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Abstract

The Enzyme-linked Immunoelectrotransfer Blot (EITB) has been used widely as a screening test for Taenia solium cysticercosis in swine. However, the relation between seropositivity and infection in pig populations from endemic areas has not been well defined. The aim of this study is to relate EITB seropositivity with infection and infection burden, analyse the trade-off between sensitivity and specificity with various cut-off points for the EITB assay, and finally describe the serology changes in a cohort of rural pigs raised under natural conditions. A group of 107 pigs that were used as controls during a vaccination field trial in Peru was our study population. The prevalence of porcine cysticercosis determined by necropsy examination was 16.82% (18/107) in these animals. Using EITB reactivity to ≥ 1 band as a cut-off point for the assay, the sensitivity was 88.89% (65.29-98.62, 95% CI) and the specificity was 48.31% (37.59-59.16, 95% CI). Comparing other cut-off points, involving up to as many as 7 reactive bands, a reactivity of ≥ 3 bands provided the best trade-offs in sensitivity and specificity. Using this cut-off point for the assay, the sensitivity was 77.77% (52.36 - 93.59, 95% CI) and the specificity was 76.40% (66.22 - 84.76, 95% CI). A significant association was found between cyst counts over 100 cysts and reactivity to ≥ 3 bands in the EITB assay (Fisher’s exact test, p<0.05). The results of this study suggest that the use of the EITB assay to study porcine cysticercosis may require setting different cut-offs under field and experimental conditions, and depending upon the objective of the screening process.
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1. Introduction

The original publication describing the Enzyme-linked Immunoelectrotransfer Blot (EITB) for serological diagnosis of porcine cysticercosis found that any positive number of bands of reactivity (i.e. one or more bands), between a pig serum sample and glycoprotein antigens used in the assay, was highly sensitive (100%) and specific (100%) for Taenia solium infection (Gonzalez et al., 1990). EITB assay has been used for epidemiological surveys as one of the main techniques to quantify infection in porcine rural populations in Peru as well as elsewhere in the world (Diaz et al., 1992; Garcia et al., 1999; Garcia et al., 2003a; Gonzalez et al., 1990; Krecek et al., 2008; Lescano et al., 2007; Rodriguez-Hidalgo et al., 2006; Sakai et al., 1998). Studies on the epidemiology of porcine cysticercosis worldwide have also used other diagnostic and screening techniques, such as tongue inspection (Phiri et al., 2002; Poudret et al., 2002; Praet et al., 2010; Secka et al., 2010; Sikasunge et al., 2008); Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent assay for antibody detection (Phiri et al., 2002; Praet et al., 2010; Sikasunge et al., 2007; Sikasunge et al., 2008) and antigen detection (Dorny et al., 2004; Nguekam et al., 2003). Necropsy examination has been used by several authors as a method to determine and quantify infection intensity in experimentally T. solium-infected pigs (Flisser et al., 2004; Gonzalez et al., 2005; Huerta et al., 2001; Plancarte et al., 1999). In naturally infected populations necropsy data is limited if we compare it to the data generated by serological studies. Some of the studies reporting infection rates assessed by necropsy include comparisons between necropsy and tongue and meat inspection techniques to detect porcine cysticercosis infection in naturally infected pigs (Phiri et al., 2006); studies to determine the age at which pigs get infected (de Aluja et al., 1998) and the distribution of cysts in the pig carcass (Boa et al., 2002) and studies that
estimated the sensitivity and specificity of EITB for porcine cysticercosis (Sciutto et al., 1998; Taico et al., 2003).

