinic (928 out of 1089 (85%) sensitized children attended) along with a random sample of SPT negative controls (n=218, approximately 19% of the cohort who received oral food challenges). We used 1mm as the criterion for invitation to the oral food challenge clinic to ensure no children with potential food allergy were missed. In the oral food challenge clinic SPTs were repeated, blood samples were taken for specific IgE, and infants were given oral food challenges with each food to which they were sensitized (18).

2. **Immunization exposures and Data linkage**

Over the birth years of the cohort, the Australian National Immunisation Program Schedule included a birth dose of hepatitis B vaccine followed by diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis vaccine (DTaP) at two, four and six months of age, usually as part of Infanrix Hexa® (GSK, Boronia, Victoria, Australia) also containing inactivated polio vaccine (IPV), hepatitis B vaccine and Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine (Supplementary Figure 1). A 13-valent
pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV) and oral rotavirus vaccination was also usually co-
administered. In Australia, all childhood immunizations are recorded in the ACIR.

Children were eligible for the present study if they remained in the HealthNuts cohort with
up-to-date contact details in May 2014. A letter was sent to the parents of all eligible children
outlining the details of the study. Unless parents opted out, data on routine vaccinations were
sought from ACIR between October 2014 and March 2015. Children were matched on first
name, surname and date of birth with or without postcode; only definite matches were
included. All children who received acellular pertussis vaccine also received diphtheria and
tetanus components; thus the age at first dose of DTaP was considered the primary exposure
irrespective of other vaccines co-administered. Delayed DTaP was defined as the first dose
given after 90 days of age (one month late) as per the Australian National Immunisation
Program. Children with missing data for the first dose of DTaP but with data for subsequent
doses (n=46) were excluded from the primary analyses, as it was likely these children
received prior doses of DTaP at an unknown time. Vaccination data was available from
personal records for eight of these 46 children as part of HealthNuts age six follow up
(currently underway), all of whom received a dose of DTaP prior to the first dose recorded on
ACIR (seven were vaccinated on-time and one was delayed).

3. Allergic Disease Outcomes

Primary outcomes

Food allergy: Children were classified as food allergic if they had a SPT wheal ≥ 2mm (after
subtracting the negative control) or specific IgE > 0.35 kU/L at the oral food challenge
clinic visit and any of the following within two hours of oral food challenge:
concurrent noncontact urticaria lasting five minutes or more; perioral or periorbital
angioedema; vomiting; or circulatory or respiratory compromise. Only children with
reactions to egg, peanut and sesame were considered food allergic for this analysis since
challenges were not performed for cow’s milk and shellfish. Children were also deemed food
allergic (without performing oral food challenges) if they had a positive SPT and a confirmed
reaction to egg within the past one month, or to peanut or sesame within the past two months
(1). Children with a positive food challenge but negative SPT and specific IgE < 0.35 kU/L
(Figure 1) were excluded from the food allergy analyses because it was unclear if they had
IgE mediated food allergy.
**Atopic sensitization:** All children with a SPT wheal ≥ 2mm (after subtracting the negative control) to egg, peanut or sesame at the community clinic were classified as having atopic sensitization.

**Secondary outcomes**

**Eczema:** eczema was defined as established diagnosis by a doctor with associated use of treatments (medications, topical steroids or moisturizers), or eczematous rash observed by a trained nurse at the time of recruitment. Children who were diagnosed with eczema before three months of age (prior to first scheduled vaccinations plus one month window period) were excluded as eczema in these children was, by definition, unrelated to vaccination (n=313, 21% of 1474 children who otherwise met criteria for eczema); thus onset of eczema was between three and 12 months of age.

**Eczema medication:** Use of eczema medication was parent reported and included oral medication or topical steroids (but not moisturizers) to treat an itchy rash at any time in the first year of life. Similar to the eczema outcome, children diagnosed with eczema prior to three months of age were excluded from eczema medication analyses (n=224, 19% of 1209 children who otherwise met criteria for use of eczema medication).

**Wheeze:** ‘wheeze ever’ reported by parents at one year of age.

**Bronchiolitis admission:** Hospital admissions for bronchiolitis at any time in the first year of life reported by parents at one year of age.

