Challenges facing colloidal delivery systems: From synthesis to the clinic
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Abstract

Improving therapeutic delivery to the body will have significant benefits for the treatment of a variety of diseases. Incorporating drugs inside engineered colloidal carriers is a promising approach that can lead to improved drug delivery. Such carriers offer a number of advantages, as they can protect therapeutic cargo from degradation by the body, limit potentially harmful side effects of the drug, and also allow targeted drug delivery to the desired site of action. Colloidal carriers have the potential to enable clinical use of a number of therapeutics, such as siRNA and peptides, which if administered in their naked form degrade before demonstrating a viable therapeutic effect. A number of challenges, such as efficient therapeutic loading into the carrier, targeted and specific delivery in the body whilst evading biological defence mechanisms, and controlled release of therapeutically active cargo, must be met for these systems to be clinically relevant. In this review, we focus on recent advances and some of the pertinent challenges faced in developing clinically relevant colloidal drug carriers. We primarily focus on self-assembled carriers such as liposomes, polymer micelles and polymersomes, and carriers prepared through templated assembly, for example, layer-by-layer assembled capsules and PRINT (particle replication in non-wetting templates) particles.
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1. Introduction

Recent advances in the assembly of responsive, nanoengineered colloidal carriers have shown potential for improving drug delivery for a range of diseases [1-3]. Current treatments for diseases such as cancer are limited by the harmful side effects of chemotherapy drugs before they reach the site of action. Developments in vaccine and gene therapy are also challenging due to degradation of sensitive cargo such as DNA, RNA or peptides. Thus, the incorporation of drugs into nanoengineered carriers has the potential to dramatically improve treatment options by protecting the cargo from degradation in vivo, limiting any potential harmful side effects and targeting the therapeutic directly to the site of action.

However, certain critical challenges must be met when developing drug delivery systems, including: efficient therapeutic loading into the carrier; targeted and specific delivery in the body whilst evading biological defence mechanisms; and controlled
release of therapeutically active cargo. The development of a range of self-assembled delivery systems offers the potential to achieve all of these goals by careful design of the molecular building blocks. In this review, we highlight some of the recent developments in the assembly of nanoengineered colloidal drug carriers, drug loading and release from these carriers, and their application in vitro and in vivo. We focus on colloidal carriers that have shown promise in clinical studies (e.g., liposomes, polymer micelles and polymer particles), and emerging carriers such as polymersomes and those prepared from templated-assembly (LbL capsules and PRINT particles). We also present some systems that are larger than the colloidal size regime, however, the techniques used in their assembly can readily afford submicron-sized delivery systems. Hence, these systems can provide important insights that may be exploited and applied in the preparation of carriers in the colloidal domain.

2. Particle Assembly/Formation

A variety of self-assembly techniques have been employed to synthesise particles of different size, shape, composition and degradability. In this review, we focus on self-assembled systems that rely on either the spontaneous ordering of molecules into engineered structures (polymer complexes, liposomes, micelles, polymersomes) or the templated-assembly of layer-by-layer (LbL) capsules and PRINT (particle replication in non-wetting templates) nanoparticles (Figure 1). Other colloidal carriers, such as metal/inorganic nanoparticles [4], and biological mimics, such as virus particles [5], have also found application in therapeutic delivery and have been the subject of excellent recent reviews; hence, these systems will not be discussed further in this review.

2.1 Liposomes

An attractive option for the design of delivery systems is to mimic structures already present in vivo. Thus, a great deal of research has focused on the design of liposome-based systems [6]. The structure of a liposome consists of a microscopic vesicle assembled from a lipid bilayer, with an aqueous interior and a hydrophobic membrane. Lipids are readily degradable in vivo, allowing the components of the delivery system to be removed easily from the body. In addition, the presence of a hydrophobic and hydrophilic domain within the structure allows flexibility in loading a variety of therapeutic cargos [7]. Liposomes are commonly synthesised using a number of approaches, including thin-film hydration, solvent injection or reverse-phase evaporation techniques. To engineer the vesicles to smaller or more uniform sizes both sonication and extrusion can be used. Liposomes have been one of the most successful delivery systems so far, with a number of therapies clinically available for treatment of cancer, including doxorubicin (Doxil®) and daunorubicin (DaunoXome®) [6]. However, there are still challenges with liposomes that need to be addressed, such as long-term stability in vivo [7]. Modification of the surface of liposomes with poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) is one approach to improve both the stability and blood circulation time [8,9]. Moreover, the use of natural building blocks such as lipids makes it challenging to engineer the triggered release of cargo under specific conditions. This challenge has been addressed by incorporating pH- and light-responsive elements into the lipid bilayer (see Section 3.1) [10]. Furthermore, the loading of liposomes is typically non-covalent and therefore cargo leakage can be
an issue, especially in the case of hydrophobic drugs when the liposome is in contact with plasma proteins or cell membranes [10].

2.2 Polymer Micelles and Polymersomes

In the last decade there has been significant research in the field of self-assembled polymer architectures [11]. Polymers can self-assemble into a variety of different structures, with two of the most commonly employed being micelles and polymersomes. Polymer micelles are generally nanometre-sized spheres, 20-100 nm in size, with a hydrophobic solid core and a hydrophilic exterior, and are significantly more stable than their surfactant-based counterparts [11]. These structures spontaneously form above the critical micelle concentration (CMC) of the polymers. Other shapes such as worm-like micelles can also be engineered and these structures have shown significant promise for prolonging circulation times in vivo [12,13]. In contrast to micelles, polymersomes have a hollow vesicle structure, similar to liposomes, with a hydrophobic membrane, hydrophilic surface and an aqueous interior [14]. As with liposomes, thin-film hydration, solvent injection or reverse-phase evaporation techniques are employed to form the polymersome structure. Sonication, extrusion and size exclusion chromatography (SEC) can be used to lower the polydispersity of the dispersions. While there are a range of polymer structures that can be used to assemble micelles and polymersomes, they are typically formed from amphiphilic block copolymers. Many factors influence the architecture of the nanostructure formed, including the chemical structure, the copolymer concentration in solution, and the solvent properties. A particularly significant factor is the volume ratio of the hydrophilic to hydrophobic block [15]. As a general rule, copolymers with hydrophilic to hydrophobic ratios greater than 1:1 form micelles, copolymers with ratios less than 1:2 favour vesicles and those with ratios less than 1:3 may form a range of structures such as vesicles, inverted microstructures or macroscopic precipitates.