Since the original description of the EITB technique for diagnosis of porcine cysticercosis by Gonzalez et al. (1990), a number of researchers have endeavoured to verify a correlation between EITB results and necropsy in naturally infected pigs (Devleesschauwer et al., 2013; Gavidia et al., 2013; Sciutto et al., 1998; Taico et al., 2003). Sciutto et al. (1998) found a high proportion of pigs to be EITB positive and yet had no cysticerci detected when they were subjected to ‘complete necropsy’. Taico et al. (2003) developed a simulation to establish the number of positive bands to EITB to which pigs were positive to estimate the real prevalence of infection. The latter was reflected in subsequent studies that attempted to adjust EITB results by number of bands and by binomial simulations (Aybar, 2002; Turin et al., 2005); or by using the Rogan and Gladen (1978) formula (Garcia et al., 2003b). A seroprevalence study undertaken using EITB as the screening test did not use any correction or adjustment for the results of EITB (Lescano et al., 2007). Interestingly, in this study 50% (73/146) of EITB positive animals were found to revert to EITB negative when assessed four months after initially tested. While this included animals that were more than 4 months of age at the time of initial testing, the change in EITB status could have been the result of and hence the positive EITB results of maternally derived antibodies (Gonzalez et al., 1999), rather than representing variability in EITB status of the individual animals per se. Lescano et al. (2007) referred to these pigs as serorevertors, while humans showing similar serological results have been identified as transient positives (Garcia et al., 2001). In our study, adopting the same terminology used in humans, we investigated the occurrence of transient positives in the sampled population.
Initial investigations identified a rural area in Peru where porcine cysticercosis was endemic (Morropon, seroprevalence 45.6%) using EITB as the screening test (Jayashi et al., 2012a). Following this study, a cohort of pigs, that did not receive any anthelmintic treatment to affect the natural development of infection, was used to compare the necropsy results versus EITB assay results. The animals were raised under common rearing practices from the endemic area (free-roaming and food scavenging) and were exposed to conditions in which porcine cysticercosis naturally occurs. This study compares the results of the EITB assay undertaken on sequential bleeds taken from each animal during the study and necropsy examination, and aims to provide an insight into use and interpretation of EITB results in animals raised naturally. Our study aims to improve the epidemiological interpretation and inferences made based on the EITB assay results in field populations. With this study, we aim to increase the validity of EITB results when quantifying porcine cysticercosis infection under rural/field conditions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Ethics statement

The study complied with the “National Health and Medical Research Council Australian Code of Practice for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes” (7th edition, 2004) ethics standard. The study protocol was approved by the scientific boards at the Veterinary Faculty of the University of Melbourne, Australia and at the Veterinary Faculty, San Marcos National University, Peru. Study permissions were obtained from the Municipality of Morropon, from village leaders and from the pig owners.
2.2. **Animals**

The animals were part of a vaccination field trial against porcine cysticercosis (Jayashi et al., 2012b). This trial included 274 mixed-breed (criollo) piglets, ranging from 8 to 16 weeks of age that were sourced from farms within the department of Piura, Peru. Animals were randomly assigned to the treatment (vaccinated) and control groups and distributed to households in pairs of one vaccinated and one control animal (137 pairs in total). Only the control animals were considered while analysing the EITB assay versus necropsy examination. The original cohort included 137 control pigs. However, 30 control animals were lost before the end of the study (eaten, stolen, or disappeared) and were not available for necropsy examination. The remaining 107 control pigs were used for the analysis of EITB and necropsy results. Pigs were distributed to households in Morropon, Piura, an area that was determined to be endemic (Jayashi et al., 2012a). These households were selected in a first instance, if they had animals reacting to ≥ 4 bands or were within 50 metres of houses with 4-band reactor pigs determined previously by a serological survey (Jayashi et al., 2012a). The animals were kept and raised for 4 months in rural households under common rearing practices. At the end of this period, the animals were gathered and transported to a secure compound in Tumbes, Peru, managed by the CWGP in Peru and that was considered to have no contamination with *T. solium* eggs. The pigs were maintained there for 9-12 weeks, by which time any *T. solium* infection that may have been acquired in the village in the period shortly before the animals were moved to Tumbes would have had the opportunity to grow into cysticerci that would be identifiable at post-mortem examination.
2.3. **EITB serology**

Blood samples (6-8 ml) were taken from the cranial vena cava. Samples were taken at days 0 (2 - 4 months old), 28, 45, 90, 120, and 210 (9 - 11 months old). Serum samples were obtained by centrifugation at 1000g for 5 minutes. Serum was frozen and stored until tested. All serum samples were assessed with the EITB assay to detect antibodies against *T. solium* cysticercosis infection. The results of the serologic test and the necropsy results were compared and analysed to determine specificity and sensitivity of the EITB assay. The EITB results of the study animals were analysed to determine the changes of EITB reactivity through time.

