Influence of Sequential vs. Simultaneous Dual-Task Exercise Training on Cognitive Function in Older Adults
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Emerging research indicates that exercise combined with cognitive training may improve cognitive function in older adults. Typically these programs have incorporated sequential training, where exercise and cognitive training are undertaken separately. However, simultaneous or dual-task training, where cognitive and/or motor training are performed simultaneously with exercise, may offer greater benefits. This review summary provides an overview of the effects of combined simultaneous vs. sequential training on cognitive function in older adults. Based on the available evidence, there are inconsistent findings with regard to the cognitive benefits of sequential training in comparison to cognitive or exercise training alone. In contrast, simultaneous training interventions, particularly multimodal exercise programs in combination with secondary tasks regulated by sensory cues, have significantly improved cognition in both healthy older and clinical populations. However, further research is needed to determine the optimal characteristics of a successful simultaneous training program for optimizing cognitive function in older people.
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INTRODUCTION

Age-associated cognitive decline, which can progress to mild cognitive impairment and dementia, are growing public health concerns with no known cure. Regular exercise has been shown to provide some cognitive benefits in healthy and cognitively impaired older adults (Colcombe and Kramer, 2003; Heyn et al., 2004; Angevaren et al., 2008), but the optimal type and dose (frequency, intensity, duration) of exercise remains unclear. Cognitive training and regular participation in mentally stimulating activities have also been demonstrated to have positive effects on cognitive function in older adults (Valenzuela and Sachdev, 2009; Martin et al., 2011), but there is uncertainty as to whether such training alone can enhance functional tasks of everyday living such as talking whilst walking. A reduced ability to simultaneously divide attention between a primary and secondary task, which is referred to as the dual-task paradigm, is clinically important as it has been associated with reduced reaction time and walking speed, more frequent contact with obstacles whilst walking, and an increased risk of falls (Melzer et al., 2010; Pichierri et al., 2011). In conjunction with age-associated deterioration in executive function, deficits in divided attention...
can be problematic for tasks such as driving (Anstey et al., 2005), and represents a strong risk factor for falls in the elderly (Tinetti et al., 1995; Muir et al., 2012), which collectively increase the risk for institutionalization (Tinetti and Williams, 1997; Bharucha et al., 2004). Given this research, there has been recent interest into whether dual-task cognitive-motor exercise training, whereby cognitive or motor tasks are performed sequentially or simultaneously with exercise training, result in greater improvements in selected cognitive domains than either exercise or cognitive training alone to prolong functional independence, or reduce the risk of cognitive-related diseases such as dementia and Alzheimer’s disease. This review will provide an overview of the evidence related to the effectiveness of sequential (separate) vs. simultaneous exercise-cognitive training for improving cognitive function, and whether there is an optimal modality and dose for cognitive gains in healthy and cognitively impaired older adults. Specifically, this review will include evidence from 29 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and factorial design studies ≥7 weeks in duration and published up to December 2016, and will extend on previous reviews that have not delineated the specific benefits from simultaneous and sequential studies (Law et al., 2014; Lauenroth et al., 2016).