### 4. Statistical Analyses

Demographic variables were compared between groups using Chi square or Kruskal-Wallis tests. The primary analyses were performed using logistic regression to produce odds ratios (OR) for association between delayed DTaP and allergic disease outcomes. Multivariate analyses were performed adjusting for pre-specified potential confounders: sex (female/male), antibiotic use (categorized as yes/no due to excess missing data on number of antibiotic courses), day-care attendance (yes/no), number of siblings (0, 1-2, ≥3), birth-country of the parents (both Australian, one or both parents born East Asia, either parent born elsewhere), presence of smokers at home (yes/no), and socio-economic indexes for areas (SEIFA; quintiles) (19). The SEIFA was used to estimate socioeconomic status on the basis
of postcode (3, 19) due to incomplete parental income data. Other potential confounders (listed and categorized in Table 1) were not included in multivariate models because their inclusion did not alter any estimate by more than 10%. Analyses were stratified by sex as pre-specified in the analysis plan, and a Wald test for homogeneity of effects was performed on all stratified analyses. A sensitivity analysis was performed designating children with indeterminate food allergy status as non-allergic unless they had a parent reported history consistent with IgE mediated food allergy at any age or a SPT wheal greater than the 95% positive predictive value for food allergy (≥4mm to egg or ≥8mm to peanut or sesame) (20), in which case they were designated as food allergic. A sensitivity analysis for eczema was performed by including all children regardless of age of eczema diagnosis. To investigate for reverse causation between eczema and delayed DTaP, a Cox regression model was constructed including time at risk from birth until age of DTaP vaccination, and including time as exposed from the age of doctor diagnosed eczema; the proportional hazards assumption was not violated (p=0.30, Schoenfeld residuals).

Children with missing data on potential confounders were excluded from the multivariate analyses. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The population sample size of 5000 was derived for recruitment into the original cohort (3). Given that DTaP data were available for 4433 children and 109 (2.5%) had a one month delay in DTaP, the study ultimately had a power of 0.80 to detect a 73% reduction in food allergy associated with delayed vaccination in the unadjusted analysis. Statistical analyses were conducted in Stata version 11 (College Station, Texas, USA).

5. Ethics and Consent

The protocol for the original HealthNuts study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committees at the Office for Children, Government of Victoria, the Department of Human Services, Government of Victoria, and at the Royal Children’s Hospital, Melbourne. Approval for linkage with immunization data was granted by the Human Research Ethics Committee at the Royal Children’s Hospital, Melbourne. Written information was provided to parents of participants with the option to opt-out. The methodology, outcomes and analysis plan for this observational study were registered prior to data linkage with ANZCTR (trial ID ACTRN12614001193662).

Results

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved
1. Background and demography

Of the 5276 children included in the original cohort, vaccination data was sought for 4834 (92%) with current contact details, and complete data on vaccinations were available for 4487 (85%) children (Figure 1). There were differences between those with and without vaccination data available, including increased prevalence of food allergy and eczema amongst those with available vaccination data (Supplementary Table 1).

2. Vaccination exposure and predictors of delayed vaccination

Overall, 4433 out of 4487 (99%) children were recorded as having received a first dose of DTaP. Of these children, all received at least two doses, and 4402 (99.3%) received all three doses. The first dose of DTaP was co-administered with IPV in 4415 children (99.6%), with hepatitis B vaccine in 4400 (99.3%), with Hib in 4416 (99.6%) (usually as part of Infanrix Hexa®), with PCV in 4396 (99.2%) and with rotavirus vaccine in 4173 (94.1%).

109 children (2.5%) received the first dose of DTaP one month late (delayed DTaP). Median age of DTaP was 63 days in the on-time group and 103 days in the delayed DTaP group (Table 1). Vaccine timeliness improved somewhat over the period of the study; 70/2378 (2.9%) of children born before 1/1/2009 had delayed DTaP, whereas 39/2055 (1.9%) of children born after 1/1/2009 had delayed DTaP. Factors associated with delayed DTaP were older age at recruitment, lack of attendance at child-care, having siblings, smokers at the home, and never having had artificial formula (Table 1). Of these factors, only siblings was also associated with food allergy (an inverse association, p=0.04 for 1-2 siblings and p=0.001 for ≥3 siblings) (2) and only smokers at the home was associated with eczema (an inverse association, p=0.02).