Both micelles and polymersomes have been successfully loaded with a range of therapeutics and active components [16]. Micelles are somewhat more limited for loading, as they only contain a hydrophobic interior, thus making them suited for loading hydrophobic cargo. In contrast, polymersomes have a similar structure to liposomes, making them capable of loading both hydrophobic and hydrophilic drugs [17]. They also have a number of advantages over their lipid counterparts. For example, they are more stable and robust to a range of conditions [18]. Also, as the copolymers that form the structure are synthetic, they can be readily modified to tune their fluidity and permeability [18-20]. Furthermore, the surface of the polymersomes can be modified with a diverse array of molecules to tune their interactions with the environment [21].

2.3 Polymer Particles

While a significant amount of research has been focused on designing highly ordered self-assembled structures, bulk processes for particle synthesis are also of interest, as they offer the advantage of easier scale-up for commercial application. Polymer nanoparticles are commonly designed from polymers that can be readily degraded in vivo. These include poly(lactic acid) (PLA), poly(glycolic acid) (PGA) or poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA), which degrade to form natural by-products of cellular metabolism [22]. Polymer nanoparticles can be synthesised through the
polymerisation of monomers (emulsion or dispersion polymerisation) or by dispersion of polymers (nanoprecipitation or solvent evaporation) [23]. Loading these particles is generally achieved by incorporating the cargo during the synthesis process. One clinical example of these particles is Abraxane®, which consists of paclitaxel bound to ~130 nm albumin particles. Release is observed once the nanoparticles begin to degrade in vivo through a combination of desorption, diffusion and erosion processes. In general, such materials face a number of challenges, including synthesising a monodisperse population of nanoparticles and the non-targeted leakage of therapeutic cargo [24].

Another relatively straightforward synthetic approach is based on complexation of polyions to form polyion complexes (PIC) and PIC micelles (PICM) [10]. PICs are formed by electrostatic interactions between a cationic polymer, such as poly(ethylene imine) (PEI), and anionic nucleic acids. Such materials are highly charged and often have limited circulation times [10]. Therefore, a second generation of these materials, PICMs, have been developed where the charged component is shielded using stealth materials such as PEG [25]. A variety of PICM systems have successfully demonstrated siRNA and plasmid delivery in vivo. These systems can also be readily combined with targeting and responsive stabilisation to optimise therapeutic outcomes [26,27]. A related system has recently demonstrated potential in human clinical trials with successful siRNA delivery in vivo using a cyclodextrin-based polyion with PEG stabilisation [28].

The size of spontaneously self-assembled systems is to a large extent governed by the intrinsic properties of the assembling molecules. Thus there are limitations to controlling the size of the particles formed. Sonication and extrusion can be used to narrow the polydispersity of liposome, micelle and polymersome systems, and size exclusion chromatography can be used to fractionate the preparations. An alternative approach to assemble carriers with high control over their size is via templated assembly.

2.4 LbL Capsules

The LbL approach relies on the alternate deposition of materials through complementary interactions [29]. Although originally introduced for electrostatic interactions to drive film assembly, recent studies have used a range of interactions, including hydrogen-bonding and sequential covalent reactions [30]. The LbL technique has generated significant interest, as it is simple, versatile and allows precise engineering of films constructed by the choice of materials or the conditions used. When sacrificial particle templates are used as substrates for multilayer assembly [31], the particles can be readily removed to form polymer capsules of defined size, composition and functionality. The wall thickness of the capsules can also be controlled with nanoscale resolution, with each layer typically only several nanometres thick. Thus, the ultra-thin walls confer flexibility to the capsules, a property that is expected to enhance blood circulation and tumour accessibility of the capsules (see Sections 4 and 5). For biological applications, it is critical that the polymers used are biocompatible. Carriers based on N-(2-hydroxypropyl)methacrylamide (HPMA) [32], poly-l-arginine)/dextran sulfate [33], and poly(methacrylic acid) (PMA)/poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVPON) [33,34] have demonstrated significant potential both in vitro and in vivo. The PMA/PVPON
hydrogen bonding pair is inherently unstable at physiological conditions; however, it can be stabilised by using either thiol-modified PMA (PMASh) [34], which is cross-linked by the formation of disulfide bridges, or by cross-linking alkyne modified PVPON with a bifunctional azide linker [35]. The latter approach enables a variety of biologically cleavable linkers to be incorporated into the capsules.

LbL materials are based on a multilayer structure so there is potential to create stratified materials with different characteristics, including loading more than one cargo or combinations of therapeutic and imaging agents. LbL capsules have been formed from diverse templates, including spherical particles ranging in size from about 20 nm to >10 µm, high-aspect-ratio nanorods, porous membranes, and cells [36]. Currently, there is a significant drive towards making sub-500 nm sized colloidally stable LbL capsules.

2.5 Lithographic Templates

Another approach for designing particle delivery systems is the PRINT process [37]. This process is a top-down lithographic fabrication technique for the synthesis of nano- and microparticles. One significant advantage of this approach is that the lithographic template can be adapted to synthesise a range of particles of different sizes and shapes. This allows the influence of specific parameters such as size to be determined in vivo because other variables such as particle composition can be kept constant. Details on the preparation and potential uses of PRINT particles can be found in recent reviews [38, 39].