EFFECTS OF SEQUENTIAL EXERCISE-COGNITIVE TRAINING ON COGNITIVE FUNCTION

An overview of the trials which have investigated whether combined sequential cognitive-exercise training can benefit cognitive function in older adults to a greater extent than either cognitive or exercise training alone is provided in Table 1. While there is some evidence that exercise training followed sequentially by cognitive/motor training on the same day (prior to or after exercise training), or separate days, can enhance cognitive abilities including memory and executive function in healthy older adults (Schaefer and Schumacher, 2011; Law et al., 2014; Lauenroth et al., 2016), there is considerable heterogeneity between the different interventions which makes interpretation of the results difficult. In one of the first factorial designed randomized controlled trials (RCT) to address whether sequential exercise-cognitive training improved cognitive function in healthy older adults, Fabre et al. (2002) found that 8-weeks of moderate-intensity aerobic training performed twice per week combined with memory training once per week was more effective at improving a composite memory score than either approach alone. However, a limitation of this study was that the total dose of training was greater in the combined training group, compared to each intervention alone. Despite this, a 16-week factorial design RCT in 180 adults aged 65–93 years living independently in retirement villages found that a combination of walking and progressive resistance training (PRT), and cognitive training (each performed three times per week), or cognitive training alone, led to greater improvements in hand-eye coordination, global visual memory, and processing speed, than those who did not engage in cognitive training (exercise-only and controls; Shatil, 2013). However, as cognitive outcomes assessed in this trial were also included in cognitive training (both used the CogniFit program), these measures may lack external or clinical validity (Noack et al., 2014; Ratner and Atkinson, 2015; Simons et al., 2016). As shown in Table 1 the findings from a number of other trials have demonstrated that sequential training involving interventions ranging from 7 to 30 weeks, and incorporating a range of intensities of aerobic and/or PRT in combination with cognitive training, did not confer any superior transfer effects to cognitive performance compared to cognitive training alone (Oswald et al., 2006; Linde and Alfermann, 2014; Shah et al., 2014; Rahe et al., 2015; Desjardins-Crêpeau et al., 2016). Furthermore, few studies have demonstrated greater cognitive benefits following sequential training compared to exercise alone in older adults aged >50 years (Fabre et al., 2002; Shatil, 2013; van het Reve and de Bruin, 2014).

There are several factors that could explain the mixed effects of sequential exercise-cognitive training on cognitive function, including variations in the dosage of physical and cognitive training provided, differences in exercise intensity and intervention lengths and the outcome measures and populations studied, the specificity of cognitive training (e.g., multi-domain vs. specific memory training), and/or whether the cognitive training was conducted prior to or after exercise training. For instance, a 16-week RCT in older adults observed no cognitive benefits when exercise training was delivered after the cognitive training (Legault et al., 2011). In contrast, a 30-week trial in adults aged 75–93 years reported significant cognitive improvements when exercise training was performed before cognitive training (Oswald et al., 2006). Evidence from animal studies indicates exercise promotes neurogenesis in the brain (Van Praag et al., 2005), while cognitive training regulates synaptic formation (Trachtenberg et al., 2002) and enhances the survival of these exercise-induced neurons (Fabel et al., 2009). Therefore, exercise may facilitate synaptic plasticity and neurogenesis within a fertile neuro-environment, created through the release of growth factors such as brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (Cotman et al., 2007). The potential cognitive advantages available from sequential training may therefore require an additional exposure to cognitive or motor training elements following exercise training, in order to exploit this environment to a greater extent than either cognitive or exercise training alone (Kraft, 2012; Curlik and Shors, 2013).