2.4. **Enzyme linked Immunoelectrotransferblot (EITB)**

The original interpretation the EITB assay for diagnosis human cysticercosis identifies as being positive any sample having reactivity with any one of seven lentil-lectin, affinity-purified *T. solium* metacestode glycoprotein antigens: GP50, GP42-39, GP24, GP21, GP18, GP14 and GP13 (Tsang et al., 1989) and for porcine cysticercosis (Gonzalez et al., 1990). In our study we defined the cut-off points, determined by number of reactive bands and described the trade-offs in sensitivity and specificity of the assay. Serum samples were processed at the diagnostic laboratory of the Unidad de Investigacion en Enfermedades Parasitarias del Sistema Nervioso de la Universidad Cayetano Heredia (Lima, Peru) to perform the EITB assay, following the methodology precisely as described by Tsang et al. (1989) and Gonzalez et al. (1990).

2.5. **Transient positive reactivity**

In this study, transient positive reactivity was defined as serology in an individual pig that changed from negative to positive and back to negative in an animal that had no parasites detected at the necropsy examination. Some animals were positive at the initial
bleed, possibly because of the presence of maternal antibodies (Gonzalez et al., 1999). An animal was considered serologically positive due to the presence of maternal antibodies where the serology results were positive at the initial bleed with subsequent bleeds showing reduced reactivity leading to negative serology resulting in an animal having no cysts at the necropsy examination.

2.6. **Necropsy examination**

Necropsy examination was used as a gold standard to determine porcine cysticercosis infections in the study animals. The whole carcass of the animal was examined to determine the presence/absence of cysts. Muscles were sliced with sagittal cuts, endeavouring not to exceed 3 mm between cuts. Cysts were classified into viable and non-viable cysts. Viable cysts were translucent vesicles filled with transparent fluid. These cysts had sizes that varied from 0.5 to 1.5 cm in diameter and were oval or round shaped. A visible white scolex could be found in the viable cysts. Non-viable cysts were small vesicles that varied from whitish to yellowish colour and had a dense fluid. Non-viable cysts were smaller than viable cysts and had the appearance similar to that of a grain of rice.

2.7. **Data analysis**

Data was entered on Microsoft Office Excel 2010 datasheets. Statistical calculations of prevalence and proportions were performed using the software STATA 10.0 (StataCorp LP, USA). EITB results were plotted in a ROC curve to compare them to the gold-standard technique, necropsy. The ROC curve was calculated and plotted using SigmaPlot 12.0 (Systat, USA). The ROC curve then was used to determine the optimal cut-off for the test. We used two methods to determine the optimal cut-off point for the test. The first
method was selecting the point that after balancing the sensitivity and specificity of the test was closest (C) to the (0,1) point of ROC curve. In this method the optimal diagnostic sensitivity and specificity are defined as the one who yielded the minimal value for 

\[(1 - \text{sensitivity})^2 + (1 - \text{specificity})^2\]  

(Akobeng, 2007). As a second method we used the Youden index \((J)\). Following this measure, the cut-off point at which \(J = (\text{sensitivity} + \text{specificity} - 1)\) is maximised is taken as the optimal cut-off point (Akobeng, 2007).