3. Loading and Release Mechanisms

For a delivery system to have therapeutic relevance, it is fundamental that the material allows effective loading and release of cargo, such as anticancer drugs, DNA or proteins. Many of the therapeutics investigated for loading within delivery systems are either toxic to healthy cells or extremely fragile. Therefore, the delivery system should ideally release only at specific targeted sites to optimise the therapeutic outcomes for the patient. The controlled loading and release in many colloidal delivery systems is still a significant and ongoing challenge.

3.1 Liposomes

Loading of liposomes is typically based on non-covalent interactions of the cargo with either the hydrophilic aqueous interior or the hydrophobic membrane [10]. This approach has been used to produce liposomal formulations, including Doxil® and Caelyx®, which have progressed to clinical application [6]. Doxil® is based on a PEG-modified liposome system loaded with DOX, where DOX is loaded by inducing an ammonium sulfate gradient for drug loading. The DOX is trapped inside the interior as a salt precipitate, thus it lacks osmotic effects and does not disrupt the stability of the vesicles. The DOX-loaded liposomes contain a stealth PEG outer layer that increases the stability of the liposomes while also increasing the blood circulation time. However, some leakage of the cargo does occur. It is thought that cargo release is achieved in the interstitial fluid of tumours by the disruption of the lipid bilayers by phospholipase [40].
Protein and peptides are of particular interest for delivery applications. Due to their specific mode of action they can be delivered in relatively small doses; however their application is restricted by a number of factors, including short half-life in vivo if unprotected. To address these challenges there has been a significant amount of work on the use of carriers to load peptide cargo. In one such study an inhalable liposomal formulation loaded with a vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) was prepared. The formulation was investigated for a response on rat arteries and showed greater vasorelaxation than the free peptide [41].

One promising technique to enable greater control over liposomal release is doping liposomes with pH-responsive lipids, such as phosphatidylethanolamines (e.g., dioleoylphosphatylethanolamine, DOPE) [42]. This class of lipid is readily incorporated into the liposomal structure; however, upon entry into an acidic compartment, the DOPE changes from a lamellar to a hexagonal phase, leading to fusion with the endosomal membrane. Depending on the lipid composition within these liposomes, they can be leaky and subject to interchange with serum proteins in the body. PEG can be added to these formulations to improve stability, and while this reduces the pH-responsive effect, the PEG can also contribute to fusogenic capabilities [42]. In another approach, zwitterionic lipids based on glutamic acid were synthesised and used to assemble pH-responsive liposomes [35]. These liposomes were negatively charged at physiological pH but became cationic in the acidic environment of the endosome, allowing the materials to fuse with the membrane and release their cargo (DOX) in the cytoplasm. In vivo studies demonstrated improved tumour shrinking in a mouse of these zwitterionic liposomes compared to free DOX and non-pH-responsive liposomes [43].

3.2 Polymer micelles

The loading of micelles commonly relies on physical encapsulation during the assembly process, whereby hydrophobic drug molecules can be sequestered in the hydrophobic core [44]. In one example, paclitaxel (PTX) was loaded into a micellar carrier assembled from PEG-\textit{b}-poly(aspartate) modified with 4-phenyl-1-butanolate [45]. In vivo studies showed that this micellar system exhibited higher plasma concentration compared to free PTX. This promising system is currently the subject of ongoing clinical trials.

One limitation with drug loading based on physical entrapment is that many drugs are small in size and can leak from carriers such as micelles. To address this, a variety of intermolecular interactions have been engineered into micellar structures to achieve better control over micelle stability, drug loading and release. In one interesting example, Kataoka and co-workers improved drug retention by sequestering DOX through $\pi$-$\pi$ interactions [46,47]. This system is also the focus of clinical trials [48]. Yoo and Park have also shown improved loading efficiency of DOX by chemically conjugating it to PLGA-PEG micelles [49]. Non-conjugated DOX exhibited burst-like release (within 3 days) compared to the sustained liberation of conjugated DOX over 2 weeks due to the slow hydrolysis of the PLGA. It has also been demonstrated that DOX attached via an acid-labile hydrazone linkage achieves higher anti-tumour activity in tumour-bearing mice compared to the free drug [50,51].

3.3 Polymersomes
Similar to liposomes, polymersomes have the capacity to load both hydrophobic and hydrophilic drugs in the membrane and interior, respectively. The synthetic polymer amphiphiles have a higher molecular weight than phospholipids, thus imparting thicker and more stable membranes as compared to liposomes, providing them with higher loading capacity and extended periods of cargo retention [52]. In a recent study, Discher and co-workers demonstrated the use of degradable PEG-PLA polymersomes to effectively load and release both hydrophobic and hydrophilic therapeutics [53]. A hydrophobic drug, TAX, was post-loaded into the vesicles while a hydrophilic drug, DOX, was incorporated into the polymersomes using a pH gradient. The hydrophobic drug-loading into the polymersome membranes was almost 10-fold more efficient than liposomal loading, possibly due to the thicker membrane of the polymersomes. The majority of drug was released within 2 days when exposed to simulated endosomal conditions (pH 5.5 and 37 °C) and demonstrated higher efficacy than free drug in vivo, preventing tumour growth (effect of TAX) in breast cancer cells and killing the cells (effect of DOX and TAX) [53].