3. Primary outcomes

There was no significant association between delayed DTaP and food allergy (adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 0.77; 95% CI 0.36-1.62, p=0.49, Table 2). There was no overall association between delayed DTaP and atopic sensitization (Table 2). In the pre-planned sex-stratified analyses, females tended to have less atopic sensitization if they had delayed DTaP (aOR 0.25; 0.06-1.04, p=0.06), and this association tended to be different from the association in males (p for interaction=0.09; Table 2). In a sensitivity analysis assuming food allergy status on the basis of skin prick wheal size amongst those with indeterminate food allergy status, the
association between delayed DTaP and food allergy was similar (aOR 0.87; 0.45-1.68, p=0.69).

4. Secondary outcomes

Children with delayed DTaP had reduced odds of eczema compared to those vaccinated on time (aOR 0.57; 0.34-0.97, p=0.04, Table 3), with a similar magnitude of association in boys and girls (Supplementary Table 2). Similarly there was an association between delayed DTaP and reduced use of eczema medication (aOR 0.45; 0.24-0.83, p=0.01, Table 3). In a sensitivity analysis, including all children regardless of the age of eczema diagnosis made minimal difference to these estimates (eczema: aOR 0.60; 0.37-0.96, p=0.03; eczema medication: aOR 0.47; 0.27-0.82, p=0.008). In post hoc analyses, there were no large differences in the association between delayed DTaP and eczema amongst subgroups (Supplementary Table 3). There was no association between doctor-diagnosed eczema and subsequent delayed DTaP (Hazard Ratio 0.98; 0.86-1.11, p=0.70), giving no suggestion of reverse causation. There were no significant associations between delayed DTaP and bronchiolitis admissions or wheeze (Table 3).

Discussion

Overall we found no significant associations between delayed DTaP and our primary outcomes of food allergy or atopic sensitization at one year of age. However we found children with their first dose of DTaP containing vaccine delayed by one-month had significantly reduced eczema and reduced use of eczema medication, even after accounting for a variety of potential confounding factors. Additionally, there was some evidence of a differential association by sex, where girls with delayed DTaP tended to have reduced atopic sensitization whereas boys did not. Other vaccinations were invariably co-administered, including diphtheria, tetanus, IPV, hepatitis B, Hib, PCV, rotavirus; thus if the observed associations are causal, it is unclear whether DTaP, another vaccine or a combination of vaccines is responsible.

This study is the most comprehensive investigation into vaccinations and food allergy to date, and the lack of overall association between DTaP timing and food allergy is reassuring. However this study was limited by statistical power as only 2.5% of children had delayed vaccination, considerably fewer than 4.9% found in a 2001 Australian cohort (21). This improvement in timeliness may be specific to the HealthNuts population or may represent a
trend over time, especially given that the recent introduction of rotavirus vaccine has apparently increased timeliness of other co-administered vaccines (22).

Other important limitations exist. There was a bias towards participation amongst those with food allergy and eczema thus population risk of allergic diseases were slightly overestimated (1). However the overall participation rate was high, and there is no reason to suggest that this participation bias would have affected our analysis of vaccination timing. Over 20% of eczema cases were excluded due to having a diagnosis of eczema prior to age of scheduled vaccination, thus the eczema results presented here pertain only to eczema between three and 12 months of age. Importantly, our primary objective was to study timing of the acellular pertussis vaccine, but the almost invariable co-administration of other vaccinations means that we are unable to determine which component may be responsible for modifying risk of eczema. Furthermore, our findings are unable to attribute any risk of allergic disease to vaccination per se as all included children were vaccinated.

By comparing early versus late vaccination, we have eliminated confounding associated with reasons for receipt or refusal of vaccination. However our results have potential to be confounded by reasons for delayed vaccination. Febrile episodes are associated with vaccination delay but fever only after six months of age is linked to protection against allergic disease (23, 24), and it is unlikely that vaccination would be delayed by one month due to fever in many children. Rotavirus vaccine is often withheld when vaccination is delayed because of strict upper age limits for its use (22), but there was no evidence that differential vaccination with rotavirus vaccine affected the results. We investigated many other factors associated with vaccination delay (25) and found no evidence that confounding was responsible for the findings; however we are unable to exclude residual confounding due to the observational design of the study and therefore causation cannot be ascribed. We have not identified any sources of bias that could explain these findings and there was no association between early doctor-diagnosed eczema and subsequent vaccination delay indicating that reverse causation is unlikely.