EFFECTS OF SIMULTANEOUS EXERCISE-COGNITIVE TRAINING ON COGNITIVE FUNCTION

To date, no studies have directly evaluated the efficacy of sequential vs. simultaneous exercise-cognitive training on cognitive function in older adults. However, there is some evidence that simultaneous exercise-cognitive training studies incorporating aerobic training combined with cognitive challenges (e.g., exergaming) and memory training, are associated with cognitive improvements in healthy older adults (Anderson-Hanley et al., 2012; Theill et al., 2013; Barcelos...
| Author et al., 2011 | Community dwelling, risk of CI ≥ 65 years | 80 | Factorial | 16 | Memory training (before Ex) | 50 min | 1–2/week | 60 min | 2/week | Health education | EF | N | N | N |
| Fabre et al., 2002 | Community dwelling, 60–76 years | 32 | Factorial | 8 | Memory training (after Ex) | 90 min | 1/week | Aerobic | 60 min | 2/week | Health education | Mem | N | N | N |
| van het Reve and de Bruin, 2014 | Community/ residentially dwelling ≥ 65 years | 182 | RCT | 12 | Attention and alertness training (unclear) | 10 min | 3/week | PRT and balance | 30 min | – | – | EF | Y | – | – |
| Legault et al., 2011 | Community dwelling, risk of CI 70–85 years | 80 | Factorial | 16 | Memory training (before Ex) | 50 min | 1–2/week | 60 min | 2/week | Health education | Mem | Y | Y | Y |
| Fabre et al., 2002 | Community dwelling, 60–76 years | 32 | Factorial | 8 | Memory training (after Ex) | 90 min | 1/week | Aerobic | 60 min | 2/week | Health education | Mem | N | N | N |
| van het Reve and de Bruin, 2014 | Community/ residentially dwelling ≥ 65 years | 182 | RCT | 12 | Attention and alertness training (unclear) | 10 min | 3/week | PRT and balance | 30 min | – | – | EF | Y | – | – |
| Legault et al., 2011 | Community dwelling, risk of CI 70–85 years | 80 | Factorial | 16 | Memory training (before Ex) | 50 min | 1–2/week | 60 min | 2/week | Health education | Mem | N | N | N |
| Fabre et al., 2002 | Community dwelling, 60–76 years | 32 | Factorial | 8 | Memory training (after Ex) | 90 min | 1/week | Aerobic | 60 min | 2/week | Health education | Mem | Y | Y | Y |
| van het Reve and de Bruin, 2014 | Community/ residentially dwelling ≥ 65 years | 182 | RCT | 12 | Attention and alertness training (unclear) | 10 min | 3/week | PRT and balance | 30 min | – | – | EF | Y | – | – |
| Legault et al., 2011 | Community dwelling, risk of CI 70–85 years | 80 | Factorial | 16 | Memory training (before Ex) | 50 min | 1–2/week | 60 min | 2/week | Health education | Mem | N | N | N |
| Fabre et al., 2002 | Community dwelling, 60–76 years | 32 | Factorial | 8 | Memory training (after Ex) | 90 min | 1/week | Aerobic | 60 min | 2/week | Health education | Mem | Y | Y | Y |
| van het Reve and de Bruin, 2014 | Community/ residentially dwelling ≥ 65 years | 182 | RCT | 12 | Attention and alertness training (unclear) | 10 min | 3/week | PRT and balance | 30 min | – | – | EF | Y | – | – |

Att, Attention; Con, Control group; CI, Cognitive impairment; CRT, Choice Reaction Time; CT, Cognitive training group; DT, Dual-task training; EF, Executive Function; Ex, Exercise group; ExCT, Exercise and Cognitive training combined group; Mem, Memory; Global, Global Cognitive Function; N, no; NA, not assessed; PET, Psychoeducational training; PRT, Progressive Resistance Training; PS, Processing speed; RCT, Randomized Controlled Trial; RT, Reaction time; VF, Verbal fluency; VR, Virtual Reality; VS, Visuospatial ability; Y, yes. †Only in DT training groups; *CT also greater than Con.
et al., 2015). In addition, studies that have incorporated PRT with balance training (Hiyamizu et al., 2012), stepping exercises (Kayama et al., 2014; Nishiguchi et al., 2015), and/or aerobic training (Leon et al., 2015; Yokoyama et al., 2015), performed with secondary cognitive or motor tasks, have also shown positive effects on cognition (Table 2). For example, improvements in executive function, global cognitive function and attention were observed in community dwelling older adults following 12-weeks of low-intensity aerobic and PRT performed simultaneously with arithmetic and word games, compared to an exercise-only (60-min three times a week) group (Yokoyama et al., 2015). However, a limitation of many of the current simultaneous training interventions is a lack of a factorial $2 \times 2$ design, which makes it difficult to determine whether simultaneous exercise-cognitive programs can provide added cognitive benefits to exercise or cognitive training alone. Nonetheless, 12-weeks of a multimodal exercise program incorporating aerobic training and PRT (60-min twice per week) performed in conjunction with motor tasks (e.g., walking, object manipulation) regulated by external cues, improved choice reaction time in community-dwelling older adults, compared to a group that performed exercise only, and a usual care group (Leon et al., 2015). While further long-term, well-designed factorial RCTs are needed, the available data indicates that multimodal exercise programs performed under dual-task conditions provide more consistent cognitive benefits in older adults.