Due to the synthetic nature of polymersomes, various release mechanisms can also be incorporated into the materials through response to external stimuli such as pH, oxidative species and enzyme degradation. In one study by Lomas and co-workers, a pH-responsive diblock copolymer, poly(2-(methacryloyloxy)ethyl-phosphorylcholine)-co-poly(2-(diisopropylamino)ethyl methacrylate) (PMPC–PDPA), was used to form stable vesicles [54]. The PDPA has a pKₐ of ~6.5, therefore at physiological pH it is hydrophobic and forms the hydrophobic domain within the polymersome structure. However, in a mildly acidic environment, the tertiary amine groups on the PDPA chains protonate, and the polymer switches from hydrophobic to hydrophilic. This transition causes the polymersome structure to disassemble at around pH 5-6 to form molecularly soluble copolymer chains (Figure 2) [55]. Plasmid DNA was encapsulated into the polymer vesicles by first dissolving PMPC-PDPA copolymer chains in mildly acidic aqueous solution with plasmid DNA. At this pH the polymer was cationic, so it readily interacted with the negatively charged DNA. The pH was then increased to form the vesicle structure, trapping the DNA in the interior. When these polymersomes are internalised into the acidic compartments of cells, the reduction in pH triggered disassembly of the polymersomes. The released plasmid DNA maintained its integrity, as shown by green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression in cells.

3.4 Polymer Particles

Polymer nanoparticles can achieve controlled drug release by exploiting different surface or bulk erosion rates through a choice of biodegradable polymers and external triggers such as pH and temperature changes. One of the most widely used systems, PLGA, has readily tuneable degradation based on the lactic to glycolic acid ratio (ranging from days to months). However, there are several challenges with these materials, including DNA instability when loaded into these systems, as well as poor loading and transfection efficiency [24]. These materials also commonly display an initial burst release of therapeutic cargo, which has a tendency to occur nonspecifically. One approach that has been investigated to improve properties such as loading and transfection efficiency is the incorporation of cationic components, such as poly(β-amino esters) (PBAE). Langer and co-workers demonstrated that PLGA particles incorporating 15% and 25% PBAE showed enhanced transfection efficiency.
However, the incorporation of these polymers led to higher charge and some toxicity issues [56]. An alternative system, based on acetal-modified dextran microparticles, showed the degradation rate of particles could be tuned by controlling the polymer composition [57]. In this work, model DNA sequences were incorporated into the particles with a minor component of PBAE. In vitro results revealed good cargo retention at pH 7.4, while it was released effectively at pH 5. Unlike many gene therapy systems, these materials have low inherent charge, but still successfully transfect a number of cell lines.

A different approach to the synthesis of nanoparticles was recently demonstrated by Yan et al. [58]. In this approach, covalently modified proteins with either pH-degradable or non-degradable polymer shells were formed. In both cases, the protein remained active in vitro; however, for proteins that underwent reactions with large substrates, such as other proteins, a degradable shell is more effective to enable the substrate to access the protein.

The loading mechanism of PICMs is based on the interaction of negatively charged DNA or siRNA with a cationic polymer. A range of PEG-polycation complexes have been investigated, including PEG-poly(L-lysine) copolymers [59] and more recently thiol-modified PEG-PLL block copolymers [60,61], which allow the structure to be stabilised by disulfide bond formation. The additional thiol cross-linking provided the micelles with enhanced stability during blood circulation, while releasing plasmid DNA and siRNA inside the target cells through cleavage of the disulfide bonds under the reducing environment of the cytoplasm. Using a similar loading technique, a polyelectrolyte nanoparticle has recently been used for the first siRNA delivery within humans [28]. A positively charged cycloextrin was complexed with siRNA and the resulting nanoparticles were stabilised with an adamantane-modified PEG for improved biodistribution [62].

Loading of PRINT particles with cargo such as proteins, small molecule drugs and siRNA has been achieved by loading an aqueous solution of the cargo into a preformed mould and sealing it with another polymer film with higher surface energy using a roller and increased pressure [63].

### 3.5 LbL Capsules

In LbL assembly, various approaches to cargo loading have been explored, including pre- or post-loading the capsule interior and incorporating cargo into the capsule walls [64-66]. Pre-loading cargo can be achieved by immobilising a therapeutic compound onto template particles, followed by the assembly of polymeric layers and removal of the core particles. The degradation behaviour of these capsules can be tuned by incorporating responsive components into the films. Using this technique capsules have been prepared that are responsive to, for example, infrared light, by incorporating gold nanoparticles [67]; temperature, by incorporating polymers which undergo an LCST transition [68]; enzymatic degradation, by incorporating biodegradable polymers such as polypeptides [33] and nucleic acids [69]; pH, by using weak polyelectrolytes [70]; and redox potential, by using disulfide-stabilised systems [34,35].

Recently, Sexton et al. investigated the in vivo processing of 500 nm PMA\textsubscript{SH} capsules loaded with whole ovalbumin (OVA) and short OVA epitopes [71].
Presentation via both the MHC Class I and Class II pathways were observed, with a significantly stronger Class II response. For effective viral vaccines, an increased Class I response would be desirable, which requires control over the intracellular fate (see Section 6). Similar results have been observed with larger poly(arginine)/dextran sulfate capsules with encapsulated OVA [72].

Anticancer drugs such as DOX have also been encapsulated within LbL films. The highly permeable nature of the films means that low molecular weight drugs are challenging to encapsulate, so to address this, DOX has been covalently linked to polymers. Decher and co-workers used a cleavable peptide linker to attach DOX to HPMA that was assembled onto gold nanoparticles [32]. When a linker susceptible to cleavage by cathepsin (a lysosomal enzyme) was used, release of DOX occurred over 24 h. No significant release was observed from particles that did not contain the cathepsin peptide. In a similar approach, Ochs et al. functionalised PLGA with DOX and drug release was observed in the presence of proteases [73]. It was also demonstrated that these capsules efficiently killed cancer cells. In an alternative approach, PMA$_{SH}$ capsules were post-loaded with an oil phase containing DOX [74]. This system showed cell cytotoxicity three orders of magnitude greater than free DOX and a distinctly different cellular distribution of the drug [75]. A comprehensive recent review on the use of LbL capsules for therapeutic delivery can be found elsewhere [76].