3. Results

3.1. Prevalence and seroprevalence

The total number of infected pigs (as determined by necropsy) was 18, giving a prevalence of 16.8% (18/107, 9.61 – 24.03, 95% CI). Following the current interpretation of the EITB test, where an animal is considered positive if has \(\geq 1\) band reactivity (Gonzalez et al., 1990), the seroprevalence was 57.9% (62/107, 48.43 – 67.45, 95% CI). Comparing the EITB to the gold standard technique (necropsy), the assay achieved a sensitivity of 88.9% (16/18) and a specificity of 48.3% (43/89). The positive and negative predictive values were 25.4% (16/62) and 97.7% (43/45), respectively.

3.2. ROC curve and optimal cut-off point

Table 1 shows a comparison of the sensitivity and specificity of the assay using various cut-offs (numbers of reactive bands) to determine seropositivity. The sensitivity ranged from 88.9% (\(\geq 1\) band) to 38.9% (= 7 bands), and specificity ranged from 48.3% (\(\geq 1\) band) to 98.9% (= 7 bands) when using the highest and lowest cut-off points of the EITB results.

The area under the ROC curve was 0.84 (0.72 - 0.95, 9% CI). Based on closest point to (0,1) and the Youden indexes, together with the results described in Table 1 and Figure 1
we choose the reactivity to ≥ 3 bands cut-off point because it had the best trade off in sensitivity and specificity amongst all the cut-off points (different number of reactivity bands). The cut-off for the reactivity to 3 bands yielded the closest point \((C = 0.1050)\) to \((0,1)\) in the ROC curve as well as the maximum \(J = 0.5418\). The cut-off for the reactivity to 4 bands had the second best trade-off after the 3 bands with \((C = 0.1574; J = 0.5324)\).

Figure 1 shows the trade-off between sensitivity and specificity with various cut-off points for the EITB assay. Based on these results we decided to analyse the ≥ 3 bands results and compared it to the current cut-off point, reactivity to ≥ 1 bands.

Considering the reactivity to ≥ 3 as a cut-off point for the assay, the sensitivity decreased from 88.9% to 77.8%, while the specificity increased from 48.3% to 76.4%.

When using the ≥ 3 bands cut-off the seroprevalence of porcine cysticercosis at day 210 (date when necropsies were performed) was 32.7% (35/107). As an anecdotic coincidence, when using the ≥ 4 bands cut-off, the seroprevalence was 16.8%, which was identical to the prevalence of infection found by the necropsy, but results did not match exactly on an individual animal basis.

### 3.3. Intensity of infection and EITB results

Among the 18 pigs detected as being infected at necropsy, 14 (77.8%) were positive to ≥ 3 bands in the EITB assay. Three of these animals had non-viable cysts only. Seven of the 8 animals (87.8%) that had more than 100 cysts were positive to 7 bands in the EITB assay. Six animals with less than 100 cysts reacted to 3 - 6 bands; 2 reacted to 1 - 2 bands, and 2 did not react (0 bands). In the non-infected pigs, 23.6% (21/89) were positive to ≥ 3 bands, 28.1% (25/89) were positive to 1 - 2 bands and 48.3% (43/89) were negative (0 bands).
Considering the animals that were found to have viable cysts, 80.0% (12/15) reacted to $\geq 3$ bands; and 13.3% (2/15) were negative (0 bands), both animals had 1 viable cyst each. There was a significant association between cyst counts over 100 cysts and reactivity to $\geq 3$ in the EITB assay (Fisher’s exact test, $p<0.05$).

### 3.4. EITB serology in infected animals and intensity of infection through time

Two (11.1%) of the 18 positive animals in the control group were positive to 7 bands at the beginning of the study and remained positive to 7 bands until the end of the trial. This may indicate that the animals were infected before the start of the trial. Six of these animals that started with 0 bands, become positive to $\geq 3$ bands during the trial and kept or increased their reactivity until the necropsy examination. These animals had between 1 - 3474 cysts. From all the infected animals 94.4% (17/18) were positive to $\geq 3$ band at some point during the trial.