To summarize the available data with regard to the effects of combined exercise-cognitive training on cognitive function in older adults, Zhu et al. (2016) conducted a meta-analysis of 20 intervention studies comprising 2,667 cognitively healthy older adults aged 65–82 years which included both sequential and simultaneous training studies. Overall, a small effect of these combined interventions (sequential and simultaneous together) on memory, executive function, attention, visuospatial ability and global cognition were observed when compared to a control group [effect size (ES) 0.29 (95% confidence interval (CI): 0.12–0.46), $P < 0.001$] or exercise training alone [ES: 0.22 (95% CI: 0.06–0.38), $P < 0.01$], but there were no differences between the combined intervention and cognitive training alone. Secondary analysis also revealed that the effect size for sequential interventions was less than those for simultaneous interventions [sequential ES 0.27 (95% CI: 0.08–0.46); simultaneous ES 0.43 (95% CI: 0.01–0.86)], but did not include exergaming or more recently published studies. Another review of 13 studies also reported that simultaneous dual-task training interventions including low-intensity activity (walking and balance training) performed with a secondary cognitive or motor tasks, can benefit both physical function (e.g., balance) and the cognitive abilities of older adults in dual-task situations (e.g., response time to an auditory cue while walking or balancing; Wollesen and Voelcker-Rehage, 2013). However, an important unanswered question is whether these benefits transfer to untrained cognitive abilities.

Exergaming is a relatively new and unique form of dual-task training that may provide an attractive alternative to traditional simultaneous training protocols for improving cognitive function. In contrast to more conventional simultaneous interventions that often use disparate training elements (e.g., walking while doing arithmetic), exergaming typically includes cognitive challenges embedded within realistic physical activities, whilst providing virtual feedback. One of the first RCTs of exergaming for older adults revealed a promising differential cognitive benefit of exergaming (pedaling a virtual reality enhanced stationary bike; $d = 0.50$ over and above traditional stationary cycling alone; Anderson-Hanley et al., 2012). A subsequent 2016 systematic review that focused on exergaming studies geared toward falls prevention included five RCTs and two uncontrolled studies, and advocated a role for exergaming in improving cognitive function, although did not find any additional cognitive benefits compared to exercise alone (Ogawa et al., 2016). However, this review only included two studies that had an appropriate control comparison group that received exercise alone, with one of these producing cognitive benefits (Kayama et al., 2014). Nevertheless, large effect sizes ($\eta^2 = 0.80$) on cognitive function have been observed following a 12-week exergaming (Nintendo Wii) trial in one study involving 32 independent living older adults aged 65–78 years (Maillot et al., 2012). Computerized step-pad training, whereby participants take steps in different directions on a step pad following instructions displayed on a computer screen, has also been shown to provide some cognitive benefits in older adults (Schoene et al., 2013, 2015). For instance, an 8-week study in 37 older adults residing in independent retirement villages found that home-based step pad training 2–3 times per week for 15–20 min improved processing speed compared to normal aging (Schoene et al., 2013). Similarly, a 16-week study with more challenging stepping games improved central processing, visuospatial abilities, and efficiency of executive networks in 90 healthy older adults (Schoene et al., 2015). Although the number of studies is currently limited, the above findings provide some promising evidence that exergaming may improve cognitive function in older adults.