4. Biodistribution

One of the major challenges faced by drug carriers is the rapid clearance by the natural defence mechanisms of the body. Clearance by white blood cells, the mononuclear phagocytic system (MPS) (also known as the reticuloendothelial system, RES), and the renal system play a major role in the efficacy of colloidal delivery systems [77]. Typically, colloidal drug carriers are administered to the body through intravenous injection to achieve quick distribution of the particles throughout the body via the circulatory system, although specialised treatments can use subcutaneous (below the skin) and interperitoneal (body cavity) injections. When a foreign material is administered into the bloodstream, plasma proteins, such as opsonins, immediately adhere to the surface, enabling recognition by immune cells [77]. Phagocytic white blood cells are the first line of defence for the removal of foreign materials from the blood. They have evolved as the natural defence against bacterial or fungal infections through phagocytosis (eating) of the foreign material, and are well adapted to phagocytosing particles in the sub-micrometre and micrometre size range. Tissue resident macrophages are more fully differentiated phagocytes that reside in the liver (Kupffer cells) and spleen (sinusoidal lining cells) as part of the MPS [77]. They also play a key role in the elimination of particulate materials. Renal filtration by the kidney is the third major clearance path, although as it is responsible for excreting small material below 6 nm [78], renal clearance is not a major issue for the systems discussed in this review. This clearance mechanism does, however, play an important role in the elimination of degraded components of the colloidal carriers.

The foreign body response to particles is the result of a complex interplay of factors attributed to their size, composition, shape and surface properties. However, the key factors that govern particle clearance are limiting binding of plasma proteins and to
ensure the size and flexibility of the particles are optimal to avoid accumulation in the liver and spleen.

4.1 Size

Spleenic sinusoids and Kupffer cell fenestration in the liver vary from 150 to 200 nm in diameter and are the primary filtering mechanism of the MPS [79]. These structures can effectively trap particles larger than this size, although flexible structures, like red blood cells (RBCs), can readily pass through the openings. In a fundamental study on the effect of particle size, Liu et al. investigated the biodistribution of phosphatidylcholine liposomes ranging in size from ~40-450 nm in mice. After 4 h, significant accumulation was observed in the liver for all sizes of liposomes, and liposomes larger than 100 nm showed increasing accumulation in the spleen. Correspondingly, the concentration of liposomes circulating in blood decreased exponentially with size. Interestingly, ganglioside liposomes, whilst still showing accumulation in the liver and spleen, exhibited optimal blood circulation at around 150 nm [80].

In another study, Fang et al. studied the effects of particle size on serum protein binding [81]. It was observed that PEG-functionalised nanoparticles less than 100 nm in size bound less than 6% of the total serum proteins, while ~250 nm particles bound more than 34%. It was suggested that the smaller particle size offered higher surface PEG chain density, which provided stealth properties for prolonging biodistribution (translating to decreased serum protein adsorption and phagocytic uptake, as well as extended circulation time in blood). Correspondingly, the macrophage uptake and blood clearance was higher for the larger particles.

4.2 Shape and Flexibility

Phagocytosis by macrophages is a major clearance mechanism for colloidal carriers and it has been recently shown that shape plays a role in particle recognition by macrophages [82]. By stretching polystyrene particles, Mitragotri and co-workers showed that particles with a longest dimension of 2-3 µm bound significantly more to macrophages than larger or smaller particles [83]. This corresponds directly to the size distribution of naturally occurring bacteria.

While it has been observed that spherical particles larger than 200 nm are effectively trapped in the liver and spleen, flexible and/or non-spherical particles have shown significantly longer circulation times. Discher and co-workers found that flexible worm-like micelles (filomicelles) were mostly dispersed in blood plasma (~63%) after 24 h, rather than concentrating in specific organs or tissues [13,84]. The biodistribution and circulation times of these filomicelles showed significantly improved circulation times, over one week, as compared to spherical micelles of the same composition which were cleared within two days (Figure 3a).

To better understand the role shape plays in biodistribution, templated assembly (e.g., to form PRINT carriers [37]) offers a significant advantage, as it allows precise control over shape, such as the synthesis of spheres, cylinders, discs, and toroids. DeSimone and co-workers investigated the in vivo distribution of cylindrical PEG-PRINT particles [85]. While the biodistribution of the cylinders was similar to conventional spherical nanoparticles, with sequestration mainly in the liver and
spleen, this technique offers a tool to determine shape effects while controlling other variables such as composition and surface properties.

4.3 Surface Properties

Surface charge plays a key role in serum protein binding and the subsequent blood clearance of colloidal carriers. In general, charged particles display greater protein binding and clearance than uncharged particles [77]. A large proportion of serum and cell surface proteins are negatively charged and as such positively charged particles display high non-specific cellular binding and short blood circulation half-life. Although negatively charged particles exhibit lower serum protein binding, significant liver accumulation is still generally observed. Hydrophobic particles have been found to be opsonised more rapidly than hydrophilic particles due to the enhanced adsorption of blood serum proteins onto these surfaces [77].

In one study, Yamamoto et al. found that anionic spherical micelles could potentially evade the MPS of the liver and spleen more readily than neutral, functionalised counterparts [86]. However, other reports show that anionic molecules and particles suffer the tendency of accumulating preferentially in the liver compared to neutral analogues due to binding with serum proteins [87]. These variations suggest that other particle properties play a significant role in the biodistribution. In another study, Discher and co-workers investigated the biodistribution of polymersomes with a surface charge that mimics RBCs [88]. The results showed a trend of neutral polymersomes accumulating mostly in the liver and spleen, while anionic polymersomes were localised primarily in the liver with a relatively small amount in the spleen (Figure 3 a,b).

