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Abstract

One of the most iconic Australian fish is the Murray cod, Maccullochella peelii (Mitchell 1838), a freshwater species that can grow to ~1.8 metres in length and live to age ≥48 years. The Murray cod is of a conservation concern as a result of strong population contractions, but it is also popular for recreational fishing and is of growing aquaculture interest. In this study, we report the whole genome sequence of the Murray cod to support ongoing population genetics, conservation, and management research, as well as to better understand the evolutionary ecology and history of the species. A draft Murray cod genome of 633 Mbp (N₅₀ = 109 974bp; BUSCO and CEGMA completeness of 94.2% and 91.9%, respectively) with an estimated 148 Mbp of putative repetitive sequences was assembled from the combined sequencing data of 2 fish individuals with an identical maternal lineage; 47.2 Gb of Illumina HiSeq data and 804 Mb of Nanopore data were generated from the first individual while 23.2 Gb of Illumina MiSeq data were generated from the second individual. The inclusion of Nanopore reads for scaffolding followed by subsequent gap-closing using Illumina data led to a 29% reduction in the number of scaffolds and a 55% and 54% increase in the scaffold and contig N₅₀, respectively. We also report the first transcriptome of Murray cod that was subsequently used to annotate the Murray cod genome, leading to the identification of 26 539 protein-coding genes. We present the whole genome of the Murray cod and anticipate this will be a catalyst for a
range of genetic, genomic, and phylogenetic studies of the Murray cod and more generally other fish species of the Percichthydae family.
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**Data Description**

Population genetic and evolutionary studies on Australian freshwater fish are of special interest in relation to conservation, biogeography, and adaptive responses and have been studied using a range of molecular techniques [1-8]. A limitation to a more complete understanding of the genetics and evolution of Australian inland fish species is the lack of genome-level resources [9]. The Murray cod, Maccullochella peelii (NCBI Taxon ID: 135761, Fishbase ID: 10311), is one of Australia’s most iconic large (up to ~1.8 metres) and long-lived (>48 years) predatory fish species that occurs across highly variable and heterogeneous riverine environments of inland Australia (Fig. 1). Despite being widespread, the Murray cod is a threatened species under national legislation (Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999), and populations are intensively managed through programs such as habitat restoration, provision of environmental flows, and stocking.

**Sampling, Library Construction, and Sequencing**

Sequencing data from 2 Murray cod individuals were merged for whole genome assembly. The first individual was obtained from an Australian fish market in 2014 [5]. Genomic DNA was extracted from multiple fin clip and muscle samples using DNAeasy Blood and Tissue Kits (Qiagen, Halden, Germany). A 300-bp insert library was prepared from the purified gDNA using the TruSeq DNA sample prep kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and subsequently sequenced (2 × 100 bp, 1 × 100 bp configurations) on a HiSeq 2000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), located at the Malaysian Genomics Resource Centre Berhad. For sequencing on the MinION, gDNA was extracted from the remaining fin clip and muscle tissues that were collected in 2014. However, due to DNA degradation associated with long-term storage, an additional size selection (8–30 kb) with a BluePippin was performed to reduce the representation of short reads (Sage Science, Beverly, MA, USA). Seven individual libraries (2 1D preps and 5 2D preps) were prepared and sequenced on 7 R9 flowcells using the MinION portable DNA sequencer (Oxford Nanopore, Oxford, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The second Murray cod, isolate KMC200 (=MCC0324) [2], was sampled from the Lachlan River in New South Wales in 2006, and its library has been previously constructed and sequenced at the Monash University Malaysia Genomics Facility for a mitogenome-based population genetics study [2, 5]. Given that the whole mitogenome of isolate KMC200 (= MCC0324; GenBank accession number: KT337332.1) exhibits a 100% nucleotide identity to that of the first individual (GenBank accession number: NC_023807.1), indicating a recently shared maternal ancestry [2, 5], its remaining library was re-sequenced on 3 separate MiSeq runs (2 × 250 bp configuration) to improve the sequencing coverage of the Murray cod genome. A total of 70.6 Gb (47.4 Gb and 23.2 Gb from HiSeq and MiSeq runs, respectively) and 804 Mb (N50: 4438 bp, longest read: 129 945 bp) of nucleotide sequence were generated on the Illumina platforms and the Oxford Nanopore MinION device, respectively.