The rationale behind this investigation was evidence that delayed administration of diphtheria-tetanus-whole cell pertussis (DTwP) vaccination in infancy might reduce subsequent risk of asthma (15), hay fever (13), and possibly atopic sensitization (14). Additionally, delayed DTwP vaccination provided a survival advantage for girls in a high
mortality setting (26), while DTaP-IPV-Hib vaccination was a risk factor for infectious
disease hospital admissions in a low mortality setting (27). The World Health Organization
has recently acknowledged the importance of the non-targeted (also called non-specific)
effects of vaccinations and has called for further research into the epidemiological and
underlying immunological mechanisms of such effects (28).

Various observational studies of pertussis vaccination and atopic disease have found
protection, no association, or increased risk associated with receipt of vaccination (6-9, 29).
One international study reported reduction in eczema severity associated with pertussis
vaccinations, with an apparent dose-response to total number of vaccinations received (30).
Many of these studies did not report age of vaccination and thus heterogeneity in vaccination
timing may have led to conflicting results in studies of pertussis vaccination versus no
vaccination. No previous studies have examined timing of pertussis vaccination and food
allergy, and only one study examined eczema (16). In a large UK cohort, no association
between DTwP-IPV timing and eczema was found (16); however vaccination timing was
divided into quartiles and age of vaccination was not reported, thus it is unclear if there was
any practical difference in vaccination timing between groups. The association between
delayed DTaP and eczema in the present study resembles a previous observation where
delayed DTwP was associated with reduced risk of childhood asthma (15).

These findings need to be considered in the context of pertussis disease. In the USA, pertussis
has a case fatality of 0.6% in two-month old infants (31), and a single dose of acellular
pertussis vaccine is highly protective against pertussis disease and pertussis mortality (31,
32). There is a movement to advance the age of the first pertussis vaccine to reduce pertussis
morbidity and mortality in infants (33) and the primary immunization series is now
encouraged from six weeks of age in Australia. If delayed vaccination is proven beneficial for
allergic disease, such benefits would need to be carefully measured against the specific
advantage of early vaccination in the relevant population (31, 33) while considering impact
on other vaccines in the schedule (22). It should also be noted that Victoria has recently
introduced a maternal pertussis immunization program recommended in the third trimester of
pregnancy (34), which may have implications on the immune response to the infant dose of
pertussis vaccine and any future evaluations on vaccination timing and atopic disease.
While trained innate immunity and T cell cross-reactivity may explain some non-targeted effects of vaccination in relation to susceptibility to infection (35, 36), mechanisms to explain altered risk of allergic disease are unknown. However, T helper (T\textsubscript{H}2) stimulation by vaccinations could theoretically offer an explanation, as acellular pertussis vaccines are strong T\textsubscript{H}2 stimulants (32, 37, 38) and T\textsubscript{H}2 polarization is associated with development of food allergy and atopic eczema. Components of the \textit{Bordetella pertussis} cell wall may have an inhibitory role on the development of IgE in relation to other vaccine components (39), suggesting that DTaP, which lacks the cellular components, has greater potential to be allergenic than DTwP. Also promoting a T\textsubscript{H}2 response to non-vaccine antigens are pneumococcal vaccines (40) and aluminium adjuvant (41), used in both DTaP and pneumococcal vaccines. Therefore multiple components of the primary immunization series are theoretically capable of initiating a generalized T\textsubscript{H}2 bias.

\textit{Staphylococcus aureus} colonization is implicated in the pathogenesis of infant eczema, as infants with eczema have excessive T\textsubscript{H}2 cytokine responses to staphylococcal superantigens (42). Thus it is possible that a T\textsubscript{H}2 stimulant such as DTaP or another vaccine component given during a critical window in infancy could have a bystander effect whereby predisposed infants who are colonized with \textit{S. aureus} become sensitized to staphylococcal superantigens and develop eczema, without having the stronger T\textsubscript{H}2 bias required to cause other allergic diseases (42).