PEG functionalisation is a well-established technique to mask the capsule surface and to limit non-specific protein binding [89]. PEG is a non-ionic, hydrophilic polymer and steric effects offered by adsorbed PEG play a significant role in the low-fouling nature of PEG-modified surfaces [77]. Studies have shown that the characteristics of the PEG layer, such as the thickness, overall surface charge, surface chain density (coverage), functional groups, and PEG conformation, all impact the way in which it interacts with opsonins and influences the particle biodistribution [77]. The use of PEG polymers with larger molecular weight (>2000 dalton) have consistently demonstrated prolonged blood circulation half-lives for particles in vivo [81,90]. This minimum molecular weight is needed to overcome the lack of flexibility of shorter PEG chains, which do not contribute substantially to reduce opsonisation. Photos et al. have demonstrated the direct correlation between polymersome blood circulation half-life with increasing PEG length and molecular weights [52]. However, very high molecular weights (>5000 dalton) can lead to protein entanglement. In addition, an optimal PEG surface coverage is required so that the chains have slightly restricted movements and confer sufficiently dense surface coverage, while not forming overly rigid layers, which exhibit reduced steric effects [77].

It is well documented that PEGylated liposomes exhibit less rapid clearance by the MPS and longer blood circulation times than their non-functionalised counterparts [91]. Some prominent commercial examples of PEGylated-liposomes loaded with DOX are Doxil® and Caelyx® [40], while other PEG-coated liposomal drug formulations which have progressed to clinical trials have also shown increased intratumour drug concentrations and better therapeutic responses than equivalent
doses of non-PEGylated liposomes or free drug [92,93]. One advantage of the liposomal DOX formulation is the change in the biodistribution of the drug, particularly the reduced accumulation in the heart as compared to free drug. However, the liposomes do accumulate significantly in the skin, leading to different complications, such as severe skin burn and bleeding sores [91].

Other systems such as polymeric micelles and polymersomes have been prepared with PEG block copolymers and loaded with drugs in biological studies [52,94]. Free DOX (adriamycin) was rapidly cleared within 3 h, as compared to polymeric micelles which demonstrated prolonged blood circulation of up to 24 h, as well as increased accumulation in tumour tissue [95].

5. Targeting

To optimise the delivery of therapeutics to specific areas in the body, two distinct strategies have been employed: passive targeting, which exploits the Enhanced Permeability and Retention (EPR) effect of many tumours [96]; and active targeting, which relies on the binding of the particles to specific receptors on the surface of certain cells.

The EPR effect has been extensively used in a number of nanoparticle delivery systems to concentrate drugs within tumours. Particles naturally accumulate in tumours as the tumours have a high fluid flow and a large leaky vasculature. This is because tumour cells have high demand for oxygen and access to essential nutrients to support their rapid growth. The rapidly induced growth of the vesicles leads to poorly aligned epithelia cells and wide openings that enable the nanoparticles to become trapped [97]. The size of the tumour vasculature is highly dependent on the tumour type and age, with the upper size for effective particle retention ranging from 100 nm to 2 µm [98]. A number of clinical trials have shown promising results based on the EPR effect [99]. One consideration with EPR targeting is that it is incompatible with combination therapies that rely on reducing the vasculature size and cutting off the nutrient supply to the tumour. The EPR effect has been shown to be highly promising, clinically relevant and relatively simple to enhance the delivery of drugs to tumours, however the effect is limited to the treatment of tumourous legions. For treating early stage cancer metastases, vaccines and other non-cancerous diseases, alternate targeting strategies are required.

More targeted localisation of particles can be achieved by functionalising the particle surface with molecules that bind to specific cells. This approach can be used to target diverse cells within the body, although a significant amount of work on this active targeting has largely focused on cancer therapy. Cancer cells are well known to over-express certain proteins on their surface, such as folate, epithelial growth factor (EGF) and transferrin receptors. Folate receptors (FR) are also expressed on activated macrophages found in areas of inflammation and can therefore be a useful target to deliver drugs for rheumatoid arthritis and Crohn’s disease [100]. Using PAA-modified liposomes, in vitro targeting showed more than a 10-fold increase in the binding of folate functionalised particles to KB cells compared to non-functionalised particles [101]. By comparison, cells deficient in the folate receptor showed less than a four-fold increase in particle uptake. Similar results have been observed with folic acid-functionalised PLGA particles [102]. In vivo experiments with PIC micelles
have shown little change in the accumulation of folate particles in the tumours compared to the non-functionalised particles; however, tumours treated with folate particles showed slower growth than non-functionalised particles [103]. The increased therapeutic effect of the folate-functionalised particles is most probably due to the enhanced cellular uptake of the particles (see Section 6). One potential limitation with using receptors that are also expressed on healthy cells is that non-specific uptake of the drug is also observed. However, this technique still provides a significant therapeutic advantage over delivering the free drug.

Greater specificity can be achieved by employing antibodies that recognise antigens that are specifically expressed on the target cells. Examples of such antibody targets that have been coupled to particles include the huA33 antigen which is expressed on >95% of colorectal cancer (CRC) and is only found on epithelial lining cells of the intestine [104], the HER2 receptor which is over-expressed on aggressive breast cancers [105], and the prostate membrane specific antigen (PMSA) [106]. Recently, LbL capsules assembled from PVPON that exhibit inherently low non-specific binding to cells were functionalised with a huA33 monoclonal antibody (mAb). It was demonstrated that efficient binding to CRC cells was possible even when the number of target cells was a very small percentage of the total cell population (<0.1%) (Figure 4) [104]. Surgery is still the most efficient technique for removing large tumours and the primary role of chemotherapy is used to kill remaining cancer cells after the primary tumour has been removed. It is envisaged that the role of the next generation of colloidal drug carriers will be to seek out these metastatic (secondary) cancers, and as such the ability to minimise binding to healthy cells is critical.