### 3.5. EITB serology reactivity through time

EITB reactivity over time for the cohort of animals in the study is shown in Figure 2. Among all the animals of the study, 27.1% (29/107) were initially determined to be EITB positive to $\geq 3$ bands. The highest number of EITB negative animals (67) was found at day 28. From day 28 onwards there was sustained increase in the number of seropositive animals considering either reactivity to $\geq 1$ band or $\geq 3$ bands. From day 28 to day 210, the increase in animals reacting to $\geq 3$ bands was 20.7% (35/29) and it was more dramatic in the animals reacting to $\geq 4$ bands, 157.1% (18/7).
3.6. The phenomenon of transient positive pigs

Among the animals that were found not to be infected with *T. solium* at necropsy, 29.0% (9/31) of those that started with reactivity to ≥ 1 band became and remained seronegative during the trial. Twenty (64.5%, 20/31) of these animals subsequently re-developed EITB positive status and hence were categorised as transient positives. Among the non-infected animals that were initially seronegative, 25.9% (15/58) remained seronegative throughout all the bleeds, while the remaining 74.1% (43/58) were seropositive at one or more time points; and hence were also categorised as transient positives. In total, the proportion of transient positives was 56.6% (64/113), with 63 non-infected animals and 1 infected animal that fitted into this classification. The infected animal was categorised as being transient positive because over the duration of assessment its status changed from seronegative to positive and back again to negative. Figure 3 illustrates EITB results through time for selected animals representing various patterns of reactivity including negative throughout, positive due to maternally derived antibody, transient positive, and positive, infected animals.

4. Discussion

Applying an interpretation of the EITB assay wherein the test is 100% specific and 100% sensitive, the area under the ROC curve should equal 1. The area under the ROC curve found in this study, 0.84, indicates that the EITB test has a moderate accuracy (Fischer et al., 2003). In the investigations described here the levels of sensitivity and specificity of the EITB test for porcine cysticercosis, that were reported by Gonzalez et al (1990), could not be reproduced using any of the cut-off points that were analysed. Animals in our study were transported to a controlled environment and remained there for an
average of three months (enough time for cyst development); this weakens the argument proposed previously, that immature or hidden cysts were present in pigs stimulating a humoral response (Gonzalez et al., 1990). While the EITB for human diagnosis has been assessed extensively for the potential influence of parasitic diseases other than *T. solium*, and been found to be highly specific (Tsang et al. 1989), potential for non-specific reactivity in the assay has been assessed for relatively few porcine parasitic infections. A number of cestode infections of pigs, or exposure to cestode parasites, have yet to be assessed for their effects on the EITB. For these reasons, EITB positive/necropsy negative animals identified in the studies described here are considered to be false positives. Further investigations are required on the effect, if any, of parasitic infections other than *T. solium* before a clear interpretation can be made about the specificity of the test for *T. solium* infection or *T. solium* exposure.

During the period that the animals were housed at the CWGP campus they were held in circumstances where there was minimal risk that they may have been exposed to *T. solium* eggs. Nevertheless, this risk was not eliminated entirely. Gonzalez (personal communication) has evidence that direct ingestion of eggs carried by flies or dung beetles could potentially lead to contamination of food and/or infection in animals. These potential sources of extraneous infection are considered to have posed a minimal risk, unlikely to have contributed to the serological results that were recorded. The CWGP campus is enclosed, has no access to any source of human waste, and staff working with the animals was validated as not having taeniasis.

While describing the variation of reactivity and its relation with intensity of infection we found that the EITB reactivity was inconsistent in animals with a relatively
low-cyst burden (≤ 75 cysts); even comparing animals with only viable cysts versus animals with only degenerated cysts. On the other hand, EITB results were more consistent in animals with a relative high burden (168 - 18598 cysts). As an example, one pig had 200 degenerated cysts only and still reacted to 7 bands consistently through time. It appears that even in the absence of viable cysts the reactivity of sera in EITB may remain strong if a relatively large number of non-viable cysts are present in the animal. The evidence found in our study suggests that the more cysts in an animal the more consistent the EITB results will be in subsequent bleeds. It has been reported elsewhere that the probability of pigs having cysts increased with the number of EITB bands (Gonzalez et al., 2006), which coincides with the results presented here. We agree with Gavidia et al. (2013) in that the intensity of infection must be taken into consideration when looking at the EITB assay outcome, since we found that 91.7% (11/12) of animals with a burden of ≥ 10 cysts were found to react consistently to ≥ 3 bands. It is difficult to make an accurate interpretation of the results from relatively high proportion (35.5% 38/107) of animals that did not have cysts but reacted to ≥ 3 bands in the EITB assay at the necropsy time point. Arguably this reactivity could have been triggered by exposure and an aborted infection while the animals were in the field; however, it is difficult to determine accurately these events and non-specific factors cannot be excluded.