In conclusion, delayed DTaP vaccination was not associated with food allergy or atopic sensitization overall, but was associated with less eczema and less use of eczema medication. Additionally there was a borderline association between delayed DTaP and reduced atopic sensitization amongst girls. This finding is consistent with the observation that the non-targeted effects of vaccines tend to be sex differential with females generally being more susceptible than males (43). These results warrant further investigation. Timing of routine immunizations in infancy may affect susceptibility to allergic disease.
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Figure legends

Figure 1 – HealthNuts cohort and participant flow for analysis of vaccination timing

ACIR=Australian Childhood Immunisation Register. *Unable to determine food allergy status due to failure to attend food challenge clinic (n=230), inconclusive food challenge (n=18), incomplete food challenge to all foods to which infant was sensitized (n=22), or positive food challenge in absence of atopic sensitization (n=27).

Supplementary Figure 1 – Australian National Immunisation Program Schedule over the birth years of the HealthNuts cohort. Hep B=hepatitis B vaccine, DTaP=diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis, IPV=inactivated polio vaccine; Hib=Haemophilus influenzae type B vaccine; PCV=7-valent pneumococcal vaccine; MMR=measles-mumps-rubella vaccine; MenC=meningococcal C vaccine.
Table 1 – Comparison of demography between children with on-time and delayed 1st dose of DTaP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>1st dose DTaP</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 1 month late (n=4324)</td>
<td>≥ 1 month late (n=109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>4433</td>
<td>2155/4324 (50%)</td>
<td>45/109 (41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEIFA median (10th-90th centile)</td>
<td>4428</td>
<td>1057 (987-1108)</td>
<td>1057 (987-1108)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever had antibiotics</td>
<td>4289</td>
<td>2136/4183 (51%)</td>
<td>51/106 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attends child care</td>
<td>4338</td>
<td>1231/4231 (29%)</td>
<td>18/107 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siblings</td>
<td>4386</td>
<td>2150/4278 (50%)</td>
<td>20/108 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1418/4278 (33%)</td>
<td>46/108 (43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>710/4278 (17%)</td>
<td>42/108 (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent country of birth</td>
<td>4308</td>
<td>469/4201 (11%)</td>
<td>17/107 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2557/4201 (61%)</td>
<td>67/107 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1175/4201 (28%)</td>
<td>23/107 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker at the home</td>
<td>4403</td>
<td>905/4296 (21%)</td>
<td>38/107 (36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal smoking during pregnancy</td>
<td>4311</td>
<td>183/4207 (4%)</td>
<td>8/104 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atopic family history</td>
<td>4433</td>
<td>2942/4324 (68%)</td>
<td>77/109 (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthweight (grams) n=4349</td>
<td>4349</td>
<td>3420 (2730-4060)</td>
<td>3432 (2855-4150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature (&lt;37 weeks gestation)</td>
<td>4163</td>
<td>244/4066 (6%)</td>
<td>6/97 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Born in winter months</td>
<td>4433</td>
<td>1107/4324 (26%)</td>
<td>27/109 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breastfed &gt; 6 months of age</td>
<td>4229</td>
<td>2436/4129 (59%)</td>
<td>55/100 (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever had artificial formula</td>
<td>4114</td>
<td>3197/4011 (80%)</td>
<td>73/103 (71%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Early introduction of egg into diet ≤6 months of age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4248</th>
<th>1007/4142 (24%)</th>
<th>39/106 (37%)</th>
<th>0.003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cat at the house</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4427</td>
<td>3585/4318 (83%)</td>
<td>88/109 (81%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside</td>
<td>4427</td>
<td>134//4318 (3%)</td>
<td>4/109 (4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside</td>
<td>4427</td>
<td>599/4318 (14%)</td>
<td>17/109 (16%)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog at the house</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4430</td>
<td>2945/4321 (68%)</td>
<td>84/109 (77%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside</td>
<td>4430</td>
<td>459/4321 (11%)</td>
<td>5/109 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside</td>
<td>4430</td>
<td>917/4321 (21%)</td>
<td>20/109 (18%)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of recruitment (dd/mm/yy); Median (10th-90th centile)</td>
<td>4433</td>
<td>07/12/09 (07/10/08-24/03/11)</td>
<td>23/09/09 (21/10/08-24/03/11)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at recruitment (days); Median (10th-90th centile)</td>
<td>4433</td>
<td>378 (365-411)</td>
<td>391 (352-448)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of 1st dose of DTaP (days); Median (10th-90th centile)</td>
<td>4433</td>
<td>63 (56-73)</td>
<td>103 (91-216)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – Association between timing of 1st dose of DTaP, food allergy and atopic sensitisation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st dose DTaP</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>aOR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food allergy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 month late</td>
<td>463/4041 (11%)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.37-1.48)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3846</td>
<td>0.77 (0.36-1.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1 month late</td>
<td>9/103 (9%)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.37-1.48)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3846</td>
<td>0.77 (0.36-1.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 month late</td>
<td>206/1998 (10%)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.03-1.59)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.23 (0.03-1.73)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1 month late</td>
<td>1/41 (2%)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.03-1.59)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.23 (0.03-1.73)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 month late</td>
<td>256/2027 (13%)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.48-2.18)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.15 (0.50-2.63)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1 month late</td>
<td>8/62 (13%)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.48-2.18)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.15 (0.50-2.63)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P for same effect in males and females</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DTaP=diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis vaccination; SEIFA = Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (17), included in multivariate models as quintiles. Atopic family history defined as any 1st degree relative eczema, asthma, hay fever. Data are n/N (%) unless otherwise specified. n indicates number of participants with complete data.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Atopic sensitisation</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All children</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 month late</td>
<td>734/4175 (18%)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.36-1.16)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3971</td>
<td>0.66 (0.35-1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1 month late</td>
<td>13/107 (12%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Females</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 month late</td>
<td>348/2070 (17%)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.06-0.98)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3550</td>
<td>0.25 (0.06-1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1 month late</td>
<td>2/44 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Males</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 month late</td>
<td>385/2087 (18%)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.48-1.81)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>3725</td>
<td>1.00 (0.49-2.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1 month late</td>
<td>11/63 (17%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P for same effect in males and females</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DTaP=diphtheria-tetanus-acelullar pertussis vaccination; OR=univariate odds ratio; aOR=odds ratio adjusted for sex, SEIFA quintile, siblings, antibiotic use, child-care attendance, smokers at the home, parent country of birth. n indicates number of participants included in multivariate analyses. Results in **bold** indicate p<0.05.