6. Cellular Internalisation and Fate

The therapeutic effect of most drugs occurs in specific locations within the cell, so the intracellular fate of the drug is critical. Therefore, it is important to understand the mechanisms involved in internalisation of the delivery systems, as it plays a significant role in the intra-cellular trafficking and chemical environment that the therapeutic cargo is exposed to. Hydrophobic, low molecular weight compounds can passively diffuse across the lipid membrane, whilst certain other compounds can enter the cell via protein channels [107]. However, larger materials such as colloidal delivery systems enter via the energy-dependent internalisation pathway of endocytosis [107]. When internalised via this pathway, the particles are completely encapsulated within a lipid bilayer that isolates them from the rest of the cell (Figure 5).

Endocytosis is broadly categorised into two mechanisms: phagocytosis (cell eating) and pinocytosis (cell drinking) [108]. Phagocytosis is a solely particle driven process and is generally limited to specific cell types, such as macrophages and dendritic cells. Because phagocytosis of foreign material by macrophages is one of the first lines of defence for the immune system, it is an ongoing challenge to develop particles that evade macrophage clearance and maintain good biodistribution.

In contrast, pinocytosis occurs in almost all cell types and involves a number of distinct mechanisms, including: macropinocytosis, clathrin-mediated endocytosis, caveolae-mediated endocytosis and clathrin/caveolae independent pathways.
Macropinocytosis is commonly associated with the uptake of large particles, as it can form endocytic vesicles up to 5 µm in diameter [109]. The fate of the macropinosome enclosures (and the cargo) is highly dependent on the cell type, although in most cells acidification of the macropinosome occurs before it fuses with lysosomes [108]. Clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME) is initiated by binding to cell surface receptors (such as transferrin or epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptors), which recruit a cytoplasmic protein (clathrin) to the cell membrane [107]. The clusters of clathrin form an invagination which engulfs the particle to form a 100-150 nm diameter clathrin-coated vesicle. As with the macropinocytotic pathway, these endosomes undergo rapid acidification. In caveolae-mediated endocytosis, caveosomes (literally little caves) form on the membrane mediated by small hydrophobic microdomains rich in cholesterol and glycosphingolipids [107]. Endosomes formed in this process are generally 50-60 nm and are distinct from endosomes formed from macropinocytosis and clathrin-mediated endocytosis, because they do not exhibit a drop in pH. Non-specific internalisation of colloidal carriers is essential for non-targeted delivery systems, as it enables entry of the drug into the cell. However, for targeted delivery systems, non-specific internalisation must be minimised to ensure internalisation occurs through a receptor-mediated process.

Engineering escape from endosomal compartments is fundamental for effective therapeutic delivery. The different endocytic mechanisms require various approaches to engineer escape from these compartments. For example, as the caveolae-mediated pathway does not lead to acidification of the endosome, pH-induced release and escape is not an effective mechanism for this pathway. Similarly, the leaky nature of macropinosomes can enable endosomal escape more readily than the clathrin-mediated pathway [108]. As cell type plays an important role in the internalisation of particles, it is not possible to give a definitive mechanism of internalisation for a specific particle type. However, certain particle characteristics such as size, surface charge, surface functionality and flexibility have a significant effect on the internalisation and subsequent fate of the particles.

In a fundamental investigation of polystyrene (PS) particles internalised by murine melanoma cells (B16-F10), Rejman et al. studied particles ranging in size from 50–1000 nm [110]. The smaller particles <150 nm were rapidly internalised (less than 30 min) via a clathrin-mediated pathway. In contrast, larger particles (>150 nm) were only slowly internalised (2-3 h) and exhibited 8 to 10-fold less internalisation than the smaller particles. Interestingly, 500 nm particle-internalisation appeared to be linked to the caveolae pathway. The role of caveolae in the internalisation of the particles was confirmed by the colocalisation of the particles with a protein that is critical to this mechanism (caveolin 1). This result is surprising as caveolae are generally thought to be in the 50-60 nm size range. As expected, particles internalised via the clathrin pathway localised with the lysosome, while the 500 nm particles did not associate with either the acidic late endosome or lysosome.

Surface charge also affects the internalisation of particles. Positively charged particles are typically internalised to a greater degree than negatively charged particles [111]. Studying the internalisation of PS in HeLa cells, it was observed that both macropinocytosis and clathrin play a role in the internalisation of positively charged 100 nm particles [112]. However, negatively charged PS particles were internalised via an undetermined clathrin/caveolae independent pathway.
Surface functionalisation can also contribute to internalisation, particularly by incorporating surface groups that are found naturally on viruses. HIV-1 TAT is an arginine rich protein that helps the virus enter cells and avoid degradative lysosomal compartments [113]. To mimic this effect, liposomes have been functionalised with a high surface density of octaarginine (R8 – mimicking TAT) or the similarly charged octalysine (L8). Both functionalised liposomes were internalised (by NIH 3T3 cells) via a macropinocytic pathway [113]; however, similar particles with a low density of R8 were shown to be internalised via a clathrin-mediated pathway [114]. Liposomes functionalised with L8 localised with the lysosomes and exhibited very low transfection efficiency when delivering siRNA. However, high density R8 liposomes escaped from the early endosomal compartment and showed significantly higher transfection [113]. Interestingly, low density R8 liposomes did not escape the endosome and localised with the lysosome [114], suggesting that either a certain concentration of the R8 was required to promote endosomal escape, or that the R8 mechanism of escape is not compatible with the clathrin pathway. In a different cell line (polarised MDCK cells), both CME and macropinocytosis played a role in the internalisation of the same high density R8-functionalised liposomes [115], demonstrating the large influence of cell line on the mechanism of internalisation. In these cells, neither R8 nor L8 liposomes escaped from the endosome.