In this study we determined an optimal cut-off point for the EITB to be used in naturally reared pigs. From the different cut-offs (1 – 7 bands of reactivity), we found that the optimal cut-off was the reactivity to ≥ 3 bands. However reactivity to ≥ 4 bands was very close to the optimal value and provided the EITB test with high specificity (94.38%). Increasing the assay cut-off point from ≥ 1 bands to ≥ 3 bands increased the assay’s
validity, but a proportion of false positives and false negatives were still found. Despite this, we consider that using the ≥ 3 band cut-off point could provide researchers with better estimation of the porcine cysticercosis infection in field conditions. Other factors could be taken into account when selecting the optimal cut-off point for the EITB test. Seroprevalence and risk factor studies have determined that certain age groups in a pig population have lower/higher risk to be infected (Jayashi et al., 2012a; Pondja et al., 2010; Sarti et al., 1992). Using a ≥ 3 band cut-off in high risk groups (old animals) could increase the sensitivity of the assay and most likely detect the true positive animals. On the same scope, the ≥ 4 band cut-off could be used for low risk groups (young animals).

Epidemiological studies aiming to quantify transmission and estimate the level of exposure in pigs in an endemic area may use low cut-offs to capture any exposure, even if this exposure does not lead to active infection. On the other hand, public health studies which purpose is to analyse the risk for human infection based on the level of porcine cysticercosis may be better served by using higher cut-offs that will be more correlated with higher likelihoods of finding infectious forms of *T. solium* in pigs. Serologic tests such as EITB (using the current interpretation positivity = reactivity to ≥ 1 band) are useful in places like Morropon where the prevalence is high. Using the assay as screening test, sensitivity will be 89.9%, but almost 50% of the seropositive animals will be negative at necropsy in places with similar prevalence to that of the study setting. Following diagnostic test and disease concepts (Altman and Bland, 1994; Deeks and Altman, 2004), it is noticeable that negative likelihoods ratio found for EITB (≥ 1 band) suggest that the assay would be more useful for “ruling out” the disease, while the positive likelihood ratio found for the adjusted EITB (≥ 3 bands) assay would be more useful for “ruling in” the disease.
Relatively few cysticercotic pigs were identified in the study group, which limits the confidence with which the most effective diagnostic cut-off points could be determined. The major limitation on the number of animals enrolled in the study was determined by the requirement to undertake full-body slicing of muscle and other tissues in order to enumerate the cysticercus burden in individual animals. The region in which the study was undertaken was chosen because it was known to be endemic for porcine cysticercosis and have a high prevalence of EITB-positive pigs. Having completed the work, the actual prevalence of cysticercotic pigs was less than may have been anticipated based upon the serological evidence, resulting in there being fewer animals in the infected group than would have been preferred for the purpose of estimating accurately the specificity and sensitivity of the EITB as a serological test. Nevertheless, the studies incorporating full necropsy evaluation of porcine cysticercosis are few and the present work provides valuable additional data to assist with interpretation of EITB serological data for diagnosis of porcine cysticercosis. Whether using an optimal cut-off for EITB assay is the best approach to quantify the prevalence of porcine cysticercosis remains to be determined; however, a clear finding is that the optimal cut-off point results showed a closer concordance with the necropsy examinations. The necropsy results of our study are significant because all animals were reared, exposed and infected under natural conditions. These animals did not receive any antihelmintic treatment that could have affected the outcome of the necropsy and the complete carcass was examined to determine infection in comparison with other studies that only examined certain parts of the carcass (Assana et al., 2010; Phiri et al., 2002; Sciutto et al., 1998; Sikasunge et al., 2008).
5. Conclusions