Table 3 – Association between timing of 1st dose of DTaP and secondary outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1st dose DTaP</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>aOR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 1 month late</td>
<td>≥ 1 month late</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eczema</td>
<td>1127/3735 (30%)</td>
<td>19/99 (19%)</td>
<td><strong>0.55 (0.33-0.91)</strong></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3550</td>
<td><strong>0.57 (0.34-0.97)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eczema medication</td>
<td>961/3838 (25%)</td>
<td>12/99 (12%)</td>
<td><strong>0.41 (0.22-0.76)</strong></td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>3725</td>
<td><strong>0.45 (0.24-0.83)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchiolitis admission</td>
<td>112/4160 (3%)</td>
<td>4/105 (4%)</td>
<td>1.43 (0.52-3.96)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>4038</td>
<td>1.17 (0.41-3.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeze ever</td>
<td>677/3786 (18%)</td>
<td>20/100 (20%)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.70-1.89)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>3691</td>
<td>1.16 (0.69-1.95)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DTaP=diphtheria-tetanus-acelullar pertussis vaccination; OR=univariate odds ratio; aOR=odds ratio adjusted for sex, SEIFA quintile, siblings, antibiotic use, childcare attendance, smokers at the home, and parent country of birth. n indicates number of participants included in multivariate analyses. Results in **bold** indicate p<0.05.
5276 1-year old infants recruited into HealthNuts cohort 2007–2011

201 requested no further contact

219 lost to follow-up

4856 still participating in cohort in May 2014 with available contact details

22 opt out after postal informed consent

4834 records requested from ACIR

347 unmatched records

4487 records matched to ACIR (93% of requested)

54 no recorded 1st dose of DTaP

4433 (99%) received ≥ 1 dose of DTaP

472 food allergic

3664 food non-allergic

297 food allergy status unable to be confirmed*
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