Cognitive improvement through simultaneous dual-task training may be shaped by the secondary attention-demanding task performed with exercise. Secondary tasks requiring a functional response to verbal and auditory cues (e.g., switching walking direction according to verbal instruction, stepping to music) or motor tasks requiring divided attention (e.g., tossing a ball while walking), have improved multiple cognitive abilities in older adults (Marmoleira et al., 2009; Kounti et al., 2011; Hars et al., 2014; Leon et al., 2015; Yokoyama et al., 2015). For instance, 6-months of stepping exercises (dancing) performed synchronously with verbal and motor tasks, in accordance to musical cues (60-min, once a week), led to significant improvements in executive function (and reduced the risk of falling) in community dwelling older adults at increased risk of falling, compared to usual care (Hars et al., 2014). However, simultaneous interventions incorporating memory training and arithmetic in secondary tasks have produced mixed findings (Hiyamizu et al., 2012; Theill et al., 2013; Eggenberger et al., 2015). For instance, a 26-week intervention combining PRT and balance training along with either treadmill walking performed...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Duration (weeks)</th>
<th>Simultaneous intervention</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Cognitive outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theill et al., 2013</td>
<td>Community-dwelling 65-84 years</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Memory training</td>
<td>Aerobic training</td>
<td>ExCT, 40min, 2/week (Total 800 min)</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson-Hanley et al., 2012</td>
<td>Independent living-retirement village ≥55 years</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>VR enhanced</td>
<td>Stationary cycling</td>
<td>45 min, 3-5/week (Total 2700 min)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barcelos et al., 2015</td>
<td>Independent living-retirement village Mean age: 82 years ≥65 years</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>VR enhanced high or low cognitive demand</td>
<td>Stationary cycling</td>
<td>45 min, 3-5/week (Total 2700 min)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hars et al., 2014</td>
<td>Community dwelling at risk of falling ≥65 years</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Auditory cues</td>
<td>Walking, motor activity</td>
<td>60 min, 1/week (Total 1,560 min)</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marmeleira et al., 2009</td>
<td>Community dwelling ≥60 years</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Auditory and visual cues</td>
<td>Walking, motor activity</td>
<td>60 min, 3/week (Total 2,160 min)</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leon et al., 2015</td>
<td>Community dwelling ≥60 years</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Auditory and visual cues, motor activity</td>
<td>Aerobic (walking) and PRT</td>
<td>60 min, 2/week (Total 1,440 min)</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schoene et al., 2013</td>
<td>Independent living-retirement village ≥65 years</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Computerized and interactive</td>
<td>Step training</td>
<td>20 min, 2-3/week (Total 480 min)</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schoene et al., 2015</td>
<td>Independent living-retirement village ≥60 years</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Computerized and interactive</td>
<td>Step training</td>
<td>20 min, 3/week (Total 960 min)</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kounti et al., 2011</td>
<td>Community dwelling with MCI ≥65 years</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Auditory and visual cues</td>
<td>Walking, motor activity, balance</td>
<td>90 min, 1/week (Total 1,800 min)</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yokoyama et al., 2015</td>
<td>Community dwelling ≥65 years</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Auditory cues, arithmetic and word games</td>
<td>Aerobic and PRT</td>
<td>60 min, 3/week (Total 2,160 min)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Duration (weeks)</th>
<th>Simultaneous intervention</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Cognitive outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nishiguchi et al., 2015</td>
<td>Community dwelling ≥ 60 years</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Verbal and motor tasks</td>
<td>PRT, step training, waking</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>Global N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggenberger et al., 2015</td>
<td>Community dwelling ≥ 70 years with 1-year follow-up</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Verbal memory training or VR enhanced</td>
<td>Aerobic (treadmill or dancing) + PRT and balance</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>EF N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiyamizu et al., 2012</td>
<td>Community dwelling ≥ 70 years</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Arithmetic, visual, verbal training</td>
<td>PRT and balance</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>EF Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill et al., 2016</td>
<td>Community dwelling 55–90 years, subjective CI</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Verbal and arithmetic tasks</td>
<td>Aerobic, PRT, balance, flexibility, step training</td>
<td>90 min, 3/week (Total 1,440 min)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzuki et al., 2012</td>
<td>Community dwelling, with MCI ≥ 65 years</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Verbal and memory tasks</td>
<td>Aerobic, PRT, balance, waking</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Global Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coelho et al., 2013a</td>
<td>Community-dwelling with AD (Mean age of &gt; 77.1 years)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Auditory cues, motor, attention and EF training</td>
<td>Aerobic, PRT, balance, agility training</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>EF Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailot et al., 2012</td>
<td>Community dwelling older adults (Mean age 73.5 years)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Aerobic within Exergaming</td>
<td>60 min, 2/week (Total 1,440 min)</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>EF Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kayama et al., 2014</td>
<td>Community dwelling ≥ 65 years</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Sudoku within VR enhanced Tai-Chi</td>
<td>Aerobic, PRT, balance, flexibility, step training</td>
<td>80 min, 1/week (Total 960 min)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Padala et al., 2012</td>
<td>Assisted living with mild AD ≥ 60 years</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>PRT, balance and yoga within Exergaming</td>
<td>30 min, 5/week (Total 1,200 min)</td>
<td>Walking group</td>
<td>Global N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AD, Alzheimer’s disease; Att, Attention; Con, Control group; CI, Cognitive impairment; CRT, Choice Reaction Time; CT, Cognitive training group; DT, Dual-task training; EF, Executive Function; Ex, Exercise group; ExCT, Exercise and Cognitive training combined group; Global, Global Cognitive Function; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; Mem, Memory; N, no; PET, Psychoeducational training; PRT, Progressive Resistance Training; PS, Processing speed; RCT, Randomized Controlled Trial; RT, Reaction time; VF, Verbal fluency; VR, Virtual Reality; VS, Visuospatial ability; Y, yes. *Compared to Ex and Con.
simultaneously with verbal fluency training, or interactive stepping in adults over 70 years, had no effect on cognition compared to exercise alone (Eggenberger et al., 2015). Similarly, memory training with treadmill walking produced minimal improvements in various cognitive abilities (Theill et al., 2013). Collectively, these data suggest that secondary components which require the engagement of executive processes (e.g., inhibition, planning and execution of a rapid motor response), as required in responding to an external cue, may offer wider cognitive benefits when combined with exercise, compared to domain-specific cognitive tasks (e.g., memory training).