**Genome Characteristics**

Jellyfish v. 2.2.6 [10] was used to obtain a frequency distribution of 17-, 21-, 25-, and 31-mers in a subset (~20 Gb) of the raw HiSeq sequence reads, and the histograms were uploaded to GenomeScope for estimation of genome size, repeat content, and heterozygosity, based on a kmer-based statistical approach [11]. The resulting analysis shows that the haploid genome size was between 640 and 669 Mb for the Murray cod (Fig. 2), a figure smaller than the 812 Mbp (C-value: 0.83 pg) estimated from GenomeScope analysis was repeated for 23 Gb of MiSeq sequence reads, which resulted in comparable results (643 to 673 Mbp haploid genome size, 15.7% repeat content, a low heterozygosity of 0.113%) (Supplementary Fig. S1; for combined data set, see Supplementary Fig. S2). Further repeat-content analysis and masking is performed in subsequent sections in this study (see “Repeat-content analysis”).

**Genome Assembly**

Illumina reads were trimmed with platanus trim v. 1.0.7 (-q 20, -l 35) and assembled with the Platanus v. 1.2.4 assembler to account for a potential increase in genome heterozygosity due to the use of sequencing data from 2 individuals with shared
maternal ancestry [14]. The initial assembly is 622 Mb in length, comprising 80,098 scaffolds with an \(N_0\) of 68,937 bp (Table 1). The assembly was subsequently scaffolded with SSPACE-LongRead v. 1–1 (BLASR aligner, default settings, minimum 3 links [long reads] required for scaffolding) [15] using long-read MinION sequences (0.93 \(\times\) coverage), which was base-called offline with Albacore/ONT Sequencing Pipeline Software v. 0.7.4 followed by further gap-filling with Illumina reads using GapFiller v. 1–10 [16].

By adding only 804 Mb of Nanopore reads, we observed improvements in the final 633 Mb assembly, reducing the number of scaffolds (\(\geq 500\) bp) by 29%, from 25,642 to 18,198, and increasing the scaffold \(N_0\) by 55%, from 70,993 bp to 109,975 bp. In addition, based on results from read alignment performed with Bowtie2 v. 2.3.2 [17], only a small percentage of the scaffolds representing less than \(<0.005\%\) of the total assembly size were unique to 1 donor (Supplementary Data 1).

Genome completeness was estimated using 2 separate programs, CEGMA (CEGMA, RRID:SCR_015055) and BUSCO v. 3.0 (BUSCO, RRID:SCR_015008). For BUSCO analysis (\(-m\) geno \--sp zebrafish settings), the genome was searched against the actinopterygii database (actinopterygii.db09), which was constructed from 20 fish species consisting of 4584 orthologs. Final genome completeness percentages of 94.2% and 91.94% were estimated by BUSCO and CEGMA, respectively. Further, both analyses also indicate a slight improvement in the genome completeness with the inclusion of Nanopore reads for scaffolding and subsequent gap-closing using Illumina short reads. The small amount of available Nanopore long reads in this study resulted in a limitation in the program of choice in assembly as well as scaffolding. At the time of this study, we chose to use SSPACE-LongRead [15] as a scaffold as it has been used in several genome assembly publications utilizing Nanopore reads, albeit mostly bacterial genome assemblies [18, 19], reviews [20–22], and benchmarking studies [23–26], as well as some eukaryotic genome assemblies that utilized BAC or fosmid libraries or PacBio long read data [27–29]. While no formal testing on eukaryotic genomes and Nanopore long reads was done by Boetzer and Pirovano [15] in their publication, there is mention of the potential of the method applied on Nanopore reads and eukaryotic assemblies. We have found SSPACE-LongRead to be effective in the scaffolding of the Murray cod contigs as elaborated earlier and also in Table 1. Though the gene content appears to support the validity of the assembly, this study does not include further assessment or verification of the accuracy of the scaffold extensions by SSPACE-LongRead [15]. It is noteworthy, however, that a greater range of assembly and scaffold programs has become available for large eukaryotic genomes; these programs are worth exploring in future studies [24, 26, 30–32].