A number of positively charged polymers, such as PEI and polyamidoamine (PAMAM) dendrimers, are known to exhibit a proton sponge effect where the polymer buffers the pH of the endosome as it acidifies [116,117]. To maintain a charge balance, chloride ions migrate into the endosome and the increase in ionic concentration causes osmotic swelling of the endosome, which can lead to eventual rupture. Incorporating these proton sponge polymers into shell cross-linked micelles and polymer particles has enabled the endosomal escape of plasmid and proteins from acidified compartments. PLGA-based nanoparticles have also been observed to escape the endosome via a mechanism linked to the acidification of the early endosome. The decrease in pH causes charge reversal of the particles from negative (at pH 7) to positive (at pH 5) [118], leading to local destabilisation of the endosomal membrane. The incorporation of pore forming peptides and proteins has also been demonstrated to promote endosomal escape. Stier and co-workers have demonstrated that Listeriolysin protein encapsulated within pH-responsive liposomes promotes endosomal escape and improves antigen presentation [119]. Similarly, pore forming peptides can also induce endosomal escape [120].

The structural rearrangement of materials can also be exploited to promote endosomal escape. Most polymersomes are inherently endocytosed and localised within lysosomal compartments [54]. However, polymersomes assembled from the pH responsive polymer PMPC–PDPA have been shown to induce endosomal escape [54]. In this system, PDPA is uncharged and hydrophobic at pH 7, but becomes protonated at low pH, causing the polymersome to disassemble. This not only releases the cargo trapped in the polymersomes, but the osmotic pressure caused by the increase in free polymer concentration can cause the endosomal compartment to rupture.

7. Conclusions
There has been significant progress in the development of engineered colloidal drug carriers over the last 5 to 10 years. In particular, a number of intelligent loading and release mechanisms have demonstrated significant potential in in vitro studies. However, challenges still remain. The most pressing challenge is to evade the body’s natural foreign defence mechanisms to allow long blood circulation times. Shape and PEGylation have been demonstrated to significantly improve the blood circulation times, and a number of systems based on PEGylation have progressed to clinical trials. However, the biodistribution of these systems still results in non-specific organ accumulation and the side effects of chemotherapy-based therapies remain severe. Other colloidal systems developed recently, such as polymersomes, LbL capsules and PRINT particles, have had limited studies regarding biodistribution, but significant efforts are underway to address this gap. Most significantly, polyion complexes have recently demonstrated the first clinical efficacy of siRNA in humans. To improve the efficiency of delivery, particles functionalised with surface targeting ligands to specifically target diseased cells have shown very promising results in vitro; however, in vivo results have yet to demonstrate the same level of improvement. As significant efforts are being employed to tackle the challenges outlined in this review, it is anticipated that over the next 5 years will lead to considerable advances in this dynamic research area and continued translation of colloidal delivery systems into the clinic.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council under the Federation Fellowship, Discovery Project and Postdoctoral Fellowship schemes, and by the National Health and Medical Research Council under the Program Grant 487922. We thank Dr Yan Yan, Dr Hannah Lomas and Marc Riemer for critical reading of the manuscript.

References


34. Zelikin AN, Quinn JF, Caruso F. Disulfide cross-linked polymer capsules: en route to biodeconstructible systems. Biomacromolecules 2006;7:27.


57. Cohen JA, Beaudette TT, Cohen JL, Broaders KE, Dachelder EM, Fréchet JM. Acteal-modified dextran microparticles with controlled degradation kinetics and
The synthesis of dextran-based microparticles capable of tailored degradation and specific release of DNA, for potential gene therapy applications, is demonstrated.


100. Low PS, Walter AH, Doorneweerd DD. Discovery and development of folic-acid-based receptor targeting for imaging and therapy of cancer and inflammatory diseases. Acc Chem Res 2008;41:120.


*104. Kamphuis MMJ, Johnston APR, Such GK, Dam HH, Evans RA, Scott AM, Nice EC, Heath JK, Caruso F. Targeting of cancer cells using click-functionalized polymer capsules. J Am Chem Soc. Submitted. This paper demonstrates the antibody functionalisation of LbL capsules using click chemistry. Specific targeting to cancer cells was observed even when the target cell population was less than 0.1% of the total cell population.


Figure 1. Examples of colloidal carriers: a) Polymer particles (modified with cyclodextran); b) Liposomes/polymersomes; c) Polymer micelles; d) LbL capsules (functionalised with antibodies on their surface); e) PRINT particles. b) Taken from http://techtransfer.universityofcalifornia.edu/NCD/19354.html. c) Taken from http://techtransfer.universityofcalifornia.edu/NCD/19775.html. e) Taken from http://www.desimone-group.chem.unc.edu/research/print.

Figure 2. In vitro disassembly of pH-responsive polymersomes. Polymer labelled with rhodamine (red), DNA in the nucleus labelled with Syto 9 (green), and lysosomes labelled with lysotracker (yellow). a) pH-responsive polymersomes showed distribution of the polymer throughout the cell, indicating disassembly of the polymersome structure and release into the cytoplasm. b) Non-responsive polymersomes remained in distinct compartments within the cell. Taken from Ref. [55], with permission.
Figure 3. Biodistribution of filomicelles and polymersomes in mice. a) Filomicelles show distribution throughout the mouse after 4 h, compared to accumulation in the b) liver and spleen for anionic polymersomes and c) lungs and spleen for neutral polymersomes. a) Taken from Ref. [84], with permission. b, c) Taken from Ref. [88], with permission.

Figure 4. Targeting antibody-functionalised LbL-assembled capsules to cancer cells. Cells expressing the huA33 antigen (blue) and cells that do not express the huA33 antigen (green) were incubated with capsules functionalised with the huA33 antibody. Taken from Ref. [104], with permission.
**Figure 5.** Schematic of particle internalisation into cells. Phagocytosis, macropinocytosis and clathrin-mediated endocytosis generally lead to acidification of the endosomal compartment followed by fusion with the lysosome. For maximum therapeutic effect, the particles need to be engineered to escape from this lysosomal pathway. In contrast caveolae-mediated endocytosis does not enter the lysosomal pathway.