Currently there is a lack of available data to determine whether cognitively intact or impaired older adults may receive greater cognitive benefits from undertaking simultaneous exercise-cognitive training. A 2014 review considered simultaneous dual-task training to be effective for adults with cognitive impairment, however no dual-task studies involving cognitively healthy older adults were included for comparative effects (Law et al., 2014). Limited evidence from simultaneous studies with cognitively impaired older adults undertaking multimodal (Suzuki et al., 2012; Coelho et al., 2013a; Gill et al., 2016), and movement-based exercise training (Kounti et al., 2011) corroborates the cognitive benefits observed in healthy older adults. Whether simultaneous training is a viable strategy to preserve cognitive function in older adults with dementia or Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is not known, but enhancements in abstract reasoning and attention were produced following a 16-week multimodal exercise program performed simultaneously with verbal and motor tasks (60-min, three times per week) in older adults with AD, compared to usual care (Coelho et al., 2013a). In contrast, no significant improvements in global cognitive function were observed in assisted living residents with mild AD who undertook 8-weeks of a multimodal exergaming program (PRT, balance and yoga 30 min per day, 5-times per week), compared to a walking group (Padala et al., 2012). Therefore, while simultaneous training may be beneficial in offsetting further cognitive decline in older adults with cognitive impairment, it is unclear whether this format may be effective for older adults with AD or dementia due to the limited data with discrepant intervention elements.

IS THERE AN OPTIMAL TYPE, DURATION AND DOSE OF EXERCISE-COGNITIVE TRAINING?

An important question is whether there is an optimal training type and dose (frequency, intensity, duration) of simultaneous exercise and cognitive-motor training that promotes cognitive improvement in older adults. Based on the available data, interventions incorporating functional stepping exercises (Hars et al., 2014; Nishiguchi et al., 2015; Schoene et al., 2015; Gill et al., 2016), and moderate-intensity aerobic-based or multimodal exercise training (Anderson-Hanley et al., 2012; Suzuki et al., 2012; Theill et al., 2013; Coelho et al., 2013a; Barcelos et al., 2015; Gill et al., 2016) appear to be effective for improving specific cognitive domains in healthy and cognitively impaired older adults. In partial support of this notion, a systematic review of 20 RCTs found that five out of six studies that adopted a sequential approach and 12 out of 13 studies that implemented simultaneous training reported significant cognitive improvement, with the most successful interventions involving aerobic training and PRT, combined with cognitive training of attention, working memory or executive function (Lauenroth et al., 2016). However, this review did not separate the different types of combined training studies.

In terms of the optimal training dose, evidence from simultaneous training studies suggests that significant cognitive benefits for older adults typically appear after a minimum of 12-weeks or a total of 1,000 min (~16.5 h) of exposure, with a training frequency between 1 and 3 times per week (Table 2). However, significant improvements in cognitive function have been produced with less than 1,000 min of training (Theill et al., 2013; Schoene et al., 2015), but not with more than 3,000 min (50 h; Eggenberger et al., 2015). These inconsistencies may be due to heterogeneity in terms of the length of studies (7-weeks to 12-months), differences in training modalities and intensity, the unsystematic selection of cognitive assessments, and the characteristics of the control group. Despite these variations, 17 of the 19 simultaneous studies featured in Table 2 produced benefits in at least one cognitive domain, compared to six out of the ten sequential studies. Of further importance, simultaneous training minimizes the possibility for discrepant doses of training between intervention groups, as often seen in sequential training studies.