Repeat-Content Analysis

To identify repeats in the assembly, a de novo repeat library was first built with RepeatModeler v. 1.0.4 (RepeatModeler, RRID:SCR_015027) [33] using default parameters based on the larger scaffolds (\(\geq 5\) kb) in the assembly. RepeatMasker v. open-4.0.7 (RepeatMasker, RRID:SCR_012954) [34] was then used to align sequences from the whole assembly to the RepeatMasker Combined Library (Dfam,Consensus 20 170 127 [35] and RepBase 20 170 127 [36]) as well as the de novo repeat library to screen for repeats and low-complexity sequences in the assembly. Repeat sequences were estimated to account for 23.38% (148 Mb) of the Murray cod assembly presented in this study.

Transcriptome Assembly

Total RNA was extracted using the RiboPure RNA purification Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) from the liver, brain, and muscle tissues of a juvenile Murray cod that was collected from a natural population in Broken Creek under a DELWP collecting permit and euthanized using approved procedures under a Monash ethics permit (BSCI/2012/19). Thirty \(\mu\)L of 300 ng/\(\mu\)L of each RNA extract was pooled and processed as a single sample using the TruSeq RNA library kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) to generate a 160-bp insert size library. The library was subsequently sequenced on 1 lane of HiSeq2000 (2 \(\times\) 100 bp configuration) at the Ramaciotti Centre for Gene Function Analysis. A total of 376 million reads were generated and preprocessed with Trimmomatic v. 0.32 (leading: 3, trailing: 3, slidingwindow: 4:20, minlen: 75; Trimmomatic, RRID:SCR_011848) [37]. These reads were then assembled de novo using Trinity v. 2.0140717 (Trinity, RRID:SCR_013046) [38], producing a 305-Mb transcriptome consisting of 321 855 transcripts.

Genome Annotation

The MAKER2 genome annotation pipeline [39] predicted protein-coding genes using 3 approaches: (i) homology to fish proteins, (ii) assembled transcripts as RNA-seq evidence, and (iii) de novo gene predictors. Protein sequences from 11 other fish species on Ensemble and the set of Murray cod transcripts assembled in this study were aligned to the genome in a preliminary MAKER run as evidence to retrain ab initio gene predictors such as Augustus (Augustus: Gene Prediction, RRID:SCR_008417) [40] and SNAP [41]. These higher-quality gene models are then used in subsequent runs to predict the final set of Murray cod protein-coding genes. The pipeline identified 26 539 genes with an average annotation edit distance (AED) of 0.187 [42].