Despite being a useful and relatively fast serology assay, EITB showed moderate sensitivity and specificity under field conditions, and caution should be made when making inferences from the assay’s results. The use of EITB in combination with other tests could be evaluated to find ways to improve overall validity. We found that different cut-offs may be useful to improve the sensitivity and specificity of the assay. The latter may increase the accuracy of results and provide with a better estimation of porcine cysticercosis infection in rural populations or under field conditions.
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Table 1. Performance measures of the EITB test considering the number of reactive bands to the EITB test at the necropsy time point (Morropon, Peru).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test measures</th>
<th>EITB reactive bands</th>
<th>≥ 1B</th>
<th>≥ 2B</th>
<th>≥ 3B</th>
<th>≥ 4B</th>
<th>≥ 5B</th>
<th>≥ 6B</th>
<th>≥ 7B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>88.89</td>
<td>83.33</td>
<td>77.77</td>
<td>61.11</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>44.44</td>
<td>38.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(65.29-</td>
<td>(58.58-</td>
<td>(52.36-</td>
<td>(35.75-</td>
<td>(26.02-</td>
<td>(21.53-</td>
<td>(17.30-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98.62</td>
<td>96.42</td>
<td>93.59</td>
<td>82.70</td>
<td>73.98</td>
<td>69.24</td>
<td>64.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48.31</td>
<td>66.29</td>
<td>76.40</td>
<td>92.13</td>
<td>94.38</td>
<td>97.75</td>
<td>98.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(37.59-</td>
<td>(55.49-</td>
<td>(66.22-</td>
<td>(84.46-</td>
<td>(87.37-</td>
<td>(92.12-</td>
<td>(93.90-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>59.16</td>
<td>75.97</td>
<td>84.76</td>
<td>96.78</td>
<td>98.15</td>
<td>99.73</td>
<td>99.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.40</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>61.11</td>
<td>64.29</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>87.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td></td>
<td>97.73</td>
<td>95.16</td>
<td>94.44</td>
<td>92.13</td>
<td>90.32</td>
<td>89.69</td>
<td>88.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPR</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>38.90</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>55.55</td>
<td>61.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNR</td>
<td></td>
<td>51.69</td>
<td>33.71</td>
<td>23.59</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRP</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>19.75</td>
<td>34.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRN</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PPV: positive predictive value. NPV: negative predictive value. FPR: false positive rate. FNR: false negative rate. LRP: Likelihood ratio positive. LRN: likelihood ratio negative.
Figure 1. Receiver Operating Characteristic curve (ROC) of the EITB assay for naturally infected pigs.

Each point on the ROC curve marks the sensitivity and (1-specificity) corresponding to each number of EITB reactive bands (1 - 7) as cut-off points.
Figure 2. EITB reactivity of all pigs (n=107) through time, arranged by the number of reacting bands (0-7) (Morropon, Peru)
Figure 3. Typical patterns of EITB reactivity in negative, maternal antibody reactivity, transient positive, and infected animals

A, Negative throughout animal, no cysts at necropsy. B, Maternally derived antibodies, no cysts at necropsy. C, Transient positive, no cysts at necropsy. D, Transient positive, no cysts at necropsy. E, Positive, infected animal, 168 cysts at necropsy. Line plots show the EITB results of an individual pig through time.
Figure B: Animal #9623.

Graph showing the number of EITB bands over time. The number of bands decreases over the first 28 days and remains constant from 45 to 210 days.
Figure C: Animal # 9727

Number of EITB bands vs. Days

Days: 0, 28, 45, 90, 120, 210
Number of EITB bands: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
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