MECHANISM(S) UNDERLYING COGNITIVE IMPROVEMENTS FOLLOWING DUAL-TASK TRAINING

The neurophysiological mechanisms underlying the cognitive improvements observed through combined cognitive and exercise training are yet to be identified; however cognitive and exercise training may stimulate similar neurobiological processes which produce a synergistic response. Exercise and cognitive training both increase cerebral blood flow (Ide and Secher, 2000; Mozolic et al., 2010), and induce angiogenesis in the cortex and cerebellum (Black et al., 1990; Ding Y. H. et al., 2006). Similarly, both forms of training have demonstrated that executive control processes and underlying brain regions are plastic and adaptive in the aging brain (Erickson et al., 2007; Voelcker-Rehage and Niemann, 2013), in exerting training-induced plasticity (Colcombe et al., 2004; Erickson et al., 2007; Lustig et al., 2009) and increasing brain volume (Colcombe et al., 2006; Boyke et al., 2008), which correlate with better cognitive performance (Voss et al., 2010; Erickson et al., 2011). Emerging evidence suggests that these neural modifications may also occur following cognitively challenging exercise programs, as training-induced decreases in prefrontal brain activation have been detected following simultaneous dual-task exercise (Nishiguchi et al., 2015), and exergaming (Eggenberger et al., 2016), which
have correlated with improvements in executive function, while increases in hippocampal volume (Rehfeld et al., 2017) and white matter integrity (Burzynska et al., 2017) have been shown following dancing training in older adults. This suggests that a combination of physical exercise, sensorimotor stimulation and cognitive engagement may facilitate neurophysiological changes that contribute to cognitive improvement. Exercise can also protect vasculature and neural tissue through counteracting age-related increases in circulating inflammatory biomarkers (Petersen and Pedersen, 2005; Cotman et al., 2007), which have been linked to cognitive decline and dementia (Yaffe et al., 2003; Engelhart et al., 2004). Emerging evidence has demonstrated that the exercise-induced release of growth factors such as BDNF and insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1) are important for neurogenesis, angiogenesis and synaptic plasticity (Ding Q. et al., 2006; Cotman et al., 2007). An increase in BDNF was observed following exergame cycling (Anderson-Hanley et al., 2012), which may be due to the addition of a cognitively challenging component (Pressler et al., 2015) as the effects of aerobic training on circulating BDNF in older adults are limited (Coelho et al., 2013b). Concentrations of amyloid-β (1–40) protein, a biomarker of AD, also increased within a group that performed multimodal training simultaneously with various secondary tasks (Yokoyama et al., 2015), and in a group that performed exercise alone. Further, a sequential exercise-cognitive program in community-dwelling adults (50–85 years) did not change concentrations of BDNF, IGF-1 or vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (Rahe et al., 2015); however this may have resulted from an inadequate amount of time allocated for physical training in each session to elicit benefits. Future studies may determine whether sequential and simultaneous exercise-cognitive training may activate different neurobiological pathways.

CONCLUSION

Combined exercise and cognitive training interventions can improve cognitive function in healthy and cognitively impaired older adults, with evidence suggesting that simultaneous training may be more effective than sequential training and exercise alone. Moreover, 17 of the 19 simultaneous studies featured in this review produced benefits in at least one cognitive domain, compared to six out of the ten sequential studies reviewed. While the optimal characteristics of a simultaneous training program (e.g., type and dose of exercise and secondary tasks) remain to be determined, studies incorporating moderate-intensity multimodal training in combination with secondary tasks involving a functional response to sensory cues have improved executive abilities and memory, which may prolong functional independence in older adults. However, further research is needed to determine if these improvements can be maintained, and even prevent or delay the onset of cognitive impairment and dementia. Finally, an understanding of the neurobiological mechanisms underpinning any cognitive improvements available from combined exercise-cognitive training in older adults also requires further investigation.
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