NCBI's blastp (-eval 1e-10, -seg yes, -soft_masking true, -kmer_masking, and hit fraction of \(\geq 70\%\) target length; BLASTP,
Table 1: Murray cod assembly and annotation statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genome assembly</th>
<th>Illumina only</th>
<th>Illumina (≥500 bp)</th>
<th>Illumina + Nanopore (≥500 bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of contigs</td>
<td>95 612</td>
<td>41 152</td>
<td>45 882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig N50 size</td>
<td>33 442 bp</td>
<td>34 269 bp</td>
<td>32 477 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longest contig</td>
<td>328 477 bp</td>
<td>25 642</td>
<td>26 096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of scaffolds</td>
<td>80 096</td>
<td>609 090 121 bp</td>
<td>328 477 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total scaffold size</td>
<td>622 421 194 bp</td>
<td>70 993 bp</td>
<td>501 239 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaffold N50 size</td>
<td>68 937 bp</td>
<td>1 119 190 bp</td>
<td>1 119 190 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longest scaffold</td>
<td>548 726 bp</td>
<td>548 726 bp</td>
<td>1 119 190 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% GC/AT/N</td>
<td>40.7/59.1/0.2</td>
<td>40.7/59.2/0.1</td>
<td>40.4/58.7/0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEGMA completeness</td>
<td>89.52%</td>
<td>84.68%</td>
<td>91.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete BUSCOs</td>
<td>4228 (92.3%)</td>
<td>4229 (92.3%)</td>
<td>4317 (94.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete and single-copy BUSCOs</td>
<td>4115 (89.8%)</td>
<td>4115 (89.8%)</td>
<td>4202 (91.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete and duplicated BUSCOs</td>
<td>113 (2.5%)</td>
<td>114 (2.5%)</td>
<td>115 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragmented BUSCOs</td>
<td>224 (4.9%)</td>
<td>222 (4.8%)</td>
<td>156 (3.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing BUSCOs</td>
<td>132 (2.8%)</td>
<td>133 (2.9%)</td>
<td>111 (2.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcriptome assembly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of transcripts</td>
<td>321 855</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcriptome size</td>
<td>305 149 376 bp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean transcript length</td>
<td>948.10 bp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longest transcript</td>
<td>23 655 bp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEGMA completeness</td>
<td>99.19%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annotation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of protein-coding genes</td>
<td>26 539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean gene length</td>
<td>10 115.3 bp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longest gene</td>
<td>134 909 bp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With functional annotation</td>
<td>25 607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RRID:SCR_001010) [43] was used to functionally annotate the gene sequences against vertebrate sequences in the NCBI non-redundant database, after which un-annotated sequences were searched against all sequences in the NCBI non-redundant database. Additional functional annotation was performed with InterProScan (InterProScan, RRID:SCR_005829) [44] to examine motifs, domains, and signatures in the Murray cod protein sequences based on information from public databases, including PANTHER (PANTHER, RRID:SCR_004669) [45], Pfam (Pfam, RRID:SCR_004726) [46], PRINTS (PRINTS, RRID:SCR_003412) [47], PROSITE (PROSITE, RRID:SCR_003457) [48], SMART (SMART, RRID:SCR_005026) [49], SUPERFAMILY (SUPERFAMILY, RRID:SCR_007952) [50], and TIGRFAMs (JCVI TIGRFAMS, RRID:SCR_005493) [51]. As a result, 96.5% of the predicted protein-coding genes were successfully annotated by at least 1 of the 2 methods (blastp 69%, InterProScan 96.1%).

Conclusion

Having assembled and annotated the genome of an Australian teleost fish, we anticipate that this will be a catalyst for a range of genetic, genomic, and evolution-related studies of the Murray cod and related fish species (Harrisson et al., submitted for publication). In this study, we demonstrate that, despite its reported high error rate, low-coverage Nanopore long reads are still useful for scaffolding fish genome assembly. However, low-coverage long reads still pose limitations in (i) the full utilization of these reads, e.g., sequence self-correction and the use of long reads itself for assembly and gap-filling, and (ii) the choice of the most suitable assembly and scaffolder programs. Given the relative ease of generating Nanopore MinION reads and continuous improvement in data yield and read accuracy of this sequencing platform, we look forward to overcoming these limitations and to further incorporating Nanopore long read information into eukaryote genome assemblies, either in hybrid approaches or, ideally and ultimately, in non-hybrid de novo assemblies. We anticipate that Nanopore long reads will increasingly complement or even supersede short read data for the de novo genome assembly of fish species.

Availability of supporting data

The data sets supporting the results of this article are available in the GigaDB repository [52]. Raw reads (Illumina and Nanopore) are available in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA), and the Whole Genome Shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under accession number LKNJ00000000 (first version), both under BioProject PRJNA290988. Similarly, transcriptome (Illumina) reads are also available in the SRA, and the Transcriptome Shotgun Assembly project has been deposited under accession number GFMM00000000 (first version) as part of BioProject PRJNA383